GNSO action items arising from the Singapore meeting

June 22 GNSO Open Council Meeting

The context:

A number of IRTP part B resolutions were passed by the GNSO Council during this meeting.

What this means for us:

Resolved B: "The GNSO Council Chair will reach out to the ALAC and other ICANN structures to inform them of this recommendation and discuss how the GNSO may contribute to this promotion. (IRTP Part B Recommendation #2)."

I will do this asap.

Resolved D: "Prior to the consideration of approval of the recommendation which states: "denial reason #7 should be replaced by adding a new provision in a different section of the IRTP on when and how domains may be locked or unlocked", the GNSO Council requests ICANN Staff to provide a proposal for such a new provision, taking into account the IRTP Part B WG deliberations in relation to this issue (see IRTP Part B Final Report - (Recommendation #9 - part 2). Upon review of the proposal, the GNSO Council will consider whether to approve the recommendation."

Staff to start work on this proposal and give Council a timeline. Council to act upon receipt of the proposal.

Resolved E: "Prior to the consideration of approval of the recommendation regarding the standardizing and clarifying WHOIS status messages regarding Registrar Lock status, the GNSO Council requests ICANN staff to provide a proposal designed to ensure a technically feasible approach can be developed to meet this recommendation."

Staff to undertake this work.

Resolved G: "The GNSO Council requests an Issue Report on IRTP Part C."

Staff to undertake this work.

The context:

The JIG's current charter sees it stop its work when the final applicant guidebook is approved. The final AG was approved by the June 20 Board resolution.

What this means for us:

The JIG still has some work to do. To allow them to do that, we must look at extending their charter at our next meeting on July 21 and we need a motion to do that. Edmon, the JIG Chair, will provide us with a timeline for the group's future work. Someone needs to make that motion.

June 20 Board Resolution

The context:

Resolved 1.b.

"Incorporation of text concerning protection for specific requested Red Cross and IOC names for the top level only during the initial application round, until the GNSO and GAC develop policy advice based on the global public interest"

What this means for us:

The GNSO needs to look at providing the requested advice. How should these entities' names be handled (blocked?) at the second level in new gTLDs?

The context:

Resolved 4.d.

"The review of additional community feedback, advice from ALAC, and recommendations from the GNSO following their receipt of a Final Report from the JAS Working Group (requested in time to allow staff to develop an implementation plan for the Board’s consideration at its October 2011 meeting in Dakar, Senegal), with the goal of having a sustainable applicant support system in place before the opening of the application window."

What this means for us:

The JAS WG must be ready by Dakar. We need to have everything to ICANN staff in time for them to send implementation plan to the Bioard for approval in Dakar. It may help for a timeline to be mapped out.

Our interaction with the ccNSO

The context:

The ccNSO have a team looking at the ICANN strategic and ops plans and the budget.

What this means for us:

Do we want to work with the ccNSO on this and benefit from their experience and work? If so, how? Chris Chaplow, from the BC, has "volunteered" to help in this effort. John Berard, BC Councillor, has offered to help also.

The context:

Community Working Groups

What this means for us:

Can we help the ccNSO benefit from the work the GNSO Drafting Team on CWGs is doing and if so, how? Some of the questions the ccNSO identified during our joint meeting with them were:

* Who defines the scope of the group?
* Working practices, how are they worked out?
* How to handle the group's output (reports, etc.)?

The context:

ccNSO work plan

What this means for us:

The ccNSO has a team working on their Work Plan. How could we share feedback and benefit from this work?