### Current status of CCWG discussions

- Comment analysis ongoing:
  - Detailed comment spreadsheet:
     https://www.icann.org/en/system/files/files/summary-comments-draft-ccwg-accountability-proposal-08jan16-en.xlsx
  - All recommendations under review. See global update and related docs on: <a href="https://community.icann.org/display/acctcrosscomm/Final+Report">https://community.icann.org/display/acctcrosscomm/Final+Report</a>
  - Board feedback provided on ongoing basis
  - Overall scorecard :
     https://docs.google.com/spreadsheets/d/13LvomhRnT1DBY9QvsXMorUPQ9wYpFtd
     2j LplTks04g/edit#gid=0
    - 5 items in finalization stage
    - 9 refinements ongoing
    - 4 « gaps to bridge » (Mission, human rights, Rec 11, GAC as decision participant)
  - Conclusions so far have been mostly clarifications

# Proposed next steps

- Once gNSO and GAC feedbacks are received
- Supplemental Draft Report appears as appropriate way forward :
  - Charter quote: "In the event that one or more of the participating SO's or AC's do(es) not adopt one or more of the recommendation(s) contained in the Draft Proposal(s), the Co-Chairs of the CCWG-Accountability shall be notified accordingly. [...] The CCWG-Accountability may, at its discretion, reconsider, post for public comments and/or submit to the chartering organizations a Supplemental Draft Proposal, which takes into account the concerns raised. "
  - Unless outcome of outstanding discussions leads to material and substantial changes

# Process to Generate Supplemental Report

# Key requirements

- Ensure consistency with conclusions from CCWG
- Keep structure of report intact for consistency of Chartering Organization assessments
  - One Annex per recommendation
  - Shorter high level summary
- Highlight changes from Draft Report
- Enable review and inputs
  - From all members and participants
  - From our Lawyers
- Transparency regarding treatment of comments received in the finalization process

### FINALIZE A RECOMMENDATION



<sup>\*</sup> Recommendation by Recommendation process

### REVIEW

#### Legal review

- Legal Counsel
- Results published on CCWG list (redline)

\*\*\* 2 days \*\*\*

## Finalize text for CCWG list consultation

- Staff, CoChairs & Rapporteurs
- Results published on CCWG list (clean and redline)

\*\*\* 1 day \*\*\*

#### **CCWG** list consultation

- CCWG Participants
- Comments made on list using predesignated subject line

\*\*\* 2 days \*\*\*

\* Recommendation by Recommendation process

Pilot test ongoing for recommendations 2 and 12

### CONSOLIDATE AND TRANSPARENCY REPORT

Create list of edits for review by leadership



- Staff
  - Public Google spreadsheet accessible to all CCWG participants

simultaneously with previous step\*\* Produce final version of recommendation

- CoChairs & Rapporteurs
- Public Google spreadsheet
- Rationale provided for each comment
- Results
   published on
   CCWG list (clean
   and redline)

\*\*\* 1 day \*\*\*

Final Legal check



- Lawyers to flag any legal concerns based on list of approved edits
- Results published on CCWG list. (clean and redline)

\*\*\* 1 day \*\*\*

Final edits and publication

- Staff, CoChairs& Rapporteurs
- Final version published on CCWG list (clean and redline)

\*\*\* 1 day \*\*\*

\* Recommendation by Recommendation process

Any substantial concern / issue identified during finalization stage will be brought back to plenary discussion

# Summary of process

- Pilot ongoing
- All successive versions shared on CCWG list
- List of edits maintained in global spreadsheet accessible to all
- Lawyer review of all sections
- Review times short but :
  - advanced notice and
  - ability to flag any substantial, material issue at any time
- A similar process will be developed for the high level summary.