|  |  |
| --- | --- |
| **T/T Rec** | **Corresponding IRD Rec**  |
| * 1. T/T should *not* be mandatory
 |   |
| * 1. Whois replacement should be able to
		1. receive input in non-ASCII form
		2. data fields be easy to ID in terms of what each field represents and what languages have be used by registered name holder
 | * 1. Unless explicitly stated otherwise, all data elements should be tagged with the language(s) and script(s) in use, and this information should always be available with the data element.
 |
| * 1. Language and script supported for registrants to submit contact info may be chosen according to gTLD provider business models
 | * 1. Registrants should only be required to input registration data in a language(s) or script(s) with which they are skilled
	2. A registry must be able to accept and store any language or script that might reasonably be expected to be used in their target market
 |
| * 1. Data fields to remain consistent with relevant existing policies, contact info to be validated [covered by EPP], and language/script easily identifiable
 | * 1. Unless explicitly stated otherwise, all data elements should be tagged with the language(s) and script(s) in use, and this information should always be available with the data element.
 |
| * 1. T/T, if performed, should be presented in addt’l data fields (if present) as transformed, and source noted
 | [IRD and RDAP data models +/- synced on this] |
| * 1. Whois replacement (e.g. RDAP) should have built-in flexibility to add new languages/scripts
 | [IRD and RDAP data models +/- synced on this] |
| * 1. These recommendations should be coordinated with other Whois modifications where necessary and be implemented as soon as a Whois that can receive, store, and display non-ASCII characters becomes operational
 | IRD [not a formal recommendation]: "Requirements should not apply until significant uptake in the adoption of Registration Data Access Protocol (RDAP)" |