<http://gnso.icann.org/meetings/agenda-council-13jan11-en.htm>

“Item 4: Recommendations re. Fast-Flux Working Group (FFWG) (10 minutes)

Motion to end fast flux pending project, and integrate into with Registration Abuse Policy Working Group (RAPWG)where applicable.

The Council accepts the approach identified below for the adopted recommendations (see http://gnso.icann.org/meetings/minutes-03sep09.htm) and instructs the ICANN Staff to implement these recommendations as set forth below:

a) Adopted Fast-Flux Working Group (FFWG) recommendation #1: To encourage ongoing discussions within the community regarding the development of best practices and / or Internet industry solutions to identify and mitigate the illicit uses of Fast Flux

• Proposed implementation: This recommendation is satisfied by the Registration Abuse Policies WG (RAPWG) Malicious Use of Domain Names Recommendation #1, which recommends the creation of non-binding best practices to help registrars and registries address the illicit use of domain names.

b) Adopted FFWG recommendation #2: The Registration Abuse Policy Working Group (RAPWG) should examine whether existing policy may empower Registries and Registrars, including consideration for adequate indemnification, to mitigate illicit uses of Fast Flux;

• Implementation is completed, as it was addressed by the RAP WG in its final report (see http://gnso.icann.org/issues/rap/rap-wg-final-report-29may10-en.pdf)

c) Adopted FFWG recommendation #3: To encourage interested stakeholders and subject matter experts to analyze the feasibility of a Fast Flux Data Reporting System to collect data on the prevalence of illicit use, as a tool to inform future discussions;

• The RAPWG Final Report and the Fast-Flux Working Group Final Report indicated that fast-flux is generally a domain use issue and not a domain registration issue, and as such falls outside the purview of the GNSO and ICANN. Therefore no further action is recommended.

d) Adopted recommendation #4: To encourage staff to examine the role that ICANN can play as a “best practices facilitator" within the community;

• Proposed Implementation: Integrate this recommendation into the RAP WG Recommendation on “Meta Issue: Collection and Dissemination of Best Practices” which recommends that the “GNSO, and the larger ICANN community in general, create and support structured, funded mechanisms for the collection and maintenance of best practices.”

e) Adopted FFWG recommendation #5: To consider the inclusion of other stakeholders from both within and outside the ICANN community for any future Fast Flux policy development efforts;

• Proposed Implementation: It is assumed that if the Registration Abuse Policies WG’s (RAPWG) Malicious Use of Domain Names Recommendation #1 is adopted by the Council, that the subsequent effort will be open to participation by stakeholders from both within and outside the ICANN community.

f) Adopted FFWG recommendation #6: To ensure that successor PDPs on this subject, if any, address the charter definition issues identified in the Fast Flux Final Report.

• Proposed Implementation: No action needed at this point, but should be included if any future PDPs are initiated on this subject.

g) Adopted FFWG recommendation #6: To form a Drafting Team to work with support staff on developing a plan with set of priorities and schedule that can be reviewed and considered by the new Council as part of its work in developing the Council Policy Plan and Priorities for 2010.

• Proposed Implementation: The Council deems this work to be completed in conjunction with the above-proposed implementation proposals.

RESOLVED FURTHER

The Council now considers the work of the Fast Flux WG complete. As such, the "Fast Flux Council Follow-up" action item is deemed closed and will be deleted from the Pending Project List.”