

CCWG-Accountability WS2 plenary meeting

14 December 2016

1300 UTC



Agenda

1 Introduction, update to SOIs, reminder on standards of behaviour

2 Administration

Timeline

IRP-IOT Public Consultation

ATRT3 Letter to SO/ACs

Funding for ICANN 58

3 Legal Committee

Review of Requests

Process for requests from IRP-IOT

Agenda

4 Updates/Presentation from sub-groups

IGF (short update)

Ombudsman (short update)

SO/AC accountability (short update)

Diversity (short update and questions)

Jurisdiction (review of questions)

Human rights (first reading)

Transparency (first reading)

5 AOB

6 Adjournment

1 ICANN Expected Standards of Behavior

Those who take part in ICANN's multistakeholder process, including Board, staff and all those involved in SO and AC councils, undertake to:



Act in accordance with ICANN's Bylaws. In particular, participants undertake to act within the mission of ICANN and in the spirit of the values contained in the Bylaws.



Adhere to ICANN's conflict of interest policies.



Treat all members of the ICANN community equally, irrespective of nationality, gender, racial or ethnic origin, religion or beliefs, disability, age or sexual orientation; members of the ICANN community should treat each other with civility both face-to-face and online.



Respect all members of the ICANN community equally and behave according to professional standards and demonstrate appropriate behavior. ICANN strives to create and maintain an environment in which people of many different backgrounds and cultures are treated with dignity, decency and respect. Specifically, participants in the ICANN process must not engage in any type of harassment. Generally, harassment is considered unwelcome hostile or intimidating behavior – in particular, speech or behavior that is sexually aggressive or intimidates based on attributes such as race, gender, ethnicity, religion, age, color, national origin, ancestry, disability or medical condition, sexual orientation or gender identity.



Protect the organization's assets and ensure their efficient and effective use.



Act fairly and in good faith with other participants in the ICANN process.



Conduct themselves in accordance with ICANN policies.



Support the maintenance of robust mechanisms for public input, accountability, and transparency so as to ensure that policy development and decision-making processes will reflect the public interest and be accountable to all stakeholders.



Listen to the views of all stakeholders when considering policy issues. ICANN is a unique multistakeholder environment. Those who take part in the ICANN process must acknowledge the importance of all stakeholders and seek to understand their points of view.



Work to build consensus with other stakeholders in order to find solutions to the issues that fall within the areas of ICANN's responsibility. The ICANN model is based on a bottom-up, consensus-driven approach to policy development. Those who take part in the ICANN process must take responsibility for ensuring the success of the model by trying to build consensus with other participants.



Promote ethical and responsible behavior. Ethics and integrity are essential, and ICANN expects all stakeholders to behave in a responsible and principled way.




Facilitate transparency and openness when participating in policy development and decision-making processes.



Act in a reasonable, objective and informed manner when participating in policy development and decision-making processes. This includes regularly attending all scheduled meetings and exercising independent judgment based solely on what is in the overall best interest of Internet users and the stability and security of the Internet's system of unique identifiers, irrespective of personal interests and the interests of the entity to which individuals might owe their appointment.

Work Stream 2 Timeline

The chart outlines the anticipated work flow for CCWG WS2 Subgroups and Plenary sessions in order to complete the work by **June 2017**.



Steps & milestones to finalize recommendations

Track 2 (shorter track)	
Plenary review completed (2 nd reading)	01/04
Start of Public Comment	01/18
Public Comment End	02/28
Staff analysis of public comments	03/03
ICANN 58: Consider public comments & draft final report	03/10
Publish final report for chartering organizations approval & send to board	03/30

Track 1 (longer track)	
Plenary review completed (2 nd reading)	03/24
Start of Public Comment	04/14
Public Comment End	05/26
Staff analysis of public comments	6/02
Plenary review of public comments completed (2 nd reading)	6/09
Staff produces final report	6/24
ICANN 59: Finalized recommendations	6/25
Publish final report for chartering organizations approval & send to board	6/30

2 Administration - IRP-IOT Public Consultation

Public consultation on Updated Supplementary Procedures for Independent Review Process (IRP) is now open at:

<https://www.icann.org/public-comments/irp-supp-procedures-2016-11-28-en>

It will close on 10 January 2017 and the public staff report (after IOT analysis) is due on 28 February 2017.

So far 4 comments from 3 participants.

2 Administration – ATRT3 Letter to SO/ACs

Co-chairs have forwarded a letter to the leaders of all SO/ACs with their recommendations regarding the ATRT3 review.

The document can be found at:

<https://community.icann.org/display/WEIA/Documents?preview=/59641302/63150812/CCWG-Accountability-WS2-ATRT3%20Letter%20To%20SOACs.pdf>

2 Administration – Application Process for funding to attend ICANN58

- ICANN 58 will be held in Copenhagen Denmark from the 11 to the 17 of March 2017
- The application process will run from Monday 5 December 2016 to Sunday 8 January 2017 inclusive.
- Process documents and application forms were distributed on the CCWG-Accountability email list Monday 5 December.
- Co-chairs will review all the applications the week of 9 January 2017 and the decisions will be published Friday 13 January 2017.
- Listing of documents and applicants (including funded travellers) is posted at:

<https://community.icann.org/display/WEIA/Travel+Support+ICANN58>

3 Legal Committee

- Update on outstanding requests
- Process for requests from IRP-IOT
- Wiki for requests:

<https://community.icann.org/display/WEIA/WS2+-+Legal+Committee>

4 Updates/Presentation from sub-groups

- IGF (short update)
- Ombudsman (short update)
- SO/AC accountability (short update)
- Diversity (short update and questions)
- Jurisdiction (review of questions)
- Human rights (first reading)
- Transparency (first reading)

Description / Scope: Survey, Analyze, Assess and Recommend any Improvements to ICANN's SO and AC Accountability.

Track 1: Review and develop recommendations to improve SO/AC processes for accountability, transparency, & participation that are helpful to prevent capture.

Track 2: Evaluate the proposed "Mutual Accountability Roundtable" to assess its viability and, if viable, undertake the necessary actions to implement it.

Track 3: Assess whether the IRP would also be applicable to SO & AC activities.

Rapporteurs: Cheryl Langdon-Orr, Farzaneh Badii, Steve DelBianco

of signed-up Active Participants: 40

of signed-up Observers: 38

Useful links:


Wiki: <https://community.icann.org/x/IBWOAw>

Mailing List archive: http://mm.icann.org/pipermail/ws2-so_ac/

Meetings schedule: [WS2-Master Call Schedule](#)

Activity:

Total # of meetings:	12
Total # of emails:	94
Total # of men.hours on calls*:	251



Work Plan:

Start work	Aug	✓
Document questions to answer	Sep	✓
Document work to do	Oct	✓
Produce draft for subgroup	Nov-Jan	
Produce draft for CCWG	Feb	
Produce draft for PC	March	
Public Comment	Apr	
Revise draft	May	
CCWG approval	Jun	

Updates:

- In preparation for update/review of activities at ICANN 57 the following documents have been prepared and Distributed:
- [Proposed 'Questions for AC/SOs'](#)
- [SOAC-ACC-Progress Report Oct'16](#)

Upcoming Activities:

- Awaiting answers to questions to chairs of SO/ACs, as part of Track 1.
- The group as its next step of work will soon take up necessary actions on track 3, to discuss whether SO/ACs should be subjected to IRP.

Open Items:

- Track 2, the group has briefly discussed the matter of Mutual Accountability (and to a lesser extent the proposal of a Mutual Accountability Round-table or other construct) but it has not come to any conclusion yet due to divergence of views among participants. This work will be addressed after other aspects of our work plan are more complete.



End of Meeting