# **Nomination of GNSO Candidates for the** Third Review of ICANN Accountability and Transparency (ATRT3)

Submitted by:
Seconded:

Whereas,

1. On 31 January 2017, ICANN launched a call for volunteers seeking individuals interested in serving as a volunteer Review Team member on the ATRT3 (see <https://www.icann.org/news/announcement-2017-01-31-en>).

2. Under the new Bylaws, each SO/AC participating in the Specific Review may nominate up to 7 members to the Review Team, for consideration by the SO/AC leadership, for a review team of no more than 21 members, plus an ICANN Board member (designated by the ICANN Board). Any SO/AC nominating up to 3 individuals are entitled to have those nominees selected as members to the review team, so long as the nominees meet the applicable criteria for service on the team.

3. The GNSO Council tasked the GNSO Standing Selection Committee (SSC) to carry out the review and selection of GNSO endorsed candidates for the ATRT3 for Council consideration.

4. The SSC reviewed the candidates that requested GNSO endorsement (see <https://community.icann.org/display/GSSC/ATRT3>) taking into account the criteria outlined in the call for volunteers as well as the desire to ensure a RT that is balanced for diversity and expertise. The SSC submitted its full consensus recommendations to the GNSO Council on [date] which confirmed the ranking of the 1-7 candidates as well as the expectation that, at a minimum, the 1-3 candidates would be considered primary candidates with a guaranteed seat for the ATRT3.

5. The GNSO Council considered the recommendations of the SSC.

Resolved,

1. The GNSO Council nominates, ranked in order: [INSERT NAMES] as its primary three candidates for the ATRT3. Furthermore, the GNSO nominates, in ranked order: [INSERT NAMES] to be considered for inclusion in the ATRT3 by the SO-AC Chairs should additional places be available that need to be filled.

2. The GNSO Council acknowledges concerns raised by the SSC about the general lack of diversity (gender, geographic) in the pool of candidates for Review Teams, and will encourage SG/Cs to widely publicize calls for volunteers and make efforts to promote sufficient diversity in the pool of applicants for future application processes.

3. The GNSO Council instructs the GNSO Secretariat to communicate resolved #1 to the staff supporting the ATRT3.

4. The GNSO Council instructs the GNSO Secretariat to inform the applicants that have received endorsement that the GNSO Council expects that, if selected for the ATRT3, the applicant will represent the views of the entire GNSO community in their work on the ATRT3, and provide regular feedback as a group on the discussions taking place in the ATRT3, as well as the positions being taken by GNSO Review Team members.

5. The GNSO Council requests staff supporting the ATRT3 and application process to send a response to those applicants who did not receive endorsement for this Review Team, thanking them for their interest. The response should also encourage them to follow the ATRT3 work, and participate in Public Comments and community discussions and to apply for future opportunities within the GNSO Community as they arise.