**Comments from Center for Internet Governance, Tsinghua University for Evolving ICANN’s Multistakeholder Model**

In order to improve the fairness and efficiency of ICANN’s Multistakeholder Model, we put forward some suggestions as followed:

* For increasing the diversity of ICANN, except for the enough diversity of ICANN board member and ICANN staff, how to provide sufficient support and channels for all parties to participate actively is the prior issue. Then the communites’ participation of ICANN needs to be more balanced, especially considering the balance of different regions. At present, ICANN's active community are mainly developed countries, and community members in developing countries still face many challenges to engage in. This is not to say that Multistakeholder Model is not an equal procedural model, but it is the seemingly fair process that prevents the weaker stakeholders from actually participating in policy formulation because the stronger ones take advantage. ICANN is open, but why many people still can't participate in it is a serious question for ICANN. It is suggested that ICANN should do deep research on diversity of participation and publish reports.

What ‘s more, ICANN's working process needs to consider more about the multi-cultural background. Such as increasing the diversity of working languages and text languages is necessary. Of course, non-English language services should guarantee the quality. For example, for Chinese, it is suggested to improve the quality of simultaneous conference translation. In addition, there is a need to provide available technology access services for different regions. For an example, online video should not only provide YouTube version, because it cannot be broadcast in mainland China.

* For increasing the credibility of ICANN, how to be more transparent and accountable is still a problem which should be emphasized, especially how the technical means to support this. We believe the blockchain technology can be applied to the policy making and applying work, which will make the process of ICANN work more transparent and ICANN itself more trustworthy.
* ICANN also needs to improve the efficiency of PDP. Although it is very important to ensure the deep participation from stakeholders, investing too much time and energy on ICANN affairs is unseasonal burden for members of the community many of who have their own job and work.

In short, Multistakeholder Model consists on pluralism, making different voices heard here, and making different people appear on the scene of rule-making. So, ICANN needs to consummate this model with more budget input and construct a more diversified and fair mechanism.
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