ATRT2><CSG – CONFERENCE CALL
Monday, 1 July

1. Is the GNSO PDP working well, and if not, what needs to be done?

2. To what extent is ICANN able to avail itself of volunteer stakeholder efforts? What needs to be changed to increase this ability (if it is not already optimal)?

3. Only the BC submitted an input to the ATRT questionnaire and none of the constituencies answered the full questionnaire.
   * Can you give us a reason for the lack of comments or response?
   * Are we asking the wrong questions?
   * Asking in the wrong way?

Specific questions based on BC statement:

4. BC used the term "material new obligations". Can you give an idea of how this is used?

5. Can you give examples of the trend to top down decision-making; especially in the way non-AOC committees and WG are established.

6. What sort of evidence would be sufficient to allow the community to know its recommendations "are considered by ICANN staff and board when making decisions"?

--

The multi-stakeholder model presumes we can get substantive involvement from all stakeholders, including those who do not have financial interests at stake. Are we doing that effectively, and if not, what does ICANN need to change to be able to do it effectively.

There has been a lot of discussion, and some ATRT-1 recommendations related to the Public Comment process. Do you think the process to receive comments is working well, and if not what needs to be done to fix or change it. For the comments that are received, do you feel that those requested by PDP, staff and the Board are effectively taken into account in ultimate decisions.

WORK STREAM 1 QUESTIONS
Monday, 4 July

Do you believe subdivisions within the ICANN Community, GNSO etc help or hinder participation in the process?

Do you have concerns about ICANN's overall transparency and accountability? Do you have issues that are specific to your group?