30 August 2010

WG-3: Community / Stakeholder Engagement
Formulation of Hypotheses using L. Strickling's template

Would consideration of community and stakeholder engagement aspects of ICANN issues be improved by (been impaired by a failure to):

1. (Topic: transparency) Mapping out the 3 approaches of active, passive, and participatory transparency, and including exemptions in each approach along with where there may be deficiencies, perceived or supported by evidence.

2. (Topic: transparency) Suggesting a regular transparency audit schedule whereby the set of criteria, process, and results are publicly available and kept up to day.

3. (Topic: public participation) Diagramming how an individual's input flows through direct and indirect mechanisms for public participation in the decision-making process.

4. (Topic: public participation) Identifying in detail the variety of structural elements offered for public input with respect to functionality, clarity, consistency of these elements along with information relevance, quantity, consistency, and translations factors…all of which are affected by the timeliness and incisiveness of ICANN's communications.

5. (Topic: public participation) Researching the factors related to the life cycle of an input from introduction through presentation to the Board, and considering by the Board.

6. (Topic: public participation) Gaining a deeper understanding of the role and influence of Supporting Organizations and Advisory Committees in the context of a particular policy decision to help analyze the strength and impact of public inputs via these representative bodies.