**Public Comments – Conclusions & Questions**

**All** - Public comments appear to indicate a concern that there is not a substantive improvement in accountability and transparency. What is your impression?

What do you recommend we should focus on?

**All** - Has the Community any specific issues or concerns with other aspects of SSR that are outside of specific DNS?

**All** - In regards to public comments, how do Commenters and Staff/Board deal with the issue of “astro-turfing”?

**All** - Does the Community embrace decisions made in regards to numbers (not names)?

**All (except Board)** - How can we ensure that ICANN’s decisions are embraced? Do you review the decisions? (If not, why not?) If you don’t embrace a decision, do you feel your opinion was properly understood and considered?

**All (except Board)** – Do you feel transparency is sacrificed for expedience when the Board has a difficult decision to make?

**Board** – How do you assess whether the Board’s decisions are embraced by the community? Do you do follow up? How can we ensure that ICANN’s decisions are embraced?

**Board -** ATRT2 should consider the dual role of the Board as a governance component inside the organization and the last stop policy organ.

**Board** – How do you assess the timeliness of implementation of RT Recommendations?

**Board/GNSO/NCSG** - Do you believe the current Board Reconsideration Process is sufficient?

**GAC** - Transparency of GAC methodology & operations – how should the ATRT2 address this? How does the GAC operate and what does it do?

**GAC/GNSO** - Given GAC constraints, how can GAC convey their direction? Is the notion of GAC giving advice to the Board only and not other groups problematic? Should this be changed via the Bylaws?