



Phase	Title	Links
1 - Issue Identification	<b>GNSO Council Action Items</b> [refer to list on wiki]	<a href="#">LINK</a>
2 - Issue Scoping	<b>Purpose of WHOIS Issue Report</b> [DEFERRED PENDING OUTCOME OF EWG FINAL REPORT] (WHOIS-PDP)	<a href="#">LINK</a>
2 - Issue Scoping	<b>Uniform Dispute Resolution Policy</b> [ON HOLD] (UDRP)	<a href="#">LINK</a>
3 - Initiation	-none-	-none-
4 - Working Group	<b>Cross Community Working Group to Develop an IANA Stewardship Transition Proposal on Naming Related Functions</b>	<a href="#">LINK</a>
4 - Working Group	<b>Discussion Group – New gTLD Subsequent Rounds (ngTLDs-DG)</b>	<a href="#">LINK</a>
4 - Working Group	<b>Curative Rights Protections for IGO/INGOs (IGO-INGO-CRP)</b>	<a href="#">LINK</a>
4 - Working Group	<b>GNSO Standing Committee on Improvements Implementation (SCI)</b>	<a href="#">LINK</a>
4 - Working Group	<b>IRTP Part D PDP Working Group (IRTP-D)</b>	<a href="#">LINK</a>
4 - Working Group	<b>Policy &amp; Implementation Working Group (POLIMP)</b>	<a href="#">LINK</a>
4 - Working Group	<b>GNSO Data &amp; Metrics for Policy Making Working Group (DMPM)</b>	<a href="#">LINK</a>
4 - Working Group	<b>RAA Privacy &amp; Proxy Services Accreditation Issues Working Group (PPSAI)</b>	<a href="#">LINK</a>
4 - Working Group	<b>Translation/Transliteration of Internationalized Registration Data Working Group (T&amp;T)</b>	<a href="#">LINK</a>
4 - Working Group	<b>GNSO PDP Improvements Discussion Group (PDP-IMPR)</b>	<a href="#">LINK</a>
4 - Working Group	<b>GNSO Review Committee (REVIEW)</b>	<a href="#">LINK</a>
4 - Working Group	<b>Geo Regions Review Community-wide Working Group (GEO)</b>	<a href="#">LINK</a>
4 - Working Group	<b>GAC-GNSO Consultation Group on Early Engagement (GAC-GNSO-CG)</b>	<a href="#">LINK</a>
4 - Working Group	<b>Cross-Community Working Group to develop a Framework of Principles for Future CWGs (CWG-Principles)</b>	<a href="#">LINK</a>
4 - Working Group	<b>Cross-Community Working Group to develop a framework for the use of Country and Territory names as TLDs (CWG-UCTN)</b>	<a href="#">LINK</a>
5 - Council Deliberations	-none-	-none-
6 – Board Vote	<b>Protection of International Organization Names in All gTLDs PDP (IGO-INGO)</b>	<a href="#">LINK</a>
7 - Implementation	<b>Inter-Registrar Transfer Policy Part B PDP (IRTP-B)</b>	<a href="#">LINK</a>
7 - Implementation	<b>Inter-Registrar Transfer Policy Part C PDP (IRTP-C)</b>	<a href="#">LINK</a>

Phase	Title	Links
7 - Implementation	Locking of a Domain Name subject to UDRP Proceedings PDP (UDRP-LOCK)	<a href="#">LINK</a>
7 - Implementation	'Thick' WHOIS PDP (THICK-WHOIS)	<a href="#">LINK</a>
7 - Implementation	Protection of International Organization Names in All gTLDs PDP (IGO-INGO)	<a href="#">LINK</a>
Other	-none-	-none

Last updated: 22 September 2014

This list includes GNSO Council projects. It does not reflect the full granularity of each task, just current status and next scheduled action(s).

1 - Issue Identification				
Description	Initiated	Target Date	Who holds Token	Pending action/status
-none-				

2 - Issue Scoping				
Description	Initiated	Target Date	Who holds Token	Pending action/status
<p><b>Issue Report on the purpose of collecting and maintaining gTLD registration data and on solutions to improve accuracy and access to gTLD registration data.</b> Staff: TBD</p>	2012-Nov-8		Staff	<p>The Board resolution on the Whois RT Recommendations (see <a href="http://www.icann.org/en/groups/board/documents/resolutions-08nov12-en.htm">http://www.icann.org/en/groups/board/documents/resolutions-08nov12-en.htm</a>) directed preparation of an Issue Report on the purpose of collecting and maintaining gTLD registration data, and on solutions to improve accuracy and access to gTLD registration data, as part of a Board-initiated GNSO policy development process. The Preliminary Issue Report was published for <a href="#">public comment</a> on 15 March 2013. The comment forum closed on 13 May. A Final Issue Report will be published following the finalization of the <a href="#">EWG</a> recommendations (EWG Final Report was published on 6 June 2014) and subsequent Board consideration.</p>
<p><b>Uniform Dispute Resolution Policy Issue Report</b> Staff: TBD</p>	2011-Feb-03	Completed for now (with further Issue Report due in March 2015)	Staff	<p>The GNSO Council deferred commencement of a PDP on reviewing the UDRP, except with regard to the lock issue. Instead, an Issue Report reviewing all rights protection mechanisms (current and developed for the New gTLD Program) including the UDRP and URS was requested by the GNSO Council, to be delivered eighteen months after the first delegation of new gTLDs (which occurred in October 2013).</p>

### 3 - Initiation

Description	Initiated	Target Date	Who holds Token	Pending action/status
-none-				

4 – Working Group				
Description	Initiated	Target Date	Who holds Token	Pending action/status
<p><a href="#">Cross Community Working Group to Develop an IANA Stewardship Transfer Proposal on naming related functions</a></p> <p>Interim Chairs: Jonathan Robinson, Byron Holland Council Liaison: Jonathan Robinson Staff: M. Konings, B. Boswinkel</p> <p>This CWG has been formed to develop a an IANA Stewardship Transfer Proposal on naming related functions.</p>	2014-Jul-14	Ongoing	CWG	The <a href="#">Charter</a> for the CWG was adopted by the GNSO Council during its meeting on 4 September. Following the adoption by other groups such as the ccNSO, SSAC and ALAC, the CWG is now in formation with a first meeting expected to take place in the week of 6 October, followed by a F2F meeting during the ICANN meeting in Los Angeles.
<p><a href="#">Discussion Group – New gTLD Subsequent Rounds</a></p> <p>Interim Chair: Bret Fausset Staff: S. Chan, M. Konings</p> <p>The Discussion Group was created to discuss the experiences gained by the first round of new gTLD applications and identify subjects for future issue reports, if any, that might lead to changes or adjustments for subsequent application procedures.</p>	2014-Jun-25	Ongoing	WG	<p>The GNSO resolution creating the new Discussion Group was approved on 25 June 2014. The DG held its introductory meeting on 11 August 2014 and will be holding two sessions prior to meeting for a two hour face to face in Los Angeles. DG members are being encouraged to provide their list of issues from the first round of the New gTLD Program, which will subsequently be organized into logical categories,.</p> <p>In addition, the GNSO Council resolution requested a status report from ICANN Staff on the current progress of (a) the New gTLD program generally; (b) ICANN's anticipated timeline and work plan for the review specified in Section 9.3 of the Affirmation of Commitments; (c) ICANN's work to date on any evaluation of the first round; (d) the work to date on the post-launch independent review of the Trademark Clearinghouse; and (e) ICANN's current projection for a timetable for subsequent rounds, which is expected to be delivered in late September, prior to the GNSO Council meeting on 25 September.</p>
<p><a href="#">Curative Rights Protections for IGO/INGOs</a></p> <p>Chair: Philip Corwin, Petter Rindforth Council Liaison: Petter Rindforth</p>	2014-Jun-05	Ongoing	WG	Based on the recommendation of the IGO-INGO PDP Working Group, the GNSO Council resolved to initiate a PDP on 5 June 2014. The GNSO Council approved the WG's charter for the PDP WG at the London GNSO Council Public Meeting.

### 4 – Working Group

Description	Initiated	Target Date	Who holds Token	Pending action/status
<p>Staff: M. Wong, S. Chan</p> <p>The PDP WG is tasked to explore possible amendments to the Uniform Dispute Resolution Policy (UDRP) and the Uniform Rapid Suspension procedure (URS) so as to enable International Governmental Organizations (IGOs) and International Non-Governmental Organizations (INGOs) to access and use curative rights protection mechanisms</p>				<p>The WG held its introductory meeting on 11 August 2014 and has begun meeting weekly to discuss the issues highlighted in its charter. The WG has adopted a Work Plan that groups the various charter issues into phases of work. Phase I has commenced with WG members being asked to join one of three sub-groups that will consider preliminary issues and perform initial research.</p>
<p><a href="#">GNSO Standing Committee on Improvements Implementation (SCI)</a></p> <p>Chair: Ron Andruff                      Vice-Chair: Cintra Sooknanan                      Council Liaison: Avri Doria                      Staff: J. Hedlund, M. Wong, M. Konings</p> <p>The GNSO Standing Committee on Improvements Implementation (SCI) reviews and assesses the effective functioning of recommendations related to GNSO Improvements that have been approved by the Council. It was recently confirmed as a standing committee by the GNSO Council.</p>	2011-Apr-07	Ongoing	SCI	<p>The SCI has been working on the following topics:</p> <ol style="list-style-type: none"> <li>1. Voting outside a GNSO Council meeting;</li> <li>2. Waiver of the 10-day motion deadline under the GNSO Operating Procedures;</li> <li>3. Consensus scale definitions in the current WG Guidelines.</li> </ol> <p>Formal consensus calls have concluded for all three work items, and the SCI's proposals published for public comment prior to being sent to the GNSO Council for its review and adoption.</p>
<p><a href="#">IRTP Part D PDP WG</a></p> <p>Chair: James Bladel                      Council Liaison: Volker Greimann                      Staff: L. Hoffmann, M. Konings</p> <p>PDP on the remaining IRTP issues which include:</p>	2012-Oct-17	Ongoing	WG	<p>The Final Report is expected to be approved by the Working Group members with full consensus on Monday 22 September. The WG Chair, James Bladel, will present an overview of the 18 recommendations during the next Council call. The GNSO Council is expected to consider the Final Report for approval during ICANN 51.</p>

### 4 – Working Group

Description	Initiated	Target Date	Who holds Token	Pending action/status
<ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>a) Reporting requirements for registries and dispute providers in relation to the TDRP;</li> <li>b) How to handle disputes when multiple transfers have occurred in the TDRP;</li> <li>c) Should dispute options for registrants be developed;</li> <li>d) Should there be requirements or best practices for registrars to make information on transfer dispute resolution options available;</li> <li>e) Are existing penalties for policy violations sufficient;</li> <li>f) Have EPP AuthInfo codes eliminated the need for FOAs.</li> </ul>				
<p><b><a href="#">Policy &amp; Implementation WG</a></b>                      Co-Chairs: Chuck Gomes, J. Scott Evans                      Council Liaison: Amr Elsadr and Brian Winterfeldt                      Staff: M. Konings, M. Wong</p> <p>The WG is tasked to provide the GNSO Council with a set of recommendations on:</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>• A set of principles that would underpin any GNSO policy and implementation related discussions</li> <li>• A process for developing gTLD policy, perhaps in the form of “Policy Guidance”, including criteria for when it would be appropriate to use such a process (for developing policy other than “Consensus Policy”) instead of a GNSO Policy Development Process;</li> </ul>	2013-May-09	Ongoing	WG	The WG has approved its Working Definitions document and developed a draft set of Working Principles. The WG has begun to consider each of its other Deliverables under its Work Plan serially rather than use sub-groups for each. As a result, an updated work plan was published (see <a href="https://community.icann.org/x/rC fAg">https://community.icann.org/x/rC fAg</a> ). The WG is meeting weekly to continue its work on its various Deliverables. It has completed its review of input obtained from a number of SO/AC/SG/Cs on its Charter, and has solicited feedback on new proposed policy guidance and input processes by mid-September, with a view toward producing a more detailed framework for community discussion at the LA meeting. It is currently discussing a proposed Consensus Policy Implementation Framework drafted by ICANN staff.



### 4 – Working Group

Description	Initiated	Target Date	Who holds Token	Pending action/status
<ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>• A framework for implementation related discussions associated with GNSO Policy Recommendations;</li> <li>• Criteria to be used to determine when an action should be addressed by a policy process and when it should be considered implementation, and;</li> <li>• Further guidance on how GNSO Implementation Review Teams are expected to function and operate.</li> </ul>				
<p><b><u><a href="#">GNSO Data &amp; Metrics for Policy Making WG (DMPM)</a></u></b>                      Chair: Jonathan Zuck                      Council Liaison: Klaus Stoll                      Staff: S. Chan, L. Hoffmann</p> <p>The WG is tasked to provide the GNSO Council with a set of recommendations on:</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>• A set of principles that may compliment any GNSO policy efforts related to metric/data requirements to better inform the policy development process;</li> <li>• A process for requesting metrics and reports both internal to ICANN or external, including GNSO contracted parties;</li> <li>• A framework for distributing metrics and reports to Working Groups, the GNSO Council and the GNSO as a whole;</li> <li>• Changes, if any, to existing Working Group Guidelines and work product templates</li> </ul>	2012-Oct-17	Ongoing	WG	<p>The WG has established a bi-weekly meeting schedule and has completed the due-diligence phase of its work which included the review of several previous PDP and non-PDP efforts to analyse where and how metrics were used to facilitate the policy process and to also identify gaps where they were not used. The WG is deliberating how metrics can be obtained from contracted parties in preparation for the session scheduled at ICANN 51 in LA. The WG has postponed its review of the primary work products of WGs, to determine possible methods for data collection and metrics.</p>

### 4 – Working Group

Description	Initiated	Target Date	Who holds Token	Pending action/status
<p><a href="#">Privacy &amp; Proxy Services Accreditation Issues PDP WG</a>                      Chair: Don Blumenthal                      Council Liaison: Maria Farrell                      Staff: M. Wong, M. Konings</p> <p>The <i>Registrar Accreditation Agreement</i> (RAA), the contract governing the relationship between ICANN and accredited registrars, has been in place since 2001. The Board initiated negotiations for a new RAA in October 2011, and requested an Issue Report from the GNSO at the same time. The final version of the new RAA was approved by the Board in June 2013, thereby signifying that the RAA negotiations were concluded. Per the Board's 2011 request, the remaining issues, which have been identified as those relating to privacy &amp; proxy services and their accreditation, will be examined in this PDP.</p>	2009-May-21	Ongoing	WG	The WG is continuing to meet weekly, to discuss each of its Charter questions using a template to record deliberations and preliminary conclusions. It presented its preliminary conclusions to the community for feedback in London, and aims to publish its Initial Report in early 2015 (see work plan at <a href="https://community.icann.org/x/wx3Rag">https://community.icann.org/x/wx3Rag</a> ). It is currently discussing issues relating to the publication or disclosure of a proxy service customer's contact details. The WG has been selected by the GNSO Council to be the first group to participate in the facilitated face-to-face meetings pilot project for FY15; this will take place just before the LA meeting, on Friday 10 October 2014.
<p><a href="#">Translation/Transliteration of Internationalized Registration Data PDP WG</a>                      Co-Chairs: Chris Dillon, Rudi Vansnick                      Council Liaison: Ching Chiao                      Staff: J. Hedlund/L. Hoffmann</p> <p>The Council approved the initiation of a PDP on translation and transliteration of contact information on 13 June 2013.</p>	2012-Oct-17	Ongoing	WG	The WG is meeting weekly and has reviewed all Community input received. It aims to publish a draft Initial Report for the ICANN meeting in LA (see work plan at <a href="https://community.icann.org/x/xR3Rag">https://community.icann.org/x/xR3Rag</a> ). To that end, the WG Chairs submitted a strawman document to the WG and, based on this, staff is now working on a complete draft Initial Report. Following community discussion in LA, the goal is to submit the completed Initial Report for public comment during the weeks following ICANN 51.
<p><b>GNSO PDP Improvements Implementation Discussion Group</b></p>	2014-Jan-30	Ongoing	Council	The group held a first informal meeting in Singapore to discuss how to approach the next steps identified for items 3 and 5, namely:

### 4 – Working Group

Description	Initiated	Target Date	Who holds Token	Pending action/status
<p>Volunteers: Gabriela Szlak, Dan Reed, Klaus Stoll Staff: M. Konings</p> <p>The GNSO Council agreed to form a small committee of interested Council members to work with staff on the implementation of the GNSO PDP Improvements (see <a href="http://gns0.icann.org/en/drafts/pdp-improvements-table-16jan14-en.pdf">http://gns0.icann.org/en/drafts/pdp-improvements-table-16jan14-en.pdf</a>), particularly items 3 (Increase pool of PDP volunteers) and 5 (Improved online tools &amp; training).</p>				<ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>Review existing outreach efforts and determine whether there are any actions the GNSO Council should be taking to increase the pool of PDP volunteers (item 3)</li> <li>Review existing online tools &amp; training efforts and determine whether there are any additional actions should be taken by the GNSO Council and/or provide input on how existing activities may be further improved / modified in order to contribute to the success of the PDP (item 5)</li> </ul> <p>A memo providing some further background information on these tasks as well as proposed next steps were circulated for review to the Discussion Group. Staff published a proposal for PDP WG member onboarding which was further discussed by the GNSO Council in London. Staff will move forward with the implementation of the identified GNSO PDP improvements, incorporating the suggestions made in London.</p>
<p><b><u><a href="#">GNSO Review Committee</a></u></b> Lead: Jennifer Wolfe Staff: M. Konings, M. Wong</p> <p>Following discussions in Singapore, the GNSO Council agreed to form a small committee to liaise with the SIC on the GNSO Review and discuss a potential self-review of the GNSO Council.</p>	2014-Apr-07	Ongoing	Working Party	The Working Party finalized a questionnaire/survey for which community responses will form the basis of the third party review (360 assessment) recommended by the Board's Structural Improvements Committee. The survey has been published, and the Working Party is working with the independent examiner selected by the SIC to prepare for the next phase of the review, which will cover GNSO Working Groups.
<p><b><u><a href="#">Geo Regions Review Community-wide Working Group</a></u></b> Chair: Dave Archbold (ccNSO)(retired) GNSO Council Reps: Ching Chiao Staff: R. Hoggarth</p> <p>This Board-chartered cross community WG has consulted with ICANN stakeholders regarding the definition and applications of ICANN's Geographic Regions. Changes could have</p>	2008-Aug-07	Nov 2014	WG	The Working Group published its Final Report recommendations (see - <a href="http://www.icann.org/en/news/announcements/announcement-22jun13-en.htm">http://www.icann.org/en/news/announcements/announcement-22jun13-en.htm</a> ) and circulated the Final Report to ICANN SOs and ACs (including the Council) for review and comment. The Council provided feedback to the WG Chair on 13 December 2013 (see <a href="http://gns0.icann.org/en/correspondence/robinson-to-archbold-13dec13-en.pdf">http://gns0.icann.org/en/correspondence/robinson-to-archbold-13dec13-en.pdf</a> ). After all written SO-AC responses are submitted and reviewed by the WG, the Final Report of the WG will be shared with the Board for review. This is likely to take place after the ICANN Public Meeting in London. With the

### 4 – Working Group

Description	Initiated	Target Date	Who holds Token	Pending action/status
broad impact, so this WG has representatives from most SOs and ACs.				retirement of the WG Chair, a new chair is being appointed to help marshal this effort toward review by the Board.
<p><a href="#">GAC-GNSO Consultation Group on GAC Early Engagement in GNSO PDP</a>                      Chairs: Jonathan Robinson (GNSO) and Manal Ismail (GAC)                      Staff: M. Konings, O. Nordling</p> <p>The Governmental Advisory Committee (GAC) and the Generic Names Supporting Organization (GNSO) have jointly established a consultation group to explore ways for the GAC to engage early in the GNSO Policy Development Process (PDP) and to improve overall cooperation between the two bodies (for example, by exploring the option of a liaison).</p>	2014-Jan-07	Ongoing	CG	The launch of this GAC-GNSO Consultation Group on Early Engagement is the result of discussions between the two entities at the ICANN meeting in Buenos Aires as well as previous ICANN meetings, reflecting a joint desire to explore and enhance ways of early engagement in relation to GNSO policy development activities. The issue was also specifically called-out by both Accountability and Transparency Review Teams (ATRT). The CG is meeting every two weeks with the team leads for the two tracks (day-to-day co-ordination and PDP) meeting every other week. The CG presented its preliminary recommendations to the GNSO and GAC for review and consideration on a number of items at the ICANN meeting in London. The CG has now recommenced its meetings and is preparing its activities for between now and the ICANN meeting in LA.
<p><b>Cross-Community Working Group- on a Framework of CWG Principles</b>                      GNSO Council Co-Chair: John Berard                      ccNSO Council Co-Chair: Becky Burr                      Staff: M. Wong, B. Boswinkel, S. Chan</p> <p>The CWG was chartered by the ccNSO and GNSO Councils to further refine the principles initially drafted by the GNSO and commented on by the ccNSO for the formation, operation and termination of future cross-community working groups.</p>	2011-May-19	Ongoing	CWG	This Cross-Community Working Group was chartered by both the ccNSO and GNSO Councils at their respective meetings in March in Singapore. A call for volunteers was sent to all SO/ACs. The CWG has reviewed the processes and outcomes of selected prior CWGs, including mapping their charters to the typical WG life cycle. The WG has begun looking in detail at each of these stages of the typical WG life cycle (Initiation of CWG, Formation, Operation, Closure, Post-Closure). The work schedule has been developed and shared with the WG. The WG is developing a template for the first stage (CWG Initiation) and plans to have a face-to-face meeting in Los Angeles at ICANN 51.
<p><a href="#">Cross-Community Working Group to develop a framework for the use of Country and</a></p>	2014-Mar-26	Ongoing	CWG	Following the adoption of the Final Report of the ccNSO Study group on the use of Names for Country and Territory, the ccNSO invited the GNSO to join a

### 4 – Working Group

Description	Initiated	Target Date	Who holds Token	Pending action/status
<p><a href="#"><u>Territory names as TLDs (CWG-UCTN)</u></a>                      GNSO Council Co-Chairs: Heather Forrest, Ching Chiao                      ccNSO Council Co-Chairs: Paul Szyndler, Lise Fuhr                      Council liaison: Gabriela Szlak                      Staff: M. Konings, B. Boswinkel</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>• The objective of the CWG is to: Further review the current status of representations of country and territory names, as they exist under current ICANN policies, guidelines and procedures;</li> <li>• Provide advice regarding the feasibility of developing a consistent and uniform definitional framework that could be applicable across the respective SO's and AC's; and</li> <li>• Should such a framework be deemed feasible, provide detailed advice as to the content of the framework.</li> </ul>				<p>CWG on the use of country and territory names as TLDs. Both the ccNSO and GNSO Council adopted the charter during its respective meetings in Singapore. The GNSO Council has requested that Heather Forrest and Ching Chiao serve as GNSO co-chairs to this WG. The WG is continuing working on its work plan and schedule for upcoming meetings.</p>

### 5 – Council Deliberation

Description	Initiated	Target Date	Who holds Token	Pending action/status
- None -				

### 6 – Board Vote

Description	Initiated	Target Date	Who holds Token	Pending action/status
<p><a href="#">Protection of Intergovernmental Organization Names in New gTLDs</a></p> <p>Staff: M. Wong                      Chair: Thomas Rickert                      Council Liaison: Thomas Rickert</p>	2012-Apr-12	2014-Dec-11	Board/ Council	<p>The GNSO Council unanimously approved the IGO-INGO WG’s consensus recommendations at its 20 Nov 2013 meeting. As requested by the Board, the NGPC developed a proposal taking into account the GNSO’s recommendations and GAC advice in March 2014. In April 2014 the Board voted to adopt those of the GNSO’s recommendations that are not inconsistent with GAC advice received on the topic. Staff has started to organize an Implementation Review Team (in line with the GNSO’s recommendation), to be led by Han Chuan Lee, to implement those recommendations recently adopted by the Board (See below in the “7 – Implementation” section for more details. On 18 June the NGPC sent a letter to the GNSO Council requesting that the GNSO contemplate initiating a process to consider possible modifications to its remaining recommendations, per the PDP Manual. The GNSO Council is discussing the NGPC request and held a discussion with Chris Disspain at its 5 September meeting. The Council will be considering a draft letter responding to the NGPC and seeking further feedback at its 25 September GNSO Council meeting.</p>

### 7 – Implementation

Description	Initiated	Target Date	Who holds Token	Pending action/status
<p><b>IRTP Part B PDP Adopted Recommendations 5.</b> Promotion by ALAC and other ICANN structures of the measures outlined in a recent SSAC report: A Registrant's Guide to Protecting Domain Name Registration Accounts (SAC 044). (See <a href="http://gnso.icann.org/resolutions/#201106">http://gnso.icann.org/resolutions/#201106</a> for text of resolution)</p>	2011-Jun-22		SOs/ACs	Chair of the GNSO Council has sent messages to the Chairs of ALAC, SSAC, ccNSO, GAC, ASO (see <a href="http://gnso.icann.org/mailing-lists/archives/council/msg11736.html">http://gnso.icann.org/mailing-lists/archives/council/msg11736.html</a> ) to inform them about the recommendation and open discussions.
<p><b>IRTP Part B Recommendation #9 – part 2</b> The GNSO Council resolved in Singapore that prior to consideration of the recommendation which states: "denial reason #7 should be replaced by adding a new provision in a different section of the IRTP on when and how domains may be locked or unlocked", the GNSO Council requests ICANN Staff to provide a proposal for such a new provision, taking into account the IRTP Part B WG deliberations in relation to this issue (see IRTP Part B Final Report - (Recommendation #9 - part 2). Upon review of the proposal, the Council will consider the recommendation.</p>	2011-Jun-22	31 Jan 2015	Completed	<p>The ICANN Board adopted the recommendation and staff proposal at its meeting in Costa Rica. ICANN Staff has completed the implementation and the GDD team announced the update to the IRTP.</p> <p>ICANN is amending the Inter-Registrar Transfer Policy (IRTP) to implement the GNSO's recommendations related to locking and unlocking of domain names as a result of the IRTP Part B Policy Development Process (PDP). These changes came after significant collaboration and deliberation by the GNSO and other members of the ICANN community, and were approved by the ICANN Board of Directors on 16 March 2012.</p> <p>The modifications are the following:</p> <ol style="list-style-type: none"> <li>1. Registrars will be obligated to inform their registrants in the registration agreement under which circumstances a domain name will be locked and how a domain name can be unlocked.</li> <li>2. Registrars will be obligated to unlock a domain name within five (5) calendar days of receiving a request to unlock a domain name from the registrant if the registrar does not provide facilities for the registrant to unlock the domain name.</li> </ol> <p><b>The new policy will take effect 31 Jan 2015.</b></p>
<p><b>IRTP Part B Recommendation #8</b></p>	2011-Jun-	31 Jan 2015	Completed	The ICANN Board adopted the recommendation at its meeting on 6 May (see



### 7 – Implementation

Description	Initiated	Target Date	Who holds Token	Pending action/status
<p>The GNSO Council resolved in Singapore that prior to considering a recommendation to standardize and clarify WHOIS status messages regarding Registrar Lock status, the GNSO Council asked staff to provide a proposal to ensure that it is technically feasible to implement this recommendation. Staff should take into account the IRTP Part B WG deliberations in relation to this issue (see IRTP Part B Final Report). (IRTP Part B Recommendation #8). The goal of these changes is to clarify why the Lock has been applied and how it can be changed. Upon review of the proposed plan, the Council will consider whether to approve the recommendation.</p>	22			<p><a href="http://www.icann.org/en/groups/board/documents/resolutions-06may12-en.htm#1.5">http://www.icann.org/en/groups/board/documents/resolutions-06may12-en.htm#1.5</a>). ICANN Staff published the proposed implementation plan for public comment on 10 May 2013 (see <a href="http://www.icann.org/en/news/public-comment/wisp-10may13-en.htm">http://www.icann.org/en/news/public-comment/wisp-10may13-en.htm</a>). The Reply period for public comment closed on 24 June 2013.</p> <p>The GDD team has announced the Additional Whois Information Policy (AWIP) on 7 July 2014. The AWIP was developed with the goals of providing a better understanding of the existing domain name statuses (also known as EPP status codes) for Whois service users, and to create more uniformity among the multiple Whois outputs provided by ICANN-accredited registrars and gTLD registry operators. The AWIP requires each ICANN-accredited registrar and gTLD registry operator that displays Whois status codes to include in its Whois output a link to an ICANN web page where the existing domain names statuses are listed along with their respective meanings.</p> <p>Additionally, registries must identify the Globally Unique Registrar ID (GURID, also commonly known as an IANA ID) of the registrar that sponsors each registration in its Whois output.</p> <p><b>The new policy will take effect 31 Jan 2015.</b></p>
<p><b>IRTP Part C Recommendations</b> The GNSO Council unanimously adopted the recommendations of the IRTP Part C PDP at its meeting on 17 October 2012 (see <a href="http://gns0.icann.org/en/resolutions#20121017-4">http://gns0.icann.org/en/resolutions#20121017-4</a>).</p>	17 Oct 2012	1 May 2015	Staff	<p>The ICANN Board adopted the IRTP Part C recommendations at its meeting in December (see <a href="https://www.icann.org/en/groups/board/documents/resolutions-20dec12-en.htm#2.a">https://www.icann.org/en/groups/board/documents/resolutions-20dec12-en.htm#2.a</a>). As instructed by the GNSO Council, an Implementation Review Team was formed. Meetings of the IRT have recently recommenced and details of the proposed implementation plan have been shared with the IRT. A white board session on Rec #1 (Chg of Rt) was conducted at the London meeting. Based on great feedback by the community, GDD has created the next version of process flow on the Chg of Rt and will now review a draft of the proposed policy language. The target policy effective date is 1 May 2015.</p>

### 7 – Implementation

Description	Initiated	Target Date	Who holds Token	Pending action/status
<p><b>Locking of a Domain Name Subject to UDRP Proceedings Recommendations</b></p> <p>The GNSO Council unanimously adopted the recommendations of the Locking of a Domain Name subject to UDRP Proceedings at its meeting of 1 August 2013.</p>	2013-Aug-01	30 Dec 2015	Staff	<p>The ICANN Board adopted the Locking of a Domain Name subject to UDRP Proceedings at its meeting on 28 September (see <a href="http://www.icann.org/en/groups/board/documents/resolutions-28sep13-en.htm#1.c">http://www.icann.org/en/groups/board/documents/resolutions-28sep13-en.htm#1.c</a>). As instructed by the GNSO Council, an Implementation Review Team was formed. Staff has met several times with the IRT and published the proposed policy language for public comment on 19 May 2014 (see <a href="https://www.icann.org/public-comments/udrp-rules-proposed-2014-05-19-en">https://www.icann.org/public-comments/udrp-rules-proposed-2014-05-19-en</a>). The IRT reviewed the public comments and staff is now finalizing the proposed policy language and collaborating with the IRT to determine a Policy Effective Date. An initial target for the Policy Effective Date will be June 2015.</p>
<p><b>Thick WHOIS PDP Recommendations</b></p> <p>The GNSO Council adopted the recommendation to require Thick Whois for all gTLD registries at its meeting on 31 October 2013.</p>	2012-Mar-14	On-Going	Staff	<p>The ICANN Board approved the GNSO recommendations on Thick WHOIS at its meeting on 7 February 2014. (<a href="http://www.icann.org/en/groups/board/documents/resolutions-07feb14-en.htm">http://www.icann.org/en/groups/board/documents/resolutions-07feb14-en.htm</a>). An Implementation Review Team has been formed and a draft implementation plan has been created and shared with the IRT. A further review of the plan, issues, and risks are being discussed in subsequent IRT sessions. ICANN's General Counsel's Office is also working on the legal review per the GNSO Council's recommendation.</p>
<p><b>Protection of Intergovernmental Organization Names in New gTLDs</b></p> <p>The GNSO Council adopted the recommendation to protect certain identifiers of IGO &amp; INGO Organizations in all gTLD registries at its meeting on 20 November 2013.</p>	2012-Apr-12	On-Going	Staff	<p>In April 2014 the Board voted to adopt the GNSO's IGO-INGO Protection recommendations that are not inconsistent with GAC advice. Staff has started to organize an Implementation Review Team (in line with the GNSO's recommendation), to be led by Han Chuan Lee, to implement those recommendations recently adopted by the Board. Staff has prepared a preliminary work plan and working on developing the TMCH requirements for bulk add of protected identifiers, as well as, reconciling the current RA Specification 5 list with the recommendations that were approved. It is anticipated that the IRT will be formed after the ICANN 51 meeting in LA.</p>