

Detailed Results of 23 Feb Poll – Distribution Analysis

Poll #1 – Who objects to removing the 2nd bullet in Paragraph 72 (in red on the slide), (“If the IRP is not available to challenge the Board action in question”)?

	GAC	ccNSO	ALAC	NCSG	RySG	RrSG	BC	IPC	ISPCP	Board	Staff
1. Brett Schaefer (NCSG – Participant)				1							
2. Edward Morris (NCSG – Participant)				1							
3. Farzaneh Badii (NCSG – Participant)				1							
4. James Gannon (NCSG – Participant)				1							
5. Malcolm Hutty (ISPCP – Participant)									1		
6. Milton Mueller (NCSG – Participant)				1							
7. Paul Rosenzweig (NCSG – Participant)				1							
8. Robin Gross (NCSG – Member)				1							
9. Stephen Deerhake (ccNSO – Participant)		1									
10. Tatiana Tropina (NCSG – Participant)				1							
11. Eberhard Lisse (ccNSO – Member)		1									
TOTALS	0	2	0	8	0	0	0	0	1	0	0

Poll #2 – Who objects to sending the report forward (to Chartering Organizations) as it is currently, (i.e. the 19 February version with the full text in Paragraph 72)?

[illegible]

29. Ron da Silva (ICANN Board – Participant)												1
30. Sabine Meyer (GAC – Participant)	1											
31. Seun Ojedeji (ALAC – Participant)			1									
32. Steve Crocker (ICANN Board – Participant)												1
33. Steve DelBianco (CSG – Member)							1					
34. Sebastien Bachollet (ALAC – Member)			1									
35. Tarek Kamel (ICANN Staff)			1									
36. Tijani Ben Jemaa (ALAC – Member)												1
TOTALS	9	3	7	2	2	1	1	1	0	11	1	

Poll #4 – Who supports sending the report to Chartering Organizations as it is currently, (i.e. the 19 February version with the full text in Paragraph 72)?

	GAC	ccNSO	ALAC	NCSG	RySG	RrSG	BC	IPC	ISPCP	Board	Staff
1. Aarti Bhavana (NCSG – Participant)				1							
2. Brett Schaefer (NCSG – Participant)				1							
3. Edward Morris (NCSG – Participant)				1							
4. Farzaneh Badii (NCSG – Participant)				1							
5. James Gannon (NCSG – Participant)				1							
6. Jordan Carter (ccNSO – Member)		1									
7. Martin Boyle (ccNSO – Participant)		1									
8. Matthew Shears (NCSG – Participant)				1							
9. Malcolm Hutton (ISPCP – Participant)									1		
10. Milton Mueller (NCSG – Participant)				1							
11. Paul Rosenzweig (NCSG – Participant)				1							
12. Robin Gross (NCSG – Member)				1							
13. Stephen Deerhake (ccNSO – Participant)		1									
14. Tatiana Tropina (NCSG – Participant)				1							
TOTALS	0	3	0	10	0	0	0	0	1	0	0

Poll #1 – Who objects to removing the 2nd bullet in Paragraph 72 (in red on the slide), (“If the IRP is not available to challenge the Board action in question”)?

SUPPORT	
GAC	
ccNSO	✓
ALAC	
NCSG	✓
RySG	
RrSG	
BC	
IPC	
ISPCP	✓
Board	
Staff	
TOTALS	3

Poll #2 – Who objects to sending the report forward (to Chartering Organizations) as it is currently, (i.e. the 19 February version with the full text in Paragraph 72)?

SUPPORT	
GAC	✓
ccNSO	✓
ALAC	✓
NCSG	
RySG	✓
RrSG	
BC	
IPC	
ISPCP	
Board	✓
Staff	✓
TOTALS	6

Poll #3 – Who supports removing the language in the 2nd bullet in Paragraph 72 (in red on the slide), (“If the IRP is not available to challenge the Board action in question”)?

SUPPORT	
GAC	✓
ccNSO	✓
ALAC	✓
NCSG	✓
RySG	✓
RrSG	✓
BC	✓
IPC	✓
ISPCP	
Board	✓
Staff	✓
TOTALS	10

Poll #4 – Who supports sending the report to Chartering Organizations as it is currently, (i.e. the 19 February version with the full text in Paragraph 72)?

SUPPORT	
GAC	
ccNSO	✓
ALAC	
NCSG	✓
RySG	
RrSG	
BC	
IPC	
ISPCP	✓

Board	
Staff	
TOTALS	3