Dear new gTLD Auction Proceeds CCWG Chartering Organization,

On behalf of the CCWG, we are pleased to hereby share with you the CCWG’s work plan as well as the approach for dealing with the charter questions (see Annex to this letter), which were recently adopted by the CCWG. As you will note, the CCWG has broken down its deliberations into six phases, namely:

1. Initial Run Through of all Charter Questions to assess initial responses, possible gating questions and common understanding of questions
2. Address any charter questions that have been identified requiring a response before commencing the next phase
3. Compile list of possible mechanisms that could be considered by CCWG
4. Determine which mechanism(s) demonstrates most potential to meet CCWG expectations as well as conform with legal & fiduciary constraints
5. Answer charter questions (as organized per 1) for mechanism(s) that demonstrated the most potential
6. Following consensus on mechanism and responses to charter questions, meeting legal, fiduciary and audit constraints, publish Initial Report for public comment

The CCWG aims to publish its Initial Report by the end of this year (2017) but notes that this is a target date and will be dependent on progress made throughout the different phases of work. You are encouraged to follow the CCWG’s progress by reviewing its workspace (see <https://community.icann.org/x/yJXDAw>) and/or reaching out to any of your appointed members to the CCWG with any questions you may have.

Best regards,

Erika Mann & Ching Chiao – Co-Chairs of the new gTLD Auction Proceeds CCWG

**ANNEX - Draft Work Plan – new gTLD Auction Proceeds Cross Community Working Group – updated 24 April 2017**

The draft work plan below outlines a proposed sequence as well as approach with regards to addressing the CCWG charter questions, based on the initial discussions of the CCWG. Note that the outline below is for discussion purposes only and will be updated to reflect the input from the CCWG. The current outline is a best case scenario approach –additional time may need to be built in to address the different charter questions and/or consult with CCWG and/or external experts. The CCWG may want to discuss what date it would like to set as a target for the publication of its Initial Report to determine whether to extend the overall timeline or consider other ways to speed up the work such as an increase in meetings (e.g. change from meeting every two weeks to meeting every week or increasing the duration of the call from 90 to 120 minutes). Note, there is no specific deadline that is expected to be met, either as the result of external events or charter organizations directions, so it is up to the CCWG to determine the pace and duration of its deliberations.

| **Meeting / Target Date** | **Proposed Topics** | **Whom** | **Status / Notes** |
| --- | --- | --- | --- |
| CCWG Conference Call – 23 February 2017 | Review CCWG expertise  Review draft work plan  High level review of charter questions & determine order to address questions | CCWG |  |
| CCWG Conference Call – 2 March 2017 | Briefing on legal & fiduciary constraints as well as ICANN’s mission  Review updated work plan | Samantha Eisner / CCWG |  |
| CCWG F2F Meeting at ICANN58 – 15 March 2017 | Continue briefing on legal & fiduciary constraints as well as ICANN’s mission  Review responses to survey | CCWG |  |
| CCWG Conference Call – 30 March 2017 | Continue review of survey responses  Discuss proposed approach for tackling charter questions | CCWG |  |
| CCWG Conference Call – 13 April 2017 | **Phase 1**: Initial run through of charter questions 1 and 3 | CCWG |  |
| CCWG Conference Call – 27 April 2017 | **Phase 1**: Initial run through of charter questions 5 and 7 | CCWG |  |
| CCWG Conference Call – 11 May 2017 | **Phase 1**: Initial run through of charter questions 11 and 2 | CCWG |  |
| CCWG Conference Call – 25 May 2017 | **Phase 1**: Initial run through of charter questions 4 and 6 | CCWG |  |
| CCWG Conference Call – 8 June 2017 | **Phase 1**: Initial run through of charter questions 8 and 10 | CCWG |  |
| CCWG Conference Call – 15 June 2017 | Briefing on audit requirements by Xavier Calvez | CCWG/Xavier Calvez |  |
| CCWG Conference Call – 22 June 2017 | **Phase 1**: Initial run through of charter question 9 | CCWG |  |
| ICANN59 / 26-29 June – possible CCWG meeting | **Phase 2**: Address any charter questions that have been identified requiring a response before commencing the next phase | CCWG | Meeting has been requested for 2 hours – awaiting confirmation on whether request is granted. |
| CCWG Conference Call - 13 July 2017 | **Phase 2**: Address any charter questions that have been identified requiring a response before commencing the next phase | CCWG |  |
| CCWG Conference Call - 27 July 2017 | **Phase 3**: Compile list of possible mechanisms that could be considered by CCWG | CCWG | Work on this could potentially commence in parallel with phase 2? |
| CCWG Conference Call - 10 August 2017 | **Phase 3**: Compile list of possible mechanisms that could be considered by CCWG | CCWG |  |
| CCWG Conference Call - 24 August 2017 | **Phase 3**: Consultation with identified experts to obtain input / briefing on different mechanisms and confirm whether list compiled is complete and accurate | CCWG | Depending on # of experts identified (see current [list](https://docs.google.com/document/d/1QtwFa9nCIMq8JYCtBHxAyQraWQ6M-iNNP4Kyq6q9Cds/edit) of possible experts to consult) as well as their availability additional time may need to be allocated. |
| CCWG Conference Call - 7 September 2017 | **Phase 4**: Determine which mechanism(s) demonstrates most  potential to meet CCWG expectations as well as conform with legal & fiduciary constraints | CCWG |  |
| CCWG Conference Call - 21 September 2017 | **Phase 4**: Determine which mechanism(s) demonstrates most  potential to meet CCWG expectations as well as conform with legal & fiduciary constraints |  |  |
| CCWG Conference Call - 5 October 2017 | **Phase 5**: Answer charter questions (as organized per 1) for mechanism(s) that demonstrated the most potential | CCWG | Duration of phase 5 will be dependent on # of mechanisms that have demonstrated the most potential. As this work is iterative, it is also possible that CCWG may need to go back to phase 4 if it is determined that the mechanism(s) initially identified for this phase are not suitable based on the charter question responses. |
| CCWG Conference Call - 19 October 2017 | **Phase 5**: Answer charter questions (as organized per 1) for mechanism(s) that demonstrated the most potential | CCWG |  |
| ICANN60 – 28 October – 3 November 2017 | **Phase 5**: Answer charter questions (as organized per 1) for mechanism(s) that demonstrated the most potential | CCWG |  |
| CCWG Conference Call – 16 November 2017 | **Phase 5**: Answer charter questions (as organized per 1) for mechanism(s) that demonstrated the most potential | CCWG |  |
| 16 November 2017 | **Phase 6**: Following consensus on mechanism and responses to charter  questions, meeting legal, fiduciary  and audit constraints, publish draft Initial Report for CCWG Review | Staff | Timing dependent on duration of phase 5. |
| CCWG Conference Call – 30 November 2017 | **Phase 6**: Review draft Initial Report | CCWG |  |
| CCWG Conference Call – 14 December 2017 | **Phase 6**: Review draft Initial Report | CCWG |  |
| 31 December 2017 | **Phase 6**: Publish Initial Report for public comment | Staff |  |

**Proposed Approach for Dealing with Charter Questions (dated 18 April 2017)**