# ICANN's Independent Review Process (IRP)

June 2017 Update/Overview

By

David McAuley
On behalf of IRP Implementation Oversight Team

#### **IRP**

- New IRP:
  - Bylaw Section 4.3
  - Came into effect Oct 1st, 2016:
- Agenda:
  - Purpose
  - Main Pillars
  - Miscellaneous

#### IRP (Purpose)

- Purpose of IRP (Section 4.3(a)):
  - Ensure: (a) against exceeding mission; (b) compliance with Articles/Bylaws;
  - Empower community/claimants to enforce compliance with Articles/Bylaws;
  - Ensure ICANN accountability;
  - Address claims ICANN failed to enforce IANA Naming Functions contract;
  - Provide vehicle for direct IANA customers to seek resolution of PTI service complaints that are not resolved through mediation;
  - Reduce disputes by creating precedent in connection with policy development and implementation; ...

## IRP (Purpose) (con't)

- Purpose of IRP (Section 4.3(a)):
  - Accessible, transparent, efficient, consistent, and just resolution of disputes;
  - Lead to binding, final resolutions consistent with international arbitration norms that are enforceable in proper courts;
  - Provide a vehicle for resolving disputes as an alternative to civil litigation.

#### IRP (Main Pillars)

- Three main pillars of new IRP:
  - New Standard of Review
  - Standing Panel
  - Updated 'Supplementary' Rules of Procedure

- New standard of review (Scope) of IRP (Section 4.3(b))
  - To address claims that ICANN (Board, individual directors, officers or staff) acted/failed-to-act in manner that violated Articles/Bylaws, including:
    - Exceeded scope of mission;
    - Resulted from response to advice or input from any AC or SO that are claimed to be inconsistent with Articles or Bylaws;
    - Resulted from decisions of process-specific expert panels that are claimed to be inconsistent with Articles or Bylaws;

- New standard of review ...
  - To address claims that ICANN ... violated Articles/Bylaws, by (among other things):
    - Resulted from a response to a DIDP request that is claimed to be inconsistent with Articles or Bylaws;
    - Arose from claims involving rights of the EC as set forth in Articles or Bylaws;
    - Claims of non-enforcement of ICANN's contractual rights with respect to the IANA Naming Function Contract; and
    - Claims regarding PTI service complaints by direct customers of the IANA naming functions that are not resolved through mediation.

- Excluded from Scope of IRP:
  - EC challenges to the result(s) of a PDP, unless the SO(s) that approved the PDP supports the EC challenge;
  - Claims relating to ccTLD delegations and re-delegations;
  - Claims relating to Internet numbering resources, and
  - Claims relating to protocol parameters.

Nature of Review – "objective, de novo" (Section 4.3(i))

- Standing Panel (Section 4.3(j))
  - At least seven members (ICANN to provide DNS training);
  - Secretariat/admin support to be provided (ICANN SOs/ACs IOT to coordinate selection);
  - Expression of Interest doc for panelist application (ICANN);
  - Seeking/vetting applications (ICANN SOs/ACs);
  - Panel nominations by SOs/ACs confirmation by Board (not to be unreasonably withheld);

- Standing Panel ...
  - Panelists serve five-year term (recall only for specific reasons like fraud/corruption IOT to develop recall process);
  - Panelists must be independent of ICANN and SOs/ACs (Section 4.3(q));
  - Individual cases to be heard by three-member panel selected from standing panel (Section 4.3(k));
  - Appeals to full standing panel possible (Section 4.3(w));
  - Resolution within six months is target (Section 4.3(s));
  - Enforcement in court envisioned if needed (Section 4.3(x)).

- Rules of Procedure (Section 4.3(n)):
  - First draft of updated rules;
  - Review of <u>public comments</u> underway, making progress, including discussions on these rules, among others (<u>note</u> discussions not yet final):
    - Time within which a claim must be filed;
    - Retroactivity of (1) standard, and (2) rules;
    - Joinder of interested parties; and
    - Challenges to consensus policies.

#### Miscellaneous

- Note the Cooperative Engagement Process (CEP Process -Section 4.3(e)) an informal attempt to resolve the dispute (non-mandatory but potential consequences for failure to engage).
- Also note conciliation efforts to narrow issues under review (Section 4.3(h)) (non-mandatory).
- IRP IOT status to be addressed.
- Access consideration Section 4.3(y):
  - ICANN shall seek to establish means by which community, non-profit Claimants and other Claimants that would otherwise be excluded from utilizing the IRP process may meaningfully participate in and have access to the IRP process.