Commenter	Regarding which Recommendation	Comment	Response	Change	Where
Akinremi Peter Taiwo	9.01 Other - External Overrsight / Office of Diversity	"While am in agreement that ICANN should be allowed to determine the appropriate measures and mechanism of implementing the diversity group recommendations. I am also in agreement that ICANN should have an office of diversity that would ensure ICANN comply and work inline with the recommendations except the roles would be designated to one of the offices within ICANN."	thank you - there was not enough support for an Office of Diversity to include that recommendation	N	NA
ALAC	11.01 Other - Languages	Because it is not possible to cater for the wide diversity of languages, at least people who are not fluent English speakers should understand the English used as the working language of ICANN. This is why efforts to improve the quality of ICANN documents and presentation in order to enable informed, inclusive and meaningful participation are important also from a diversity point of view. In order to communicate with a diverse community, it is necessary to explore other ways and means to bridge cultural and language barriers (in addition to translations), e.g. infographics, animations, videos that are langue-neutral or which can be localized by communities in their own cultural contexts. Infographics and pictures need to be accurately described in the meta tags or text attached to the picture so screen readers that are used by the visually impaired can understand and describe the picture. Captioning is a particularly useful tool to help people whose native language is not English and for those with disabilities. It would also help those whose remote participation at ICANN events is hampered by low bandwidth in areas where they live. The use of captioning should be extended to all groups and constituencies who want it, and efforts should be made to improve its accuracy.		N	NA
LAC	15.01 Overall	While supporting the recommendations for enhancing diversity as a whole, we want to focus on Language, which is one of the seven elements of diversity identified by WS2.	This should be considered in implementation.	N	NA
Chokri Ben Romdhane	12.01 Other - Membership	included and dedicated to accountability and diversity in the SO / AC membership process. Indeed some weakness could be easily noted when analyzing the membership process in some charters of some SO/AC or ICANN bylaws. The membership process of the SSAC and RSSAC for example need to be more accountable , transparent and divers : -It's not admissible in our days that the board appoint all the Member of SSAC based only on SSAC members recommandations without any transparent criteria , what I suggest that an independent committee could be elected , based on the 7 key elements of diversity defined by recommandation 1, and assigned the role of appointing the member of the SSAC. the member of the SSACThe committee whose appointed RSSAC Caucus Members should be also Indipendente and elected based on stackholder and regional representatitivity. "	This should be considered in implementation.	N	NA
Ghislain De Salins	15.02 Overall	The sub-group report recognizes the value of diversity and proposes a broad definition, including various criteria: Language; Gender; Age; Physical Disability; Diverse skills; Stakeholder group or constituency. The report proposes that SO/ACs assess themselves against diversity criteria and publish an annual report. ICANN staff would then publish a global annual report on diversity based on the AC/SOs' reports. AC/SOs are encouraged to take actions and design strategies to become more diverse.	Thank You.	N	NA

Ghislain De Salins	9.02 Other - External Overrsight / Office of Diversity	While I recognize that each SO/AC has their own challenges and should design their own diversity strategies and objectives, I'm concerned that the lack of external oversight will only lead to inertia and / or self-congratulation. If ICANN staff only is responsible for publishing an annual report on diversity, the report will probably not propose anything new or any ambitious objective to enhance diversity. Is there any solution? There are various available options to enhance external oversight for these diversity strategies and reports. One of them is to create a diversity office. Another option would be to have an advisory panel on diversity, with people coming from SO/ACs and in charge of coordinating the staff efforts to draft a global annual report on diversity. The panel could also propose objectives or best practices to SO/ACs, and analyze the gaps between AC/SOs strategies and results. By the way, the Ombudsman sub-group proposes in its recommendations to create an Ombudsman advisory panel with similar views. That could be of interest to the diversity subgroup.	thank you - there was not enough support for an Office of Diversity or other similar option to include them in the recommendations	N	NA
GNSO-BC	11.02 Other - Languages	with respect to lariguage diversity, the BC oriens a few comments: a) While supporting the objective of broader inclusiveness when it comes to language diversity as the BC as business users are very familiar with the challenges of language as a barrier to engagement, however, the BC notes that ICANN should adopt a reasonable and best efforts approach beyond the official languages of the United Nations. As pragmatic business leaders, the BC notes that not even the budgets of the UN organizations support every language in the world; and most working meetings are conducted in English, with translation and interpretation limited to the official UN languages. b) Still, ICANN has a unique accountability related to the IANA functions and also supporting IDN services. Thus, some unique support may be required in fulfilling such functional responsibilities, and may require focused translation of materials. c) The BC acknowledges that each community can do more to address the barrier of language, but only when financial resources or human resources are available. As an example, the BC does not have human or financial resources to translate all website/policy submissions or to conduct its meetings with interpretation, or translate all other materials into all of the six UN official languages. So the BC takes a targeted approach to support engagement, and translates its outreach materials into languages most relevant to the ICANN meeting, and when conducting outreach activities, into the most prevalent language relevant to said event, often using ICANN support, but also using volunteer resources from members. As appropriate, and as resources have allowed, the BC has also translated into the local language, while recognizing that the working language of ICANN, as with the UN organizations, is English. Having said that, the BC supports ICANN efforts to translate into the six UN languages, and where specific issues indicate a requirement, e.g. the IANA functions additional translations. d) Both translation and interpretati	Thank You.	N	NA
GNSO-BC	12.02 Other - Membership	and specialties are affected by ICANN's policies and the consequences that those carry for their online presence. In this sense, we would like to reinforce the idea that not only is it important to have diversity of stakeholders, but also to find a broader diversity within those groups. As far as commercial users are concerned, the involvement of large corporations along with SMEs and class entities is vital to gather a variety of views that comes close to reflecting a shared set of values that can be called both global and beneficial to as many businesses as possible. It is our desire to continue increasing collaboration with the ICANN Organizationanization to reach a broad variety of actors, and to always become more representative of the many ventures we speak for.		N	NA

GNSO-BC	14.01 Other - Timing considerations	1. There may be need to indicate the timing during the year by which the diversity criteria should have been published and updated on the SO/AC websites. It will also be useful to note that at a minimum, annual updates will be made to the SO/AC websites, after the initial publication. 2. The fulfillment of 1 above may be tied to an important ICANN event for which SO/AC are active participants and/or beneficiaries, such as the AGM, which provides a date well known to the full ICANN community, well ahead of time.	These points should be considered in implementation. Prioritization and funding for implementation of recommendations is beyond the scope and capacity of WS2 and rests with ICANN and the community. The CCWG-Accountability-WS2 proposes to establish a small implementation team to assist ICANN and the community to ensure the implementation plan preserves the spirit of the recommendations and provide any interpretation advice as	N	NA
GNSO-BC	15.03 Overall	The BC endorses all the recommendations of the sub-group on CCWG-Accountability -WS2. However, the BC provides the following comments:	This should be considered in implementation.	N	NA
GNSO-BC	8.06 Reecommendation 8 - ICANN staff should support the capture, analysis and communication of diversity information	Comment about data gathering of participation and representation in ICANN meetings: The BC suggests that much more can be done to gather and publish information about participation and representation at ICANN meetings, but also in online participation. a) the IGF, for instance, asks for affiliation by stakeholder group, and publishes such data. ICANN could establish a clearer definition of which stakeholder group an individual is most affiliated with for use in registration for ICANN meetings; statistics can also be inclusive of gender; language preference/language fluency; age, geo location, etc. ICANN could also update the Statement of Interest process to gather such statistics, which will provide an ongoing sample of participation in community work activities, above and beyond the membership data that can be gathered by the Constituency/SO/AC. b) statistics could also be gathered from remote participants in the official ICANN meetings to identify the "footprint" of ICANN beyond those who are attending in person. This could be a part of registering for remote participation/carefully distinguishing between those who are using online resources while on site. Such statistics will be helpful to ICANN to also understand its engagement with remote participants, but if inclusive of stakeholder interest group, will help the relevant SG/Community group.	Thank You. This should be considered in implementation.	N	NA
GNSO-IPC	1.01 Recommendation 1 - Defining the 7 Key elements	The IPC notes its support for Recommendation 1. Enhancing diversity through each of the seven key elements discussed in the Draft is essential to the success of ICANN.	Thank You.	N	NA
GNSO-IPC	2.01 Recommendation 2 - SOAC identification of elements of diversity	The IPC supports the Draft's recommendations for SOs/ACs (Recommendations 2-5), and notes that the support of ICANN staff will be important so that our volunteer membership and participation recruitment structures can successfully tackle each Recommendation.	Thank You.	N	NA
GNSO-IPC	3.01 Recommendation 3 - SOAC initial assessment	the support of ICANN staff will be important so that our volunteer membership and participation recruitment structures can successfully tackle each Recommendation.	Thank You.	N	NA
GNSO-IPC	of objectives	The IPC supports the Draft's recommendations for SOs/ACs (Recommendations 2-5), and notes that the support of ICANN staff will be important so that our volunteer membership and participation recruitment structures can successfully tackle each Recommendation.	Thank You.	N	NA
GNSO-IPC	5.01 Recommendation 5 - SOAC annual update of assessment	The IPC supports the Draft's recommendations for SOs/ACs (Recommendations 2-5), and notes that the support of ICANN staff will be important so that our volunteer membership and participation recruitment structures can successfully tackle each Recommendation.	Thank You.	N	NA
GNSO-IPC	6.01 Recommendation 6 - ICANN to provide support and tools	The IPC supports the proposed actions by ICANN staff in Recommendations 6-8 to support diversity in ICANN across each of the categories identified on page 11 of the Draft. Greater data collection (e.g., through Statements of Interest), and the development of an Annual Diversity Report will be important steps forward. Specifically, we encourage ICANN staff to help SOs and ACs better identify and categorize both in-person and remote participation in ICANN activities.	Thank You.	N	NA

	1-01-5	The IPC supports the proposed actions by ICANN staff in Recommendations 6-8 to support diversity	I=:		Ī
	7.01 Recommendation 7	in ICANN across each of the categories identified on page 11 of the Draft. Greater data collection	Thank You.		
CNICO IDC	- Process for dealing	(e.g., through Statements of Interest), and the development of an Annual Diversity Report will be		N.I	NIA
GNSO-IPC	with diversity complaints			N	NA
		important steps forward. Specifically, we encourage ICANN staff to help SOs and ACs better identify			
	0.04 D	and categorize both in-person and remote participation in ICANN activities. The IPC supports the proposed actions by ICANN staff in Recommendations 6-8 to support diversity	T. 1.7		
	8.01 Recommendation 8	in ICANN across each of the categories identified on page 11 of the Draft. Greater data collection	Thank You.		
01100 100	- ICANN staff to capture	(e.g., through Statements of Interest), and the development of an Annual Diversity Report will be			
GNSO-IPC	and publish divoloity			N	NA
	information	important steps forward. Specifically, we encourage ICANN staff to help SOs and ACs better identify			
	1.00 5	and categorize both in-person and remote participation in ICANN activities.			
	1.02 Recommendation 1	The NCSG affirms the seven key elements of diversity, as identified by the subgroup, as being the	This should be considered in implementation.		
	- Defining the 7 Key	baseline for all diversity considerations within ICANN. However, we would like to be clear that this is			
	elements	the baseline and not an exhaustive list, and with the passage of time, there should be a clear			
		mechanism in place to extend, modify, and update this set of criteria. Indeed, it is far from apparent			
		that this list is even adequate today, with obvious elements of diversity absent (for instance, sexual			
		orientation, ideology, or religion). To update the criteria, the self-identification of communities could be			
		contemplated. Self-identification allows one to identify a need to diversify the community based on an			
		element not listed in the baseline, and such aspects which could be temporary or strategic (for			
		instance, refugees, indigenous people, among others). Further discussion is required on how we can			
GNSO-NCSG		ensure that the common framework for diversity is one which remains fit for purpose.		N	NA
		In order to ensure that the attribute of language, identified by the subgroup as a key element of			
		diversity, is represented within ICANN, we encourage the use of translation and interpretation into the			
		UN languages as much as possible. At present, this happens consistently for GAC and ALAC			
		meetings, the Public Forum, and some Board meetings; however, there is a need for translation and			
		interpretation in other meetings as well. That there is not currently a perceived need for these services			
		is more a reflection on a lack of diversity than a sign that there is no need at all for language			
		assistance.			
	0.00 0	Across the community, every SO/AC should be committed to upholding ICANN's diversity principles			
	Z.OZ TROCOMMICHIGATION Z	and associated policies and practices. Accordingly, it is our strongly held view that all SO/ACs must	Thank You. As noted in the recommendations these		
GNSO-NCSG	- SOAC identification of	address all of the identified key elements of diversity. There is no justifiable reason for a charter to	recommendations are not mandatory but rather and expectation	N	NA
	elements of diversity	consider some criteria but not others.	of reasonable best efforts to implement for all.		
	2.02 December detion 2	It is inefficient and possibly ineffective to permit each SO/AC to define its own metrics for assessing	This should be associated discinsulation this important to		
	3.02 Recommendation 3	diversity. We believe a common, basic toolkit of criteria, metrics, and a score card would make it	This should be considered in implementation. It is important to		
CNICO NICCO	- SOAC initial	easier to fairly compare diversity levels within the ICANN community and how they change over time.	remember that the flexibility of various SO/AC s to adjust their	N	NA
GNSO-NCSG	assessment	Targets and goals for an appropriate baseline of diversity should also be set in order to know where to	diversity objectives according to their needs was a criticial	IN	INA
			cientent in the discussions which led to these		
	4.02 Dagammandation 4	increase efforts for diversity promotion.	recommendations		
	4.02 Recommendation 4	without placing undue burden on SO/AC/Groups. ICANN's support is required to ensure that these	This should be considered in implementation.		
	- SOAC initial publication	assessments are conducted within a reasonable time frame (avoiding delays and postponement) and,			
GNSO-NCSG	of objectives	recognising that most SO/AC/Groups are not experts in fostering diversity, that ICANN staff deliver		N	NA
		expert assistance in devising relevant diversity strategies and contracting external experts when			
		needed.			
	F 02 Pagammandation F	We support the periodic reassessment and monitoring of diversity, provided it does not place an	The cub group considered this comment and has amended		
	5.02 Recommendation 5	undue burden on the SO/AC/Group. We believe such assessments are best conducted by the ICANN	The sub-group considered this comment and has amended		
GNSO-NCSG	- SOAC annual update	Organizationanisation, who can procure expertise in this area, involving the SO/AC/Groups in the	recommendation to be more flexible (see red-line for details of	Υ	Recommendation 5
	of assessment		changes)		
		process.			

			T=	1	
GNSO-NCSG	6.02 Recommendation 6 - ICANN to provide support and tools	The NCSG considers it important to discuss the role of ICANN in supporting the SO/ACs in both defining and rolling out their applicable diversity strategy. Such resources may include, for example, capacity building programs to raise awareness and to train people on ICANN's diversity framework. It may also include bringing in external expertise to support SO/AC/Groups with regards to achieving their diversity activities and strategies.	These points should be considered in implementation. Prioritization and funding for implementation of recommendations is beyond the scope and capacity of WS2 and rests with ICANN and the community. The CCWG-Accountability-WS2 proposes to establish a small implementation team to assist ICANN and the community to ensure the implementation plan preserves the spirit of the recommendations and provide any interpretation advice as	N	NA
GNSO-NCSG	7.02 Recommendation 7 - Process for dealing	built in collaboration with SO/ACs/Groups. External expertise can be brought in if deemed necessary	The sub-group considered this comment and has amended recommendation to be more flexible (see red-line for details of changes)	Y	Recommendation 7
GNSO-NCSG	8.02 Recommendation 8 - ICANN staff to capture and publish diversity information	by all involved parties. The NCSG supports the role of the ICAININ Organizationanisation in capturing, analysing, and communicating diversity-related information. This should permit the capture and publication of diversity-related information in a timely manner, and in a consistent format and with consistent metrics. External assistance may be required in delivering this support if there is a need for more independence in assessing specific diversity elements, or if the ICANN Organizationanisation lacks the internal expertise to conduct this work. Such external expertise should be delivered by qualified professionals with extensive experience or ties to the relevant diversity element, be that through involvement in relevant organizations or work.	The sub-group considered this comment and has amended recommendation to be more flexible (see red-line for details of	Y	Recommendation 8
GNSO-RYSG	1.03 Recommendation 1 - Defining the 7 Key elements	The language of Recommendation #1 seems to imply or point at the formal acceptance of the key elements of diversity by the different SO/AC/Groups. The RySG suggests amending the language in line with the other recommendations to 'SO/AC/Groups should agree'	The sub-group agreed with this suggestion and the word SHOULD has been added to recommendation 1.	Y	Recommendation 1
GNSO-RYSG	2.03 Recommendation 2 - SOAC identification of elements of diversity	With respect to Recommendations #2, #3, #4 and #5, it would be ideal to specify timelines or a process that defines timelines for SOs/ACs/Groups to complete initial assessments, create baselines and then publish the results on their official web sites before the data could be used further.	This should be considered in implementation.	N	NA
GNSO-RYSG	3.04 Recommendation 3 - SOAC initial assessment	With respect to Recommendations #2, #3, #4 and #5, it would be ideal to specify timelines or a process that defines timelines for SOs/ACs/Groups to complete initial assessments, create baselines and then publish the results on their official web sites before the data could be used further.	This should be considered in implementation.	N	NA
GNSO-RYSG	4.03 Recommendation 4 - SOAC initial publication of objectives	With respect to Recommendations #2, #3, #4 and #5, it would be ideal to specify timelines or a process that defines timelines for SOs/ACs/Groups to complete initial assessments, create baselines and then publish the results on their official web sites before the data could be used further.	This should be considered in implementation.	N	NA
GNSO-RYSG	5.03 Recommendation 5 - SOAC annual update of assessment	an annual update of their diversity assessment following their initial assessment), the RySG suggests that an annual update would be too frequent, especially given the demands on volunteer-time and effort. It bears noting that the RySG is composed of a finite number of companies contracted with ICANN, that each of those companies decides who it sends that represents them, and that the creation of new registries is stalled while policy development for a subsequent gTLD round is ongoing. These factors limit the ability for the stakeholder group to impact diversity, particularly on a condensed timeline as contemplated in the report. While there are RySG actions that touch on diversity, it seems that discretion should be allowed among the groups with possibly an overall timeframe (biennial or longer) suggested as the outside target timeline.	The sub-group considered this comment and has amended recommendation to be more flexible (see red-line for details of changes)	Y	Recommendation 5
GNSO-RYSG	7.03 Recommendation 7 - Process for dealing with diversity complaints	With respect to Recommendation #7 (that ICANN staff develop a process for dealing with diversityrelated complaints), all affected groups should have a hand in developing such processes that affect them. Therefore, the RySG proposes to amend Recommendation #7 as follows: 'ICANN staff should support the SO/AC/Groups in developing and publishing a process for dealing with diversity related complaints and issues.'	The sub-group considered this comment and amended the recommendation according to the suggestion in the comment.	Y	Recommendation 7

GNSO-RYSG	9.03 Other - External Overrsight / Office of Diversity	The RySG agrees with the majority of the sub-group that the implementation of the recommendations within ICANN should be left to the ICANN Organizationanisation and that there is no need to recommend the establishment of an Office of Diversity.	The suggestion for an Office of Diversity was not retained by the sub-group and is not a recommendation in its final report.	Y	This text has been removed from the final recommendations and a footnote included to explain the removal
Government of France	1.04 Recommendation 1 - Defining the 7 Key elements	into account both the criteria for geographical and regional representation, language, gender, age but also elements related to the physical condition and components of the Community (recommendation 1 and 4). This Broad definition Of diversity will help to understand the complexity of this phenomenon and to include all situations.	Thank You.	N	NA
Government of France	2.04 Recommendation 2 - SOAC identification of elements of diversity	ROUGH TRANSLATION - the recommendation to measurer Diversity through updated, complete and published figures Is Also a positive step (recommendationS 2).	Thank You.	N	NA
Government of France	- SOAC initial assessment	In particular, recommendations 3 and 4 Which propose that each SO/AC establish a clean evaluation Compared to the criteria of variouslttee and publishes an annual report will help to better identify within the community components the priority actions.	Thank You.	N	NA
Government of France	4.04 Recommendation 4 - SOAC initial publication of objectives	ROUGH TRANSLATION - First, a multidimensional definition of diversity could be established taking into account both the criteria for geographical and regional representation, language, gender, age but also elements related to the physical condition and components of the Community (recommendation 1 and 4). This Broad definition Of diversity will help to understand the complexity of this phenomenon and to include all situations. In particular, recommendations 3 and 4 Which propose that each SO/AC establish a clean evaluation Compared to the criteria of variouslttee and publishes an annual report will help to better identify within the community components the priority actions.	Thank You.	N	NA
Government of France	5.04 Recommendation 5 - SOAC annual update of assessment	ROUGH TRANSLATION -This approach encourages AC/SO To Take action and develop strategies to become more diversified (recommendation 5) will allow ICANN, as a whole, to develop a coherent diversity policy.	Thank You.	N	NA
Government of France	7.04 Recommendation 7 - Process for dealing with diversity complaints	ROUGH TRANSLATION -Third, the Implementation In place OfA device Specific Requests from members of the community to Allow them to address any questions about ICANN's actions in the area of Diversity is a Progress.	Thank You.	N	NA
Government of France	9.04 Other - External Overrsight / Office of Diversity	ROUGH TRANSLATION -The French Government Fears that the lack of comprehensive oversight will hinder the development of a coherent and long-term diversity policy. In fact, to the extent that the report recommends that each SO/AC identify its own Objectives and develops its own strategy In terms of diversity, The risk of fragmentation and contradictory measures between the different so/AC may adversely affect the management of diversity policy as a whole if no supervisory authority at the ICANN level as a whole exists. Thus, the French Government, as well as many members of the community, remainsnt Convinceds The need to set up a Independent dedicated body to oversee global policy Of Diversity As is Mentioned In recommendation 8 of the report. Indeed, the Members of the sub-group proposed to create an office for diversity within ICANN to the image Many public organizations and private in the world Who have chosen this path. Some members of the sub-group opposeds The creation of the Bureau of Diversity on the one hand, that such an instance would entail financial costs and, on the other hand, That this jurisdiction was the responsibility of ICANN staff. Yet the French government thinks that only An autonomous and dedicated entity, WhatThe That Its name (Office, Advisory Group etc.), is able to drive efficiently and independently An ambitious diversity policy because the members of this entity will be The otherNot related to a group or To the staff of the Icann. Indeed, it is essential that the structure in charge of diversity policy is independent in order to protect itself from any conflict of interest. If a Dedicated office within ICANN is the best way for the French government and many members of the community, it is indispensable, in a constructive spirit, to advance on other possibilities on the form, not on the principle, that could take this structure like that Of Create a GROUP Advisory Committee on diversity within the OMbudsman ICANN, which is now And already a neutral and independent body.	Diversity or other similar option to include them in the recommendations	N	NA

Government of India	1.05 Recommendation 1 - Defining the 7 Key elements	elements of Diversity can be used as a common starting point for all Diversity considerations within ICANN, the following aspects/dimensions may also additionally be taken into account: Race Ethnicity It is imperative to ensure diversity in SO/AC/Groups. While speaking of diversity, the importance of 'Geographic Diversity' cannot be overstated. Therefore, it is strongly recommended that geographies (countries) where the largest number of internet users come from should be provided with voting rights and membership proportionate to the legions of internet users they seek to represent. Furthermore, each SO/AC must ensure equitable representation from each geography in proportion to the number of internet users that they represent. (long response please see document for complete text)	This comment was discussed by the sub-group and this approach was not retained.	Ν	NA
Government of India	2.05 Recommendation 2 - SOAC identification of elements of diversity	elements of Diversity can be used as a common starting point for all Diversity considerations within ICANN, the following aspects/dimensions may also additionally be taken into account: Race Ethnicity It is imperative to ensure diversity in SO/AC/Groups. While speaking of diversity, the importance of 'Geographic Diversity' cannot be overstated. Therefore, it is strongly recommended that geographies (countries) where the largest number of internet users come from should be provided with voting rights and membership proportionate to the legions of internet users they seek to represent. Furthermore, each SO/AC must ensure equitable representation from each geography in proportion to the number of internet users that they represent. (long response please see document for complete text)	This comment was discussed by the sub-group and this approach was not retained.	Ν	NA
Government of India	3.06 Recommendation 3 - SOAC initial assessment	Recommendation #3, #4 & #5: While appreciating the recommendation for each SO/AC/group, supported by ICANN staff for undertaking annual update f their diversity assessment against their Diversity Criteria and objectives at all levels including leadership, publishing these on their official websites and using this information to review and update their objectives, strategies and timelines, more information in the draft recommendations should have been provided regarding the criteria, structures and the processes for undertaking such updation of objectives, strategies and timelines.	This should be considered in implementation which the subgroup felt should best be leftt to ICANN and the community.	N	NA
Government of India		supported by ICANN staff for undertaking annual update f their diversity assessment against their Diversity Criteria and objectives at all levels including leadership, publishing these on their official websites and using this information to review and update their objectives, strategies and timelines, more information in the draft recommendations should have been provided regarding the criteria, structures and the processes for undertaking such updation of objectives, strategies and timelines.	This should be considered in implementation which the sub- group felt should best be leftt to ICANN and the community.	N	NA
Government of India	5.05 Recommendation 5 - SOAC annual update of assessment	supported by ICANN staff for undertaking annual update f their diversity assessment against their Diversity Criteria and objectives at all levels including leadership, publishing these on their official websites and using this information to review and update their objectives, strategies and timelines, more information in the draft recommendations should have been provided regarding the criteria, structures and the processes for undertaking such updation of objectives, strategies and timelines.	This should be considered in implementation which the sub- group felt should best be leftt to ICANN and the community.	N	NA

•			<u>, </u>		,
Government of India	mar diversity complaints	Recommendation #6, #7 & #8: We welcome the recommendations #6,#7 & #8 regarding Supporting Diversity which include providing support and tools for SO/AC/groups in assessing their diversity, develop and publish a process for dealing with Diversity related complaints and support to the capture, analysis and communication of diversity information by way of dedicating a Diversity section on the ICANN website which gathers and maintains all the diversity related information at one place etc. However, ICANN must also develop processes which capture and analyze information on the impact of cultural sensitivity and unconscious bias on ICANN processes and document the same and develop processes which limit the extent of/ try and overcome/ minimize the impacts of the above factors on ICANN processes, through appropriate training /support tools as well as measures aimed at substantive inclusion of users with differing sensitivities according to their respective cultures. It is felt that language is a determining factor in supporting Diversity and hence it is felt that adequate measures need to be taken in the ICANN ecosystem to make available websites(information available on), resources(both for learning and participation), communication (like letters, newsletters, announcements, notifications etc.) and exchanges(mails in mailing lists) in languages which are best understood by the respective users and as such over reliance on the justification regarding the languages officially recognized by UN system does not seem to be in order. With the kind of resources that ICANN has at its disposal and the vision of ICANN to be seen as a truly globally-representative body, it is important that ICANN make available all the resources required for substantive participation at the disposal of all its stakeholders in order to support diversity and representation of the viewpoints of stakeholders from all linguistic and cultural backgrounds.		Z	NA
Government of India	8.03 Recommendation 8 - ICANN staff to capture and publish diversity information	Recommendation #6, #7 & #8: We welcome the recommendations #6,#7 & #8 regarding Supporting Diversity which include providing support and tools for SO/AC/groups in assessing their diversity, develop and publish a process for dealing with Diversity related complaints and support to the capture, analysis and communication of diversity information by way of dedicating a Diversity section on the ICANN website which gathers and maintains all the diversity related information at one place etc. However, ICANN must also develop processes which capture and analyze information on the impact of cultural sensitivity and unconscious bias on ICANN processes and document the same and develop processes which limit the extent of/ try and overcome/ minimize the impacts of the above factors on ICANN processes, through appropriate training /support tools as well as measures aimed at substantive inclusion of users with differing sensitivities according to their respective cultures. It is felt that language is a determining factor in supporting Diversity and hence it is felt that adequate measures need to be taken in the ICANN ecosystem to make available websites(information available on), resources(both for learning and participation), communication (like letters, newsletters, announcements, notifications etc.) and exchanges(mails in mailing lists) in languages which are best understood by the respective users and as such over reliance on the justification regarding the languages officially recognized by UN system does not seem to be in order. With the kind of resources that ICANN has at its disposal and the vision of ICANN to be seen as a truly globally-representative body, it is important that ICANN make available all the resources required for substantive participation at the disposal of all its stakeholders in order to support diversity and representation of the viewpoints of stakeholders from all linguistic and cultural backgrounds.	Languages are identified as a key element of diversity in the recommendations. The points made in the comment should be considered during implementation and should be addressed by ICANN Organizationanization and the community.	Z	NA
ICANN - Board	1.06 Recommendation 1 - Defining the 7 Key elements	As a global organization, diversity is extremely important to the ICANN Board and ICANN Organizationanization, and as such we support these recommendations as written. However, with regard to these recommendations, it is important to note the following elements specific to the Board and ICANN Organizationanization.	Thank You.	N	NA

ICANN - Board	10.01 Other - Imposing change on SO/Acs	Across the recommendations, the implementation will require resources and support from across the ICANN Community, as every ICANN SO and AC must participate in this effort in order to achieve full implementation. While ICANN Organizationanization can produce reports and make items available on the websites, etc., the component SOs and ACs must modify their work practices in order to meet many of the recommendations. ICANN Organizationanization cannot impose this change	Prioritization and funding for implementation of recommendations is beyond the scope and capacity of WS2 and rests with ICANN and the community. The CCWG-Accountability-WS2 proposes to establish a small implementation team to assist ICANN and the community to ensure the implementation plan preserves the spirit of the recommendations and provide any interpretation advice as required. As to imposing changes on SOACs all the recommendations are framed as suggestions by using the word SHOULD and are not intended as a requirement but rather	Ν	NA
ICANN - Board	13.01 Other - Privacy	To note, though, there may be competing privacy interests that may weigh against ICANN's (or the other SO/AC/group's) ability to collect diversity information. For example, in June 2017, ICANN Organizationanization expanded its diversity questionnaire as part of its meeting registration process, in part to try to start collecting information along the lines of the diversity elements noted in the Subgroup's work report. However, concerns were quickly raised on the propriety of collecting some of the information, and ICANN responded to community concerns and removed the new questions. It would be helpful to understand how privacy interests were considered as part of the development of these recommendations. The availability of a "prefer not to respond" option in various collection vehicles may mitigate the impact, but could also have implications for the effectiveness of data collection efforts to achieve the goals set out in the subgroup's report. In addition, in light of GDPR and other similar laws and regulations, the concept of creating databases to store information on personal characteristics could become unworkable.		Z	NA
ICANN - Board	2.06 Recommendation 2 - SOAC identification of elements of diversity	main concerns with this recommendation: • Although it fully supports diversity for the Board it is very limited as to what it can do to improve diversity beyond what is included in the Bylaws with respect to this • Although it fully supports diversity for staff it is significantly constrained as to what it can do to improve diversity in this area by employment laws in the various countries where it operates • As noted in the privacy concern ICANN may be significantly constrained as to what information it can collect and keep with respect to diversity by various privacy laws.	As to imposing changes on ICANN Organization or the Board all the recommendations are framed as suggestions by using the word SHOULD and are not intended as a requirement but rather asking for a reasonable best effort to implement.	Z	NA
ICANN - Board	3.07 Recommendation 3 - SOAC initial assessment	(summary – please see the original comment for the complete text) The ICAINN Board presents three main concerns with this recommendation: • Although it fully supports diversity for the Board it is very limited as to what it can do to improve diversity beyond what is included in the Bylaws with respect to this • Although it fully supports diversity for staff it is significantly constrained as to what it can do to improve diversity in this area by employment laws in the various countries where it operates • As noted in the privacy concern ICANN may be significantly constrained as to what information it can collect and keep with respect to diversity by various privacy laws.	As to imposing changes on ICANN Organization or the Board all the recommendations are framed as suggestions by using the word SHOULD and are not intended as a requirement but rather asking for a reasonable best effort to implement.	N	NA
ICANN - Board	4.06 Recommendation 4 - SOAC initial publication of objectives	(summary – please see the original comment for the complete text) The ICAINN Board presents three main concerns with this recommendation: • Although it fully supports diversity for the Board it is very limited as to what it can do to improve diversity beyond what is included in the Bylaws with respect to this • Although it fully supports diversity for staff it is significantly constrained as to what it can do to improve diversity in this area by employment laws in the various countries where it operates • As noted in the privacy concern ICANN may be significantly constrained as to what information it can collect and keep with respect to diversity by various privacy laws.	As to imposing changes on ICANN Organization or the Board all the recommendations are framed as suggestions by using the word SHOULD and are not intended as a requirement but rather asking for a reasonable best effort to implement.	N	NA

ICANN - Board	5.07 Recommendation 5 - SOAC annual update of assessment	main concerns with this recommendation: • Although it fully supports diversity for the Board it is very limited as to what it can do to improve diversity beyond what is included in the Bylaws with respect to this • Although it fully supports diversity for staff it is significantly constrained as to what it can do to improve diversity in this area by employment laws in the various countries where it operates • As noted in the privacy concern ICANN may be significantly constrained as to what information it can collect and keep with respect to diversity by various privacy laws.	As to imposing changes on ICANN Organization or the Board all the recommendations are framed as suggestions by using the word SHOULD and are not intended as a requirement but rather asking for a reasonable best effort to implement.	N	NA
ICANN - Board	6.04 Recommendation 6 - ICANN to provide support and tools	While the ICANN Board and organization are supportive of the recommendations in this section, it is important to note that these recommendations, as well as several previous recommendations in the report, will require ICANN staff support and could impose ongoing resource requirements. ICANN operates within a specific budget based on limited funding. Recommendations that add costs to ICANN's operations result in the organization making trade-offs with other items, such as the implementation of new policies, or innovation of existing programs or services. They might also establish a situation where the organization is unable to effectively meet community expectations with either the new recommendations or existing obligations. The CCWG-Accountability should consider these factors when providing guidance on the extent these recommendations should be implemented. The Board and organization appreciate the subgroup's majority opinion that implementation of the recommendations should be left to the ICANN Organizationanization to determine appropriate mechanisms and structures. However, guidance is needed regarding the extent of implementation of these recommendations, especially with regard to the privacy-related concerns and resource considerations previously noted.	Prioritization and funding for implementation of recommendations is beyond the scope and capacity of WS2 and rests with ICANN and the community. The CCWG-Accountability-WS2 proposes to establish a small implementation team to assist ICANN and the community to ensure the implementation plan preserves the spirit of the recommendations and provide any interpretation advice as required.	N	NA
ICANN - Board	- Process for dealing	ICANN operates within a specific budget based on limited funding. Recommendations that add costs to ICANN's operations result in the organization making trade-offs with other items, such as the	Prioritization and funding for implementation of recommendations is beyond the scope and capacity of WS2 and rests with ICANN and the community. The CCWG-Accountability-WS2 proposes to establish a small implementation team to assist ICANN and the community to ensure the implementation plan preserves the spirit of the recommendations and provide any interpretation advice as required.	N	NA

	la a = B				
ICANN - Board	8.05 Recommendation 8 - ICANN staff to capture and publish diversity information	While the ICANN Board and organization are supportive of the recommendations in this section, it is important to note that these recommendations, as well as several previous recommendations in the report, will require ICANN staff support and could impose ongoing resource requirements. ICANN operates within a specific budget based on limited funding. Recommendations that add costs to ICANN's operations result in the organization making trade-offs with other items, such as the implementation of new policies, or innovation of existing programs or services. They might also establish a situation where the organization is unable to effectively meet community expectations with either the new recommendations or existing obligations. The CCWG-Accountability should consider these factors when providing guidance on the extent these recommendations should be implemented. The Board and organization appreciate the subgroup's majority opinion that implementation of the recommendations should be left to the ICANN Organizationanization to determine appropriate mechanisms and structures. However, guidance is needed regarding the extent of implementation of these recommendations, especially with regard to the privacy-related concerns and resource considerations previously noted.	Prioritization and funding for implementation of recommendations is beyond the scope and capacity of WS2 and rests with ICANN and the community. The CCWG-Accountability-WS2 proposes to establish a small implementation team to assist ICANN and the community to ensure the implementation plan preserves the spirit of the recommendations and provide any interpretation advice as required.	N	NA
ICANN - Board	9.05 Other - External Overrsight / Office of Diversity	the establishment of an Office of Diversity, and that no recommendation has been issued from the CCWG on this topic. The report identifies that the role of this office would be to independently support, record and keep track of issues including complaints from the community on diversity issues within the organization, and could include the reporting responsibilities. The idea of this office is not fully defined. It is not clear how this structure would be implemented, what resources would be required to establish and maintain this office, or how to address the overlapping responsibilities that are already handled within ICANN. Given the lack of clarity around this office, lack of consensus support within the subgroup (and presumably within the CCWG-Accountability and the broader community), and noting the previously-mentioned budget and funding constraints and considerations, the Board is not in a position to accept this item if it were to be presented as a formal consensus-based recommendation in the final WS2 report.		Y	This text has been removed from the final recommendations and a footnote included to explain the removal.
INTA	1.07 Recommendation 1 - Defining the 7 Key elements	INTA supports the CCWG-Accountability WS2's recommendation that diversity should be defined using key elements employed as a common starting point for all diversity considerations within ICANN. (See Draft Diversity Report at page 4.) Currently, the 7 key elements as identified in the Draft Diversity Report are: 1) Geographic/regional representation, 2) language, 3) gender, 4) age, 5) Physical Disability, 6) Diverse Skills, and 7) Stakeholder group or constituency. While INTA supports with the concept of identifying key elements, the elements themselves appear to exclude certain factors that should be considered. Specifically, INTA notes the exclusion of ethnicity, race/national origin, minorities (as applied to a particular geographic area or region) and underrepresented groups. For example, there may be certain people in a country, e.g. New Zealand, who are considered underrepresented in that country based on their race or ethnicity. However, under the factors as set forth in the Draft Diversity Report, such person would not be considered as "diverse" under the definition of diversity as they would not fit within the 7 enumerated categories.	This comment was discussed by the sub-group and this approach was not retained.	N	NA
INTA	2.07 Recommendation 2 - SOAC identification of elements of diversity	INTA generally agrees that each SO/AC/group should identify which elements of diversity are mandated in their Charters or ICANN Bylaws and any other elements that are relevant and applicable to each of its levels including leadership ("Diversity Criteria") and publish the results of the exercise on the official websites. INTA believes this will lead to further transparency in diversity efforts and may alert the ICANN community to issues of diversity within the overall structure.	Thank You.	N	NA

	3.08 Recommendation 3	INTA generally agrees that each SO/AC/group, supported by ICANN staff, should undertake an initial	This should be considered in implementation.		
	- SOAC initial	assessment of their diversity for all of their structures including leadership based on their Diversity	This should be considered in implementation.		
INTA	assessment	Criteria and publish the results on their official website. However, if the SO/AC/group does not have		N	NA
	assessment	their own Diversity Criteria, INTA would recommend that the SO/AC/group use the Diversity criteria as		1 1	
1		set forth in the Draft Diversity Report as an initial guideline for such inquiry.			
	4.07 Recommendation 4	INTA generally agrees that each SO/AC/group should use the information from their initial assessment	Thank You.		
INTA	- SOAC initial publication	to define and publish on their official website their Diversity Criteria objectives and strategies for		N	NA
1	of objectives	achieving these, as well as a timeline for doing so.			
	5.06 Recommendation 5	INTA generally agrees that each SO/AC/group, supported by ICANN staff, should undertake an	Thank You.		
	- SOAC annual update	annual update of their diversity assessment against their Diversity Criteria and objectives at all levels			A1.A
INTA	of assessment	including leadership. Such results should be published on the official website and should be used to		N	NA
1	or addeddinent	review and update objectives, strategies and timelines.			
	6.03 Recommendation 6	INTA generally agrees that ICANN staff should provide support and tools for the SO/AC/groups to	Thank You.		
I	- ICANN to provide	assist them in assessing their diversity in an appropriate manner. INTA also agrees that ICANN should			
INTA	support and tools	identify staff or community resources that can assist the SO/ACs or other components of the		N	NA
İ	capport and tools	community with diversity related activities and strategies			
	7.06 Recommendation 7	INTA genérally agrees that ICANN staff should develop and publish a process for dealing with	Thank You.		
l		diversity related complaints and issues. However, given privacy issues and possible concerns for			A1.A
INTA		individuals in particular jurisdictions, INTA does not take a position regarding the specifics of this		N	NA
İ	with diversity complaints	program.			
	8.04 Recommendation 8	Although INTA believes that it is appropriate and indeed desirable for ICANN to capture, analyze and	Thank You.		
l		communicated diversity information to later be provided through ICANN's website, Annual Diversity			A1.A
INTA	and publish diversity	Reports and in other manners, INTA does not take a position regarding the specifics of this program		N	NA
1	information	provided it is done at regular intervals and the results published periodically			
	15.04 Overall	The sub-group report recognizes the value of diversity and proposes a broad definition, including	Thank You.		
1	1	various criteria: Language; Gender; Age; Physical Disability; Diverse skills; Stakeholder group or			
l		constituency. The report proposes that SO/ACs assess themselves against diversity criteria and			A1.A
Jorge Cancio		publish an annual report. ICANN staff would then publish a global annual report on diversity based on		N	NA
1		the AC/SOs' reports. AC/SOs are encouraged to take actions and design strategies to become more			
1		diverse.			
	9.06 Other - External	Although I feel that the report goes in the right direction, I would like to generally support the	thank you - there was not enough support for an Office of		
Jorge Cancio	Overrsight / Office of	comments made by Ghislain de Salins regarding the need of providing for some sort of adequate	Diversity or other similar option to include them in the	N	NA
•	Diversity	external evaluation and/or assessment of the diversity enhancing measured to be adopted. The sub-group report recognizes the value of diversity and proposes a broad definition, including	recommendations		
	15.05 Overall		Thank You.		
1	1	various criteria: Language; Gender; Age; Physical Disability; Diverse skills; Stakeholder group or			
la		constituency. The report proposes that SO/ACs assess themselves against diversity criteria and			
Sebasiten Bachollet		publish an annual report. ICANN staff would then publish a global annual report on diversity based on		N	NA
İ		the AC/SOs' reports. AC/SOs are encouraged to take actions and design strategies to become more			
1		diverse.			
	9.07 Other - External	I support the comments made by Ghislain De Salins.	thank you - there was not enough support for an Office of		
Sebasiten Bachollet			Diversity or other similar option to include them in the	N	NA
	Diversity	(data coming from SO/AC, staff or any other group/person related to ICANN diversity).	recommendations		
·	15.06 Overall		Thank You.		
1		While there are no associated security and stability aspects, the SSAC does wish to thank the			
SSAC		Diversity Sub-Group for its effort and for this comprehensive report. The SSAC is generally supportive		N	NA
SOAC					
3370		of the recommendations and notes the observation that the elements of diversity identified in the			

9.08 Other - Exte Overrsight / Offic Diversity SSAC	The SSAC also notes the execitic request to provide further input and comment on the concept of		This text has been removed from the final recommendations and a footnote included to explain the removal.
--	---	--	---