**ATRT2 Templates**

**A. Analysis of previous review teams recommendations**

**ATRT 1, Recommendation # 7.1:** “Commencing immediately, the Board should promptly publish all appropriate materials related to decision making processes – including preliminary announcements, briefing materials provided by staff and others, detailed Minutes, and where submitted, individual Directors’ statements relating to significant decisions. The redaction of materials should be kept to a minimum, limited to discussion of existing or threatened litigation, and staff issues such as appointments.”

* Summary of ICANN’s assessment of implementation including actions taken, implementability and effectiveness

Staff reports that it has become standard operating procedure to post all Board materials with approved Board minutes and to timely post translated resolutions and preliminary reports. ICANN adopted a new redaction policy and Staff reports that redacted materials are being posted in a timely manner and that redacted materials are being kept to a minimum in line with guidance in Recommendation 7.1.

* Summary of community input on implementation, including effectiveness

Public Commenters recognized improvement in the availability of Board materials (Nominet) and improvement with respect to Board decisions and rationales (NCSG). Some commenters continue to call for publication of Staff advice to the Board. (Maria Farrell)

* Summary of other relevant information

- ATRT2 analysis of recommendation implementation (e.g. complete, incomplete or ongoing)

Implementation of Recommendation 7.1 appears largely successful. Having adopted the recommended practices as standard operating procedure, the Board took a concrete step toward implementation. The test of implementation is also the actual practice of making all relevant materials available in a timely fashion. While ATRT2 has heard of instances where materials have not been published in a timely fashion, it appears to a large degree that the standard operating procedure is being respected. A question has been raised about the scope of redactions and whether that practice is respecting the “minimal” approach of Recommendation 7.1. This question is difficult to explore given the nature of redactions.

* ATRT2 assessment of recommendation effectiveness [Includes rationale for the recommendation.]

A measure of effectiveness is feedback from the Community who looks to the publishing of Board materials to understand the Board decision-making process. Comments from the Community reflect improvement in this area and reflect a sense of greater transparency. Conversely, there was lesser comment to the contrary.
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