

# Engagement with Advisors

CCWG Accountability

23/12/14

# Charter (emphasis added)

- In addition to input from the community, the CCWG-Accountability is expected to solicit and consider the input from the up to seven Advisors selected by the Public Experts Group ([PEG](#)) to provide **independent advice, research and identify best practices**, at an early stage of its deliberations. In addition to input that is specifically solicited by the CCWG-Accountability, the CCWG-Accountability is also expected to **give due consideration to any additional advice or input that the Advisors provide** as part of the CCWG-Accountability deliberations. The Advisors are expected to **contribute to the dialogue similar to other CCWG-Accountability participants**. However, should there be a need for any consensus call(s), the Advisors would not participate in such a call.

# Proposal to optimize engagement with Advisors

- Advisors may provide contributions at any time
- Advise has to be « labelled » as such
- Rules to optimize engagement ?
  - Elaboration of requests : call for comments on the list + ask for Advisor feedback + vetting during the weekly calls.
  - Identification of a Point of contact for any further clarification during investigation
  - Preliminary report from Advisor circulated for comments / questions on the list (or subgroup)
  - All contributions from Advisors are published on the wiki + on the CCWG mailing list

# Initial topics for Advisors

- Case studies of accountability mechanisms in other organisations (ex: listed corporations, international NGOs, other internet governance organisations such as IETF...)
- Identification of best practices
- Analysis of legal constraints related to California Laws applicable to Icann

# Next steps

- Group members to provide feedback on proposed engagement rules
- Group members to provide feedback or suggestions on proposed topics for Advisor contributions
- Co-chairs to engage with Advisors (planned early january)