

COMPARISON TABLE OF GAC ADVICE, GNSO RECOMMENDATIONS¹ & PROPOSED MODIFICATION FOR WG & PUBLIC COMMENT

GAC Advice (Durban, BA & S'pore Communiques)	GNSO Recommendation (Nov 2013)	Proposed Modification (June 2014)
<p>Red Cross & Red Crescent (RC):</p> <p>Terms associated with international RC movement “permanently protected from unauthorized use” – to include 189 national RC societies (English and official language) and Full Names of Int’l C’ttee of the RC & Int’l Federation of RC Societies (in UN6)</p> <p>Acronyms of international RC entities (ICRC, CICR, IFRC, FICR) to have same “complementary cost neutral mechanism” as for IGOs</p>	<p>Red Cross & Red Crescent (RC):</p> <p><u>90 days</u> TMCH claims notice for Exact Match of RC Scope 2 Identifiers (i.e. full names and acronyms of 189 national RC societies (in English and respective national language) and of international RC entities - ICRC, CICR, IFRC, FICR (in UN6))²</p>	<p>Red Cross & Red Crescent (RC):</p> <p>Claims notice for <u>life of TMCH</u> (pre-registration notice to registrant plus post-registration notice to relevant RC entity) for Exact Match of RC Scope 2³ Identifiers</p> <p>New Curative Rights PDP WG to consider same no/low cost mechanism for acronyms of international RC entities (ICRC, CICR, IFRC, FICR) as any that may be recommended for IGOs/INGOs</p>
<p>IGO Acronyms:</p> <p>Permanent second level protection in the form of:</p> <ol style="list-style-type: none"> Permanent system of notifications to both the potential registrant of a matching domain and the relevant IGO; 	<p>IGO Acronyms:</p> <p><u>90 days</u> TMCH claims notice for acronyms of IGOs on GAC list of 22 March 2013⁴</p> <p>Issue Report (initiated now as a GNSO PDP) to address curative rights access for IGOs (and INGOS)⁵</p>	<p>IGO Acronyms:</p> <p>Claims notice for <u>life of TMCH</u> (pre-registration notice to registrant plus post-registration notice to relevant IGO) for acronyms of IGOs on GAC list</p> <p>New Curative Rights PDP</p>

¹ The IGO-INGO PDP WG Final Report can be accessed here: <http://gns0.icann.org/en/issues/igo-ingo-final-10nov13-en.pdf>. The GNSO Council’s resolution unanimously adopting the WG’s consensus recommendations can be accessed here: <http://gns0.icann.org/en/council/resolutions#20131120-2>.

² See Section 3.1.5, 3.1.6 and 3.1.8 of the IGO-INGO PDP WG Final Report.

³ i.e. those identifiers defined by the IGO-INGO PDP WG.

⁴ See Section 3.3.5 and 3.3.7 of the IGO-INGO PDP WG Final Report.

⁵ See Section 3.5.3 of the IGO-INGO PDP WG Final Report.

2. Allow the IGO a timely opportunity to effectively prevent potential misuse and confusion;
3. Allow for final and binding determination by an independent third party in order to resolve any disagreement between an IGO and a potential registrant; and
4. Be at no cost or of a nominal cost only to the IGO

WG directed to consider no/low cost procedure for IGOs on GAC list

New Curative Rights PDP
WG directed to consider third party binding arbitration (in lieu of appeal to national courts) for second level domain name disputes involving acronyms of IGOs on GAC list