## **CCWG on Enhancing ICANN Accountability**

Working Party 1: Community Empowerment | Mechanisms Comparison Table (v1 – 20 March 2015)

This table is a rough start at trying to compare the various Mechanisms prepared by WP1 on a number of dimensions. It has not been reviewed by WP1 and does not represent anything other than the Rapporteur's initial effort to try and understand what indicators to compare the mechanisms on and how they measure up against these.

| Quality/Descriptor  | Structure      |                   |                   |                     |                   | Process        |                |
|---|----------------|-------------------|-------------------|---------------------|-------------------|----------------|----------------|
|   | Permanent CCWG | Community Council | Supervisory Board | Statutory Delegates | Statutory Members | Existing SO/AC | Community Veto |
| From the "Assessment Sheet" (definitions as per that she                              | et)            |                   |                   |                     |                   |                |                |
| 1 Transparency  | High           | High              | High              | Variable            | Variable          | Moderate       | Moderate       |
| 2 Overall simplicity  | Moderate       | Moderate          |                   |                     |                   | High           |                |
| 3 Synergy   | Moderate       | Moderate          |                   | Low/moderate        | Low/moderate      | Moderate       |                |
| 4 Independence  | Moderate/High  | Moderate/High     | Unknown           | Unknown             | Unknown           | Low            | Low            |
| 5 Consultation  | Moderate       | Moderate          | Low/Moderate      | Unknown             | Unknown           | Low/Moderate   | Unknown        |
| 6 Stakeholder breadth   | High           | High              | High              | High                | High              | High           |                |
| 7 Mitigates capture   |                |                   |                   |                     |                   | Low            |                |
| From the "Assessment sheet" (contested) (defs as per that                             | at sheet)      |                   |                   |                     |                   |                |                |
| 8 Legitimacy  | Moderate       |                   |                   |                     |                   |                |                |
| 9 Cost to operate   | Moderate       | Moderate          | Unknown           | Unknown             | Unknown           | Low            | Low            |
| 10 Acceptability (recognition)  | Moderate       |                   |                   |                     |                   | Moderate       |                |
| Rapporteur's suggestions  |                |                   |                   |                     |                   |                |                |
| 11 Scale of structural change of/in ICANN required                                    | Moderate       | Moderate          | High              | High                | High              | Low            | Low            |
| 12 Involves / requires cross-community deliberation?                                  | Yes            | Yes               | Yes               | Possible            | Possible          | No             | No             |
| 13 Legally plausible (to <u>form</u> the mechanism – not that it could be empowered)? | Unknown        | Yes               | Unknown           | Yes                 | Yes               | Yes            | Unknown        |
| 14 Participants required?   | Yes            | Yes               | Yes               | Possible            | Possible          | No             | No             |
| Able to incorporate constituencies outside the existing ICANN SO/AC/etc?              | No             | Yes               | Yes               | Possible            | Possible          | No             | Unlikely       |
| 16  |                |                   |                   |                     |                   |                |                |
|   |                |                   |                   |                     |                   |                |                |
|   |                |                   |                   |                     |                   |                |                |