|  |  |  |  |  |
| --- | --- | --- | --- | --- |
| Required Community Powers | Should we have a Conference Call? | Should we Convene a Community Forum? | Consensus Support to exercise the power? |  |
| Counting each AC/SO as either Support or Object | Giving each AC/SO 5 votes that may be split | Giving ASO, CCNSO, GNSO a ratio of 2. Giving ALAC a ratio of 1.Advisory role to others.To account for diversity views, propose 4 units to ASO, CCNSO, GNSO; and 2 units to ALAC, in which units may be split. -- Total pool is 14 fractional weights (units), as follows:ASO: 4CCNSO: 4GNSO: 4ALAC: 2Other ACs: Retain Advisory Role-- No reason not to include threshold numbers on votes to object also, if desired. -- Below % thresholds are taken from 2nd draft proposal, just transposed into a pool of 14 units. |
| 1. Block a proposed Operating Plan/Strategic Plan/Budget | 2 AC/SOs support blocking | 3 AC/SOs support blocking | 4 support blocking, and no more than 1 objects | 20 votes support blocking, and no more than 5 votes object | Pool is 14 weights total. 66% for the 1st veto = 9.2 weights75% for the 2nd veto = 10.5 weights |
|  | Same number as above. If 75% of AC/SO support, it counts as YES. -- Applies to entire column. | Same number as above. If 75% of AC/SO support, it counts as YES.-- Applies to entire column. |  |  |  |
| 2. Approve changes to Fundamental Bylaws and Articles of Incorporation | 2 AC/SOs support approval | 3 AC/SOs support approval | 4 support approval, and no more than 1 objects | 20 votes support approval, and no more than 5 votes object | 75% in favor of recall from community with decisional weight= 10.5 out of 14 total |
| 3. Block changes to regular bylaws | 2 AC/SOs support blocking | 2 AC/SOs support blocking | 3 support blocking, and no more than 1 objects | 15 votes support blocking, and no more than 5 votes object | 66% support block from community with decisional weight= 9.2 out of 14 total |
| 4a. Remove individual board directors appointed by AC/SO.  | Majority within the appointing AC/SO  | Majority within appointing AC/SO  | Invite and consider comments from all SO/ACs.75% majority within the appointing AC/SO to remove their director | Invite and consider comments from all SO/ACs.75% majority within the appointing AC/SO to remove their director | Invite and consider comments from all SO/ACs.75% majority within the appointing AC/SO to remove their director |
| 4b. Remove individual board directors appointed by NomCom | 2 AC/SOs support | 2 AC/SOs support | 3 support, and no more than 1 objects  | 15 votes support, and no more than 5 votes object | 75% in favor of recall from community with decisional weight= 10.5 out of 14 total |
| 5. Recall the entire board of directors | 2 AC/SOs support | 3 AC/SOs support | 4 support, and no more than 1 objects\* | 20 votes support, and no more than 5 votes object | 75% in favor of recall from community with decisional weight= 10.5 out of 14 total |
| 6. Initiate a binding IRP where panel decision is enforceable in any court recognizing int’l arbitration results | 2 AC/SOs support | 2 AC/SOs support | 3 support, and no more than 1 objects Require mediation before IRP begins | 15 votes support, and no more than 5 votes object | 66% support block from community with decisional weight= 9.2 out of 14 total |
| 7. Reconsider/reject board decisions relating to reviews of IANA functions, including trigger of PTI separation | 2 AC/SOs support | 3 AC/SOs support | 4 support, and no more than 1 objects | 20 votes support, and no more than 5 votes object | 75% in favor of recall from community with decisional weight= 10.5 out of 14 total |