Comments on the proposed Process for Streamlining Organizational Reviews

A. What: Areas subject to streamlining

- (ii) Limited pool of suitable independent examiners, and selection of independent examiners **Comment:** In my opinion the scope and the mission of independent examiner should be reduced to some specific technical or legislative advices, in relation with organizational reviews, this will avoid the use of some examiners to serve some parties interests, and will facilitate the independent examiners selection process
- (iii) Whether or not recommendations issued by independent examiner should be binding or non-binding

<u>Comment:</u> As mentioned in (ii) it's very hard to find an independent examiners who have a wide knowledges of ICANN activities, so it's worth that SO/AC have to decide whether or not to adopt or not recommendations submitted by the examiners.

(iv) Length of the entire review process including implementation:

<u>Comment:</u> The bylaws give enough flexibility to the board to decide which ICANN instances will be subject of reviewing so the board will be responsible of prioritizing reviewing actions in order to avoid interference between an implementation of organizational review and new review round and give enough time to the review implementation to be mature.

B. How: Proposed principles that should guide the solutions to the issues listed in section A.

(iv) Industry-wide best practices:

<u>Comment:</u> Specifically the reviewing process should preserve and reinforce the regional and geographic balance within ICANN structures.

C. Who: Roles of the community, the ICANN Board, and the ICANN organization in the streamlining process

(i) Community's role in the streamlining process:

<u>Comment:</u> A permanent organizational reviewing Instances may be created within each SO/AC, these instances will collect and inventory any organizational reviewing needs based on each SO/AC experience, these instances will also follow the implementation of any organizational reviewing.

D. When: Proposed High-Level Timeline

Step 1 – Finalization of issues, principles, and community role/timeline • Following the Public Comment, the OEC (in consultation with the Board) will engage with the community on finalizing the scope and principles of the organizational review streamlining process, as well as the role of the community and a high-level timeline; most likely via one or more dedicated webinar(s).

<u>Comment:</u> In my opinion public comments are not sufficient in order to detect community thought and needs about any organizationel reviews a jointly and permanent work with SO/AC representative should be engaged.

Step 4 – Board consideration and third round of organizational reviews

Comment: The board will be responsible of prioritizing reviewing actions in order to avoid interference between an implementation of organizational review and new review round and

give enough time to the review implementation to be mature.