Comparison Parameter	Corresponding Questions	Option A
	Parameters and Questions to Map and Compare Effectiveness of Accountabili	
Transparency	does one option provide greater transparency ?	
Legitimacy	Is one of the options more legitimate in its nature due to higher scope for transparency, inclusivity and rationality?	
Legality	Is legality of one of the options more apparent and/or easy to establish?	
Simplicity	Is implementation of one of the options more simple in terms of design or operation ?	
Viability (Financial)	Is one of the options more viable due to the simplicity of operation, including of level of attention and resource required from the community to make the mechanism work?	
Acceptability (Recognition)	Is one of the options more supported and recognized due to historic reasons or current legal and stability concerns?	
Rationality	Is explaining one of the options, internally and externally more easy due to its rationality and simplicity of design?	
Utility	Does one of the options provide improved review and / or redress?	
Independence	does one option provide better independence ?	
Consultation	Does one option provide better consultation ?	
Stakeholder base	Does one of the options extend accountability to more relevant stakeholders?	
Purpose	does one option enable accountability to more of the relevant accountability purposes ?	
Indispensability	Is one of the options indispensable due to some legal and strategic reasons?	

Capture	Is one of the options ensuring better check and balance and mitigating capture ?	
TOTAL		

ty Options		Comments
Option B	**Option C....**	
		Addition MW
		MW : I am not sure we are in a position to assess legitimacy, due to a potential long debate on the definition.
		MW : I am not sure we are in a position to assess legality, due to a potential long debate on the definition.
		MW: I propose to rename to Simplicity
		MW: unsure this is a key requirement ? I feel there is a risk of redundancy with simplicity above
		MW : I like the idea but would reframe in "is it based on existing, proof-tested mechanisms (and rename in "Existing base")
		MW: I feel a risk of redundancy with simplicity
		Addition MW
		Addition MW (split from line below)
		Renamed and focused on stakeholder base
		Addition MW
		MW : not sure I understand the definition here ?
Option B	**Option C....**	

MW : propose to rename into "capture" and focus on check and balance rather than predictability which would be another aspect