

ATRT2 – WHOIS RT (Workstream 3)

Requests for additional information

Recommendation	Request
1	<p>Answer N makes reference to the involvement of the CEO in Compliance. Please provide additional information regarding the nature of and frequency of such involvement?</p> <p>Answer E makes reference to the “Halogen” system. As this do not seem to be publicly documented, please provide a brief (i.e. 1-2 sentences) description of what it is. The same for “At-Task” if this is not the system provided by Attask.com.</p>
2	<p>The Recommendation called for a single WHOIS policy document integrating all of the formal policy and de facto policy implemented before ICANN and in ICANN’s early years. The product that is being presented as satisfying this is a web page pointing to the formal bits of policy adopted by ICANN, as well as the requested references to Whois policy and implementation, but seems to lack the integrated “all in one place” WHOIS policy currently in effect. An explanation would be appreciated.</p>
3	<p>“In progress” is impossible to interpret as an answer to what percentage or portion has been completed. Please provide a more definitive estimate of what has been done to date and what the prognosis (including time-line) is for further progress.</p>
4	<p>Reference is made to “the entire Board is monitoring the progress”. Please describe the mode and time-frame of such monitoring. On the assumption that some or all of this monitoring take the form of regular reports, please provide a copy of a recent report (redacted if necessary to ensure appropriate confidentiality).</p>
5, 11	<p>Please expand on “In progress” as a percentage/portion and the other “In Progress” answers to provide an accurate picture of where we are and prognosis including time-line for further progress.</p>
6, 7	<p>Comment to Recommendation 5 applies here as well. Given that concerns have been raised about whether this Recommendation can be implemented in an effective and efficient manner.</p> <p>What alternatives to the NORC methodology is ICANN considering to allow this Recommendation to be addressed and how it will be used to improve, monitor and transparently report on the accuracy of WHOIS data?</p> <p>Methodology notwithstanding, does ICANN believe that the targets outlined in Recommendation 1 will be met (and if yes, what is the base date which the 12 and 24 months periods refer to). If these targets cannot reasonably be met,</p>

8	<p>Presuming the current proposed RA and RAA are put into place with no substantive changes with respect to WHOIS, how does this affect completion of this Recommendation and the answers provided.</p> <p>Please also address the fact that the vast majority of current domains, and specifically all of the current ones may not be subject to the new RA for an indeterminate amount of time.</p>
9	<p>Please identify the “Board direction” referred to in “No further action required here per board direction as it relates to the impact of the annual WHOIS Data Reminder Policy - The WRT recommendation as stated is not feasible.”</p> <p>There seems to be a discrepancy between 20% completion, the recommendation being “not feasible”, and still “In progress”. Please explain.</p>
10	<p>What is the plan, in some detail, for moving forward once the 2013 RAA is ratified by the Board? We note that to date, no action has been publicly taken to initiate a PDP with respect to Privacy and Proxy provider accreditation (or whatever form it is to take).</p>
12	<p>Please expand on “In progress” as a percentage/portion and the other “In Progress” answers to provide an accurate picture of where we are and prognosis including time-line for further progress.</p> <p>A reply of “ICANN WILL form a team” (emphasis added) seems to indicate rather low priority given the time since the Board resolution.</p>
13	<p>Please provide an update on staff and GNSO activities related to WHOIS translation and transliteration.</p>
14	<p>Given the proximity to the possible deployment of the first IDN new TLDs, the lack of progress is troublesome. Please provide an update.</p>
All	<p>Please provide an update regarding substantive changes in implementation since this table was originally completed (over and above the new information provided in response to the preceding comments).</p>