GAC Advice - Topic	GAC Advice Details	Does the advice concern an issue that can be considered within the remit <sup>1</sup> of the GNSO (yes/no)	If yes, is it subject to existing policy recommendations, implementation action or ongoing GNSO policy development work?	How has this issue been/is being/will be dealt with by the GNSO
1. GDPR and WHOIS	The GAC highlights the importance of complying with the European General Data Protection Regulation (GDPR), which protects the privacy of natural persons and allows for the processing of and access to data for legitimate purposes. The GAC encourages ICANN to continue its efforts to ensure full and timely compliance with GDPR while involving the multi-stakeholder community and European data protection authorities. The GAC reiterates its previous advice, including the Abu Dhabi Communiqué, to maintain, to the greatest extent possible, the current structure of the WHOIS, while ensuring full and timely compliance with GDPR. The GAC does not envision an operational role in designing and implementing the proposed accreditation programs but reiterates its willingness to	Yes	Yes. The RDS PDP working group is currently active, however in light of GDPR the PDP WG is currently reviewing its options both with the GNSO Council and the ICANN Board.	

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>1</sup> As per the ICANN Bylaws: 'There shall be a policy-development body known as the Generic Names Supporting Organization (GNSO), which shall be responsible for developing and recommending to the ICANN Board substantive policies relating to generic top-level domains.

advise the Board and engage with ICANN Org and the community on the development of codes of conduct from a public policy perspective. The GAC notes the opportunity for individual governments, if they wish to do so, to		
provide information to ICANN on governmental users to ensure continued access to WHOIS. Regarding the proposed		
draft interim model, consistent with the GAC's comments to ICANN filed on March 8, 2018,		
<ul><li>a. the GAC advises the ICANN Board to</li><li>instruct the ICANN Organization to:</li><li>i. Ensure that the proposed interim model</li></ul>		
maintains current WHOIS requirements to the fullest extent possible;		
ii. Provide a detailed rationale for the choices made in the interim model, explaining their necessity and		
proportionality in relation to the legitimate purposes identified; iii. In particular, reconsider the proposal		
to hide the registrant email address as this may not be proportionate in view of the significant negative impact on law		
enforcement, cybersecurity and rights protection; iv. Distinguish between legal and natural		

persons, allowing for public access to		
WHOIS data of legal entities, which are		
not in the remit of the GDPR;		
v. Ensure continued access to the WHOIS,		
including non-public data, for users with a		
legitimate purpose, until the time when		
the interim WHOIS model is fully		
operational, on a mandatory basis for all		
contracted parties;		
vi. Ensure that limitations in terms of		
query volume envisaged under an		
accreditation program balance realistic		
investigatory crossreferencing needs; and		
vii. Ensure confidentiality of WHOIS		
queries by law enforcement agencies.		
Furthermore,		
b. the GAC advises the ICANN Board to		
instruct the ICANN Organization to:		
i. Complete the interim model as swiftly		
as possible, taking into account the advice		
above. Once the model is finalized, the		
GAC will complement ICANN's outreach		
to the Article 29 Working Party, inviting		
them to provide their views;		
ii. Consider the use of Temporary Policies		
and/or Special Amendments to ICANN's		
standard Registry and Registrar contracts		
to mandate implementation of an interim		
model and a temporary access		

iii. Assist in informing other national governments not represented in the GAC of the opportunity for individual
governments, if they wish to do so, to
provide information to ICANN on
governmental users to ensure continued
access to WHOIS.
RATIONALE
The core mission of ICANN is to "ensure
the stable and secure operation of the
internet's unique identifier systems."3
Accordingly, ICANN's Bylaws include a
commitment to preserve and enhance
"the operational stability, reliability,
security, global interoperability,
resilience, and openness of the DNS and
the Internet."4 ICANN's commitments
and required reviews emphasize that it
must "adequately address" issues related
to "consumer protection, security,
stability, resiliency and malicious abuse."5
The current WHOIS system helps achieve
many such public policy interests,
including enhancing trust in the DNS,
ensuring consumer protection, protecting
intellectual
property, combating cyber-crime, piracy and fraud, to cite but a few of the
elements highlighted already in the GAC's
2007 WHOIS Principles. The GDPR

	provides for mechanisms to balance the		
	various legitimate public and private		
	interests at stake, including privacy and		
	accountability. We note that the		
	legitimate interests reflected in ICANN's		
	Bylaws are consistent with the recitals to		
	the GDPR, which provide examples such		
	as "preventing fraud"; "ensuring network		
	and information security," including the		
	ability to resist "unlawful or malicious		
	actions" and reporting possible "criminal		
	acts or threats to public security" to		
	authorities.6 Regarding registration data		
	specifically, ICANN's Bylaws recognize		
	that WHOIS data is essential for "the		
	legitimate needs of law enforcement" and		
	for "promoting consumer trust."7 These		
	rules reflect the nature of the Internet as		
	a public resource whose governance not		
	only serves the interests of the private		
	parties operating the DNS but also serves		
	a number of important public policy		
	interests. ICANN's new interim proposal		
	suggests significant changes to the WHOIS		
	system, including masking several		
	categories of previously public		
	information. The GAC is concerned that		
	the interim model may not maintain the		
	current WHOIS system to the fullest		
	extent possible and that these changes		
	are not supported by the necessary		
I	1		

analysis and supporting rationale which poses the question whether the choices reflected in the current proposal are required by the law. As it stands, the proposed system risks hindering the efforts of law enforcement, intellectual property and other actors in combatting illicit activities and mitigating DNS abuse. A rationale is required for the decision to hide certain WHOIS data elements from the public database. Firstly, there is no need to hide non-personal information (including information related to legal entities), such as the name (to the extent they are legal entities, e.g., companies or organizations) or the Administrative and Technical contact's state/province and country. Secondly, when it comes to personal data, the GDPR permits its processing, including publication, under certain circumstances. As clarified by the Article 29 Working Party, publication of some personal data is not excluded, as long as this is justfield in light of the legitimate purposes pursued with the WHOIS directory and is based on a legal ground, such as performance of a contract or the legitimate interests pursued by the controller or by a third party. In particular, publication of the registrati's email address should be			
reflected in the current proposal are required by the law. As it stands, the proposed system risks hindering the efforts of law enforcement, intellectual property and other actors in combatting illicit activities and mitigating DNS abuse. A rationale is required for the decision to hide certain WHOIS data elements from the public database. Firstly, there is no need to hide non-personal information (including information related to legal entities), such as the name (to the extent they are legal entities, e.g., companies or organizations) or the Administrative and Technical contact's state/province and country. Secondly, when it comes to personal data, the GDPR permits its processing, including publication, under certain circumstances. As clarified by the Article 29 Working Party, publication of some personal data is not excluded, as long as this is justified in light of the legitimate purposes pursued with the WHOIS directory and is based on a legal ground, such as performance of a contract or the legitimate interests pursued by the controller or by a third party. In particular, publication of the	analysis and supporting rationale which		
required by the law. As it stands, the proposed system risks hindering the efforts of law enforcement, intellectual property and other actors in combatting illicit activities and mitigating DNS abuse. A rationale is required for the decision to hide certain WHOIS data elements from the public database. Firstly, there is no need to hide non-personal information (including information related to legal entities), such as the name (to the extent they are legal entities, e.g., companies or organizations) or the Administrative and Technical contact's state/province and country. Secondly, when it comes to personal data, the GDPR permits its processing, including publication, under certain circumstances. As clarified by the Article 29 Working Party, publication of some personal data is not excluded, as long as this is justified in light of the legitimate purposes pursued with the WHOIS directory and is based on a legal ground, such as performance of a contract or the legitimate interests pursued by the controller or by a third party. In particular, publication of the	poses the question whether the choices		
proposed system risks hindering the efforts of law enforcement, intellectual property and other actors in combatting illicit activities and mitigating DNS abuse. A rationale is required for the decision to hide certain WHOIS data elements from the public database. Firstly, there is no need to hide non-personal information (including information related to legal entities), such as the name (to the extent they are legal entities, e.g., companies or organizations) or the Administrative and Technical contact's state/province and country. Secondly, when it comes to personal data, the GDPR permits its processing, including publication, under certain circumstances. As clarified by the Article 29 Working Party, publication of some personal data is not excluded, as long as this is justified in light of the legitimate purposes pursued with the WHOIS directory and is based on a legal ground, such as performance of a contract or the legitimate interests pursued by the controller or by a third party. In particular, publication of the	reflected in the current proposal are		
efforts of law enforcement, intellectual property and other actors in combatting illicit activities and mitigating DNS abuse. A rationale is required for the decision to hide certain WHOIS data elements from the public database. Firstly, there is no need to hide non-personal information (including information related to legal entities), such as the name (to the extent they are legal entities, e.g., companies or organizations) or the Administrative and Technical contact's state/province and country. Secondly, when it comes to personal data, the GDPR permits its processing, including publication, under certain circumstances. As clarified by the Artticle 29 Working Party, publication of some personal data is not excluded, as long as this is justified in light of the legitimate purposes pursued with the WHOIS directory and is based on a legal ground, such as performance of a contract or the legitimate interests pursued by the controller or by a third party. In particular, publication of the	required by the law. As it stands, the		
property and other actors in combatting illicit activities and mitigating DNS abuse. A rationale is required for the decision to hide certain WHOIS data elements from the public database. Firstly, there is no need to hide non-personal information (including information related to legal entities), such as the name (to the extent they are legal entities, e.g., companies or organizations) or the Administrative and Technical contact's state/province and country. Secondly, when it comes to personal data, the GDPR permits its processing, including publication, under certain circumstances. As clarified by the Article 29 Working Party, publication of some personal data is not excluded, as long as this is justified in light of the legitimate purposes pursued with the WHOIS directory and is based on a legal ground, such as performance of a contract or the legitimate interests pursued by the controller or by a third party. In particular, publication of the	proposed system risks hindering the		
illicit activities and mitigating DNS abuse. A rationale is required for the decision to hide certain WHOIS data elements from the public database. Firstly, there is no need to hide non-personal information (including information related to legal entities), such as the name (to the extent they are legal entities, e.g., companies or organizations) or the Administrative and Technical contact's state/province and country. Secondly, when it comes to personal data, the GDPR permits its processing, including publication, under certain circumstances. As clarified by the Article 29 Working Party, publication of some personal data is not excluded, as long as this is justified in light of the legitimate purposes pursued with the WHOIS directory and is based on a legal ground, such as performance of a contract or the legitimate interests pursued by the controller or by a third party. In particular, publication of the	efforts of law enforcement, intellectual		
A rationale is required for the decision to hide certain WHOIS data elements from the public database. Firstly, there is no need to hide non-personal information (including information related to legal entities), such as the name (to the extent they are legal entities, e.g., companies or organizations) or the Administrative and Technical contact's state/province and country. Secondly, when it comes to personal data, the GDPR permits its processing, including publication, under certain circumstances. As clarified by the Article 29 Working Party, publication of some personal data is not excluded, as long as this is justified in light of the legitimate purposes pursued with the WHOIS directory and is based on a legal ground, such as performance of a contract or the legitimate interests pursued by the controller or by a third party. In particular, publication of the	property and other actors in combatting		
hide certain WHOIS data elements from the public database. Firstly, there is no need to hide non-personal information (including information related to legal entities), such as the name (to the extent they are legal entities, e.g., companies or organizations) or the Administrative and Technical contact's state/province and country. Secondly, when it comes to personal data, the GDPR permits its processing, including publication, under certain circumstances. As clarified by the Article 29 Working Party, publication of some personal data is not excluded, as long as this is justified in light of the legitimate purposes pursued with the WHOIS directory and is based on a legal ground, such as performance of a contract or the legitimate interests pursued by the controller or by a third party. In particular, publication of the	illicit activities and mitigating DNS abuse.		
the public database. Firstly, there is no need to hide non-personal information (including information related to legal entities), such as the name (to the extent they are legal entities, e.g., companies or organizations) or the Administrative and Technical contact's state/province and country. Secondly, when it comes to personal data, the GDPR permits its processing, including publication, under certain circumstances. As clarified by the Article 29 Working Party, publication of some personal data is not excluded, as long as this is justified in light of the legitimate purposes pursued with the WHOIS directory and is based on a legal ground, such as performance of a contract or the legitimate interests pursued by the controller or by a third party. In particular, publication of the	A rationale is required for the decision to		
need to hide non-personal information (including information related to legal entities), such as the name (to the extent they are legal entities, e.g., companies or organizations) or the Administrative and Technical contact's state/province and country. Secondly, when it comes to personal data, the GDPR permits its processing, including publication, under certain circumstances. As clarified by the Article 29 Working Party, publication of some personal data is not excluded, as long as this is justified in light of the legitimate purposes pursued with the WHOIS directory and is based on a legal ground, such as performance of a contract or the legitimate interests pursued by the controller or by a third party. In particular, publication of the	hide certain WHOIS data elements from		
(including information related to legal entities), such as the name (to the extent they are legal entities, e.g., companies or organizations) or the Administrative and Technical contact's state/province and country. Secondly, when it comes to personal data, the GDPR permits its processing, including publication, under certain circumstances. As clarified by the Article 29 Working Party, publication of some personal data is not excluded, as long as this is justified in light of the legitimate purposes pursued with the WHOIS directory and is based on a legal ground, such as performance of a contract or the legitimate interests pursued by the controller or by a third party. In particular, publication of the	the public database. Firstly, there is no		
entities), such as the name (to the extent they are legal entities, e.g., companies or organizations) or the Administrative and Technical contact's state/province and country. Secondly, when it comes to personal data, the GDPR permits its processing, including publication, under certain circumstances. As clarified by the Article 29 Working Party, publication of some personal data is not excluded, as long as this is justified in light of the legitimate purposes pursued with the WHOIS directory and is based on a legal ground, such as performance of a contract or the legitimate interests pursued by the controller or by a third party. In particular, publication of the	need to hide non-personal information		
they are legal entities, e.g., companies or organizations) or the Administrative and Technical contact's state/province and country. Secondly, when it comes to personal data, the GDPR permits its processing, including publication, under certain circumstances. As clarified by the Article 29 Working Party, publication of some personal data is not excluded, as long as this is justified in light of the legitimate purposes pursued with the WHOIS directory and is based on a legal ground, such as performance of a contract or the legitimate interests pursued by the controller or by a third party. In particular, publication of the	(including information related to legal		
organizations) or the Administrative and Technical contact's state/province and country. Secondly, when it comes to personal data, the GDPR permits its processing, including publication, under certain circumstances. As clarified by the Article 29 Working Party, publication of some personal data is not excluded, as long as this is justified in light of the legitimate purposes pursued with the WHOIS directory and is based on a legal ground, such as performance of a contract or the legitimate interests pursued by the controller or by a third party. In particular, publication of the	entities), such as the name (to the extent		
Technical contact's state/province and country. Secondly, when it comes to personal data, the GDPR permits its processing, including publication, under certain circumstances. As clarified by the Article 29 Working Party, publication of some personal data is not excluded, as long as this is justified in light of the legitimate purposes pursued with the WHOIS directory and is based on a legal ground, such as performance of a contract or the legitimate interests pursued by the controller or by a third party. In particular, publication of the	they are legal entities, e.g., companies or		
country. Secondly, when it comes to personal data, the GDPR permits its processing, including publication, under certain circumstances. As clarified by the Article 29 Working Party, publication of some personal data is not excluded, as long as this is justified in light of the legitimate purposes pursued with the WHOIS directory and is based on a legal ground, such as performance of a contract or the legitimate interests pursued by the controller or by a third party. In particular, publication of the	organizations) or the Administrative and		
personal data, the GDPR permits its processing, including publication, under certain circumstances. As clarified by the Article 29 Working Party, publication of some personal data is not excluded, as long as this is justified in light of the legitimate purposes pursued with the WHOIS directory and is based on a legal ground, such as performance of a contract or the legitimate interests pursued by the controller or by a third party. In particular, publication of the	Technical contact's state/province and		
processing, including publication, under certain circumstances. As clarified by the Article 29 Working Party, publication of some personal data is not excluded, as long as this is justified in light of the legitimate purposes pursued with the WHOIS directory and is based on a legal ground, such as performance of a contract or the legitimate interests pursued by the controller or by a third party. In particular, publication of the			
certain circumstances. As clarified by the Article 29 Working Party, publication of some personal data is not excluded, as long as this is justified in light of the legitimate purposes pursued with the WHOIS directory and is based on a legal ground, such as performance of a contract or the legitimate interests pursued by the controller or by a third party. In particular, publication of the	personal data, the GDPR permits its		
Article 29 Working Party, publication of some personal data is not excluded, as long as this is justified in light of the legitimate purposes pursued with the WHOIS directory and is based on a legal ground, such as performance of a contract or the legitimate interests pursued by the controller or by a third party. In particular, publication of the	processing, including publication, under		
some personal data is not excluded, as long as this is justified in light of the legitimate purposes pursued with the WHOIS directory and is based on a legal ground, such as performance of a contract or the legitimate interests pursued by the controller or by a third party. In particular, publication of the	certain circumstances. As clarified by the		
long as this is justified in light of the legitimate purposes pursued with the WHOIS directory and is based on a legal ground, such as performance of a contract or the legitimate interests pursued by the controller or by a third party. In particular, publication of the	Article 29 Working Party, publication of		
legitimate purposes pursued with the WHOIS directory and is based on a legal ground, such as performance of a contract or the legitimate interests pursued by the controller or by a third party. In particular, publication of the	some personal data is not excluded, as		
WHOIS directory and is based on a legal ground, such as performance of a contract or the legitimate interests pursued by the controller or by a third party. In particular, publication of the	long as this is justified in light of the		
ground, such as performance of a contract or the legitimate interests pursued by the controller or by a third party. In particular, publication of the	legitimate purposes pursued with the		
contract or the legitimate interests pursued by the controller or by a third party. In particular, publication of the	,		
pursued by the controller or by a third party. In particular, publication of the	ground, such as performance of a		
party. In particular, publication of the	-		
registrant's email address should be			
	registrant's email address should be		

	considered in light of the important role of this data element in the pursuit of a number of legitimate purposes and the possibility for registrants to provide an email address that does not contain personal data. Finally, legal entities are explicitly excluded from the remit of GDPR.			
2. IGO Reserved Names	Noting ongoing developments in the PDP on IGO access to curative rights protection mechanisms, which the GAC is monitoring closely, the GAC affirms its advice from previous Communiqués concerning preventative protection of IGO identifiers, recalls the importance of maintaining temporary protections until a permanent resolution on IGO identifiers is reached in order prevent irreparable harm to IGOs and a. advises the ICANN Board to: i. Ensure that the list of IGOs eligible for preventative protection is as accurate and complete as possible. RATIONALE Despite indications to the contrary, the GNSO has still not concluded its PDP on curative rights protection mechanisms. The GAC and IGOs remain fully engaged on this issue and emphasize that a	Yes	As the GAC advice notes, there is an ongoing PDP having a remit to address these issues. Without going into detail here, suffice it to say that the work has been arduous and time consuming.	The GNSO Council is currently reviewing all PDPs to see if they are fit for purpose.

	removal of interim protections before a permanent decision on IGO acronym protection is taken could result in irreparable harm to IGOs. In the interim, ICANN has moved forward to implement GAC advice related to protection of IGO full names at the second level. These protections will be based on a list of IGOs that fulfil previously agreed-upon criteria. To ensure this advice is effectively implemented, following significant work undertaken by IGOs resulting in significant progress on compiling this list, a focused effort is needed to contact remaining IGOs, so their names are protected accurately in the chosen two languages. ICANN has been in contact with the OECD and WIPO on this initiative, which the GAC supports.	
Other Issues	In 2. New gTLD Policies: General it is stated "The GAC met with one of the Co-Chairs of the GNSO PDP on New gTLD Subsequent Procedures. It was noted that while existing GAC advice has been considered, the PDP would benefit from more detailed GAC views and information on issues with public policy implications, for example support for developing countries and community-based applications. GAC members suggested	While both encouraging PDP Working Groups to communicate to the GAC how its input has been considered and addressed, and encouraging the GAC to strengthen its participation in the later stages of the PDP is included in the

	recommendation #4 of
	the GAC-GNSO
issues have	consultation group,
and where they	identifying whether an
vice and provide	issue has public policy
nation."	implications is not the
	role of the PDP WG as its
	members are not
	assumed to have to have
	this area of expertise.
	This is why the the quick
	look mechanism is an
	important initiative
	Several GAC members
	participate in WT5 of this
	PDP WG and are able to
	identify issues of public
	policy with the GAC and
	provide feedback to the
	WG during the policy
	development process.
	This is very helpful to the
	discussions and we
	encourage continued GAC
	involvement in this PDP
	process and others as
	well
	r the PDP e to the GAC issues have and where they vice and provide nation."