Recap of latest requirements mentioned on the CCWG accountability list

|  |  |  |
| --- | --- | --- |
| **Type of requirement** | **Requirement or issue to be considered**  Comments by Kavoouss | **Work party** |
| Decision making | Footing /Weights for SO/ACs ?  We need to examine the required footing. I do not see that we have reach any agreement on equal footing between SO/AC Include stakeholder groups in decision making ?  Who are stakeholders ? Are we referring to SO /AC? | WP1 / WP2 |
| Decision making | Vote based on SO/AC internal processes  We need to normalized these different internal procedure if we want to use it outside SO/AC | WP1 |
| Decision making | Based on consensus ? Supermajority ?  As a General Rules, we need to look for consensus, in rare and exceptional cases, Voting.  Supermajority has different criteris, 2/3, 374 or 4/5 | WP1 |
| Independence | Conditions of independence with regards to the Board (not having been part of Board, or being barred from joining for several years)  Not having been part of Board for the last v YEARS at the time of decision making | WP1 / WP2 |
| Mediation | Mediation as first step of review / redress mechanism  Please specify between which entities we need mediation? | WP2 |
| Mediation | Designation of Ombudsman to conduct mediation  Ombudsman is used in the current situation. We have not seriously discussed why we need an individual with such an authority | WP2 |
| Membership | Is it free of cost ?  We need to be careful not proposing any criteria which contradict the inclusiveness | WP1 |
| Oversight accountability | Ability for the respective groups to recall their delegate or member  Whart do we mean by recall?  Hold a meeting, hold an assembly ? | WP1 |
| Oversight Accountability | Is it a paid or unpaid position ?  We should be careful to make every thing no profit.  Participation costs Yes , Salary , be careful of competition and unintended consequences | WP1 |
| Scope | Type(s) of Board decisions to be submitted to prior approval, or that could be overturned  We need to be careful, on the one hand, not to put any unnessary obstacle for day-to-day functioning, and on the otherhand, not make the Board totally authoritative. Thuis we have to establish some very general criteria for decisions to be submitted to prior approval, or that could be overturned i , | WP1 |
| Scope | List of rights of “members” or “delegates”  In the exercise of their duties, the members/ delgates ( elected or appointed ) shall serve, not as representing their respective communities , affiliated entities nor a region, but as custodians of an international public trust. In particular, each member/delegate shall refrain from inter­vening in decisions directly concerning the member’s / delegate,s own entities to which she or he is affiliated  No member / derlegate shall request or receive instructions relating to the exercise of her/ his duties for the for the international / global community ( ties ) from any government , civil society, private sector, technical community and Acadenmic or an affiliated thereof, or from any public or private organization or person. Members/ delgates shall refrain from taking any action or from participating in any decision which may be incompatible with their status defined above. | WP1 |