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**Work Stream 1 - ATRT1 – review of implementation of ATRT1 Review Team recommendations + any new recommendations – AoC 9.1(a)-(e)**

**Chair:**

**WS 1 (a) Members**: Carlos Raúl Gutierrez, David Conrad, Lise Fuhr

**New Issues**:

* Transparency in Financial Matters
* Skills and training – look more closely. Measure(s) associated with skills and training (part of ATRT1 work)
* Internationalization of outreach
* Capture – are there transparency (apparent) and accountability (actual) issues? Source of ICANN resources – to whom the resources come from. Decouple – where you get the resources and what community you face.

**WS 1 (b) Members**: Carlos Raúl Gutierrez, David Conrad, Larry Strickling, Xinsheng Zhang, Stephen Conroy, Jørgen Abild Andersen

**New Issues:**

* Accountability of GAC operations
* Internationalization of outreach
* Visibility of GAC register, effectiveness, relation with the Board
* Formal process for deciding GAC advice is needed (part of ATRT1 work)

**WS1 (c) Members:** Lise Fuhr, Jørgen Abild Andersen, Michael Yakushev, Brian Cute, Alan Greenberg

**New Issues:**

**WS1 (d) Members:** Avri Doria, Fiona Asonga, Olivier Crépin-Leblond

**New Issues:**

* Communication, what is accepted by larger community
* Internationalization of outreach

**WS 1 (e) Members**: Alan Greenberg, Fiona Asonga, Larry Strickling, Brian Cute

**New Issues:**

* Review of Recommendation #6 from ATRT1
* ICANN Policy Development Process including Cross-community interaction
* IP address policy development and implementation

Cross cutting issues for all WS1 work:

* [cross cutting] Metrics, success criteria. How processes can be verified in an accountable and transparent way.
* [cross cutting] Review methods for continual assessment
* [cross cutting] Accountability + Transparency = Legitimacy towards Governments and the larger Internet. How is ICANN outreach doing? Where it going?
* [cross cutting] Efficiency, effectiveness and legitimacy
* [cross cutting] Transparency as a default condition
* [cross cutting] How ICANN can be effective and efficient while improving full multi-stakeholder participation, accountability and transparency.

**Work Stream 2 - Security, Stability and and Resiliency – review of implementation of SSR Review Team recommendations - AoC 9.2(a)-(c)**

**Chair: David Conrad**

* David Conrad
* Demi Getschko
* Olivier Crépin-Leblond
* Avri Doria

**New Issues:**

* Greater emphasis in analysis (security, stability)
* [cross cutting] Metrics, success criteria. How processes can be verified in an accountable and transparent way.
* [cross cutting] Review methods for continual assessment
* [cross cutting] Accountability + Transparency = Legitimacy towards Governments and the larger Internet. How is ICANN outreach doing? Where it going?
* [cross cutting] Efficiency, effectiveness and legitimacy
* [cross cutting] Transparency as a default condition
* [cross cutting] How ICANN can be effective and efficient while improving full multi-stakeholder participation, accountability and transparency.

**Work Stream 3 - WHOIS – review of implementation of WHOIS Review Team recommendations AoC 9.3.1**

* Michael Yakushev
* Stephen Conroy
* Alan Greenberg

**New Issues:**

* [cross cutting] Metrics, success criteria. How processes can be verified in an accountable and transparent way.
* [cross cutting] Review methods for continual assessment
* [cross cutting] Accountability + Transparency = Legitimacy towards Governments and the larger Internet. How is ICANN outreach doing? Where it going?
* [cross cutting] Efficiency, effectiveness and legitimacy
* [cross cutting] Transparency as a default condition
* [cross cutting] How ICANN can be effective and efficient while improving full multi-stakeholder participation, accountability and transparency.

**Work Stream 4 – Consider the extent to which assessments and actions undertaken by ICANN have been successful in ensuring that ICANN is acting transparently, is accountable for its decision-making, and acts in the public interest**

**WS 4 Chair:**

**WS 4 Members:**

* Avri Doria
* Lawrence Strickling
* Stephen Conroy
* Brian Cute
* David Conrad
* Fiona Asonga
* Carlos Raúl Gutierrez
* Olivier Crépin-Leblond
* Lise Fuhr
* Jørgen Abild Andersen
* Xinsheng Zhang

**New Issues:**

* Looking at the recommendations of the three other groups – ICANN’s interpretation of review team recommendations – ICANN’s implementation of the recommendations. Asking the question: “Does it satisfy the standard outlined in the affirmation?”
* Review the Board process used to review, implement and oversee recommendations of review teams.
* [cross cutting] Metrics, success criteria. How processes can be verified in an accountable and transparent way.
* [cross cutting] Review methods for continual assessment
* [cross cutting] Accountability + Transparency = Legitimacy towards Governments and the larger Internet. How is ICANN outreach doing? Where it going?
* [cross cutting] Efficiency, effectiveness and legitimacy
* [cross cutting] Transparency as a default condition
* [cross cutting] How ICANN can be effective and efficient while improving full multi-stakeholder participation, accountability and transparency.