

Minjee Kim: still mute?

Sarmad Hussain: nope

Sarmad Hussain: cannot hear

Sarmad Hussain: Ok can hear now

Minjee Kim: Good

Minjee Kim: I want to share and control documents. Please

Sarmad Hussain: you can share directly

Sarmad Hussain: I have made you a presenter

Yoshiro Yoneya: Hi, will!

Yoshiro Yoneya: @Wil, can you hear voice?

Sarmad Hussain: can we have the mic closer to the speaker

Sarmad Hussain: the voice is not clear

Yoshiro Yoneya: @Sarmad, How about now?

Sarmad Hussain: better but still hard to understand

Yoshiro Yoneya: @Sarmad, I'll ask local participants to speak loudly and clearly.

Wil Tan: Hello Yoneya-san, and everyone

Sarmad Hussain: also, please share relevant documents for the remote participants

Wil Tan: yes, it's a bit soft or muddled

Wil Tan: 01?

Yoshiro Yoneya: @Wil, we are looking J5 now

Wil Tan: CGP proposed LGR-01?

Yoshiro Yoneya: @Wil, CGP did.

Yoshiro Yoneya: @Wil, @Sarmad, have you received KGP's LGR-1?

Sarmad Hussain: yes

Yoshiro Yoneya: @IP members, have you received KGP's LGR-1?

WANG Wei: @Wil, CGP LGR-1 has another name: CGP MSS

Sarmad Hussain: I will forward it now

WANG Wei: tow documents, MSS excel and MSS xml

4054049491: Minji- Could you share 'J5'? so the remote participants can also see what's on the screen

Minjee Kim: I shared file J5

Edmon: those on adobeconnect, please mute if not speaking. there seems to be a keyboard tapping sound constantly :-)

Sarmad Hussain: All, please mute your mics if you are not speaking; we are hearing an echo

Wil Tan: Just to clarify, it's not accurate to say that variant type of allocatable has subtypes.

Wil Tan: essentially subtypes are any type assigned to a variant, where it's value is not one of {allocatable, blocked}

Minjee Kim: Sarmad, I sent KGP' LGR-1 file to IP and you

Minjee Kim: please check it

Sarmad Hussain: it has been forwarded

Minjee Kim: good

Sarmad Hussain: it was received

Sarmad Hussain: the audio is lost

Sarmad Hussain: for remote

Sarmad Hussain: can you hear remote participants?

Edmon: yes we can hear you clearly

Sarmad Hussain: Asmus will be on shortly

Edmon: i think the document says what you just said though

Sarmad Hussain: Asmus is now online

Edmon: ah ok got your point

Edmon: but the understanding is agreed i think

Wil Tan 2: thanks edmon

Yoshiro Yoneya: I think I understood from Edmon's explanation.

Asmus: Is anything going on - not getting any audio

Wil Tan 2: same here

4054049491: Still no sound?

Wil Tan 2: intermittent audio stream

Wil Tan 2: better now

Asmus: AuDiO Is abOUt AS GOod as ThiS text :)

Edmon: wil/asmus were you able to hear what I said?... just to clarify, it appears what makes sense (at least in the context of cjk)is that 1. the generation of the set of variant labels is agnostic of "subtypes", 2. upon the generation of the set of variant labels, the "subtype" may be used for WLE to further determine the deposition of a variant label (e.g. simp / trad)

Asmus: Can't hear a thing.

Asmus: Edmon- logically you have a) variant mapping and b) variant type.

Asmus: The mappings create the variant sets - those are shared across GPs.

Asmus: The types are used in WLE <action> only, and can be different by GP. They decide which labels are allocatable.

Asmus: "subtypes" differentiate further so not all permutations of variants are allocatable

Edmon: right agreed.

Edmon: sorry for conflating/confusing on the mapping part

Wil Tan 2: Agreed, I think Edmon's explanation is correct too

Wil Tan 2: Asmus, I was clarifying earlier that "subtypes" are not actually children of a type. As you explained, the "sub" conveys that they're used to further restrict labels.

Edmon: i have a question about LGR-1 / LGR-2... is that a terminology developed by IP?

Asmus: No, those terms are CJK GP specific terms for pre- and post- coordination LGR drafts

Asmus: Wil, the "sub" also indicates that together, the subtypes cover what would be "allocatable" in an undifferentiated type system.

Edmon: ic... in that case i suggest LGR-0 and LGR-1 :-P

Asmus: Let's not mess with their terminology.

Edmon: :-) its a minor thing

Asmus: Correct!

Minjee Kim: I want to check again

Minjee Kim: sorry, mis type

Asmus: "o" is required when the code point variant maps to itself (reflexive) and is not allowed when that is not the case.

Asmus: Let me restate: to create a disposition of invalid: "o" is required when the code point variant maps to itself (reflexive) and is not allowed when that is not the case.

Asmus: I'd love to speak to that, if possible...

Chris Dillon: I read somewhere that the number 3 was being suggested as a maximum.

Edmon: if possible asmus, please speak a bit louder

Minjee Kim: please say little loudly

Edmon: very faint

4054049491: Could you speak louder.. if possible..?

Zhiwei Yan: Asmus, about the "o", you mean it is only used in the reflexive case? So, I mean do you think there is problem of the using of "o" in the two examples?

Zhiwei Yan: Page 11, about the variant "上"

Chris Dillon: We can hear you.

Chris Dillon: We're not sure you can hear us.

Edmon: ok and earlier we can only hear mayb 20% of what you said... cause it was very faint in volume

Edmon: towards the end it was a bit better

Asmus: Zhiwei Yan, yes, the two *o* in page 11 are not correct.

Asmus: Now we have audio..

WANG Wei: @Asmus, in Page 11, when 上 is imported into J from C, shoudnt it is set as "out-of-repertoire" ?

Edmon: wow thats good loud and clear asmus :-)

Asmus: Unfortunately I could not understand the reply to my question.

Edmon: @asmus, it could be cause you were breaking up a bit towards the end of your intervention and we didnt fully get your question...

Asmus: Audio is not really working. It's like shouting into a well..

Asmus: About "out of repertoire" - there are two cases. A) the code point <char> is out of repertoire. the other is b) the variant <var> is out of repertoire. If both A and B are out of repertoire and the same, the use type (o), otherwise use type (b).

Asmus: Not sure what the meeting is about right now, bad audio