***Interacting with Review Team Alumni***

Which recommendations worked? Were there any that you would change looking back? In terms of the review process, Review Teams often handed over their recommendations and then disband, would there be value in a formal alumni process/post facto examination of how well recommendations have been implemented?

Has ICANN’s (Board? Staff? Community?) culture been impacted by the Review Team process and implementation of recommendations? If so, how?

What area do you think the ATRT 2 should pay specific attention to?

Do you think the ATRT1 recommendations are implemented effectively?

ATRT 1 members, what is the most valuable experience you would like to share with ATRT 2?

Do you think that the structure (human and other resources) is adequate to implement the recommendations of your Review Team?

What was ATRT1’s interpretation of public interest?

To what level the ATRT 1 was able to address public input issue?

What do you hope this Team will do as we carry out our task under the AoC to review the implementation of the previous Review Team’s recommendations?

What were your considerations with respect to the legitimacy of ICANN? Do you think that the recommendations 9 to 14 in the set of ATRT 1 recommendations has been implemented appropriately? Do you think the overall legitimacy of ICANN has been enhanced by this implementation?

Recommendation 6 policy development: what were the underlying issues you observed that led to this particular recommendation?

How do you assess the Board’s response to your recommendations?

* do you feel the Board understood your recommendations?
* What process did the Board use to review your recommendations? Do you have any concerns about the process they used?
* Did the Board accept all your recommendations?
* Do you have any concerns about the implementation of your recommendations?

Given existing implementation efforts, which recommendations do you feel were not interpreted as intended? Which recommendation yet to be implemented, if any, do you feel should have the greatest priority in implementation?

How do you assess the staff and Community’s response to your recommendations?

What were the main objectives that you had to achieve? Did the Terms of Reference support you in that? Should they have been broader or narrower?

Are there areas of your mandate you believe you did not sufficiently focus on?

Are there areas for which you now believe that the recommendations were insufficient? Are there implementations you deem incomplete/inappropriate/insufficient that we should revisit?

Overall do you believe that ICANN management respected the processes of the AoC and of your final outcomes? Please explain.

Do you consider the effort you put into the AoC process well invested?

What was the involvement of the ICANN Community in your process? Do you think you involved the Community in an effective way? If not, what could be done differently?

Did you have any concerns about the manner in which you conducted your review:

* Did you receive an adequate level of support from ICANN?
* Would you propose a change of level of support?
* Were there issues the group could not review fully or at all? If so, why not?

Do you think ICANN allocated appropriate resources to the review process and implementation of recommendations?

Have you seen any improvements as a result of the implementation of your recommendations? If so, describe.

Have you seen any worsening of situation because of your recommendations?