**MOTION FOR GNSO COUNCIL ADOPTION OF THE FINAL REPORT OF THE IGO-INGO ACCESS TO CURATIVE RIGHTS PDP WORKING GROUP – 14 OCTOBER 2018**

Whereas:

1. On 5 June 2014, the GNSO Council [launched](https://gnso.icann.org/en/council/resolutions#20140605-2) a Policy Development Process (PDP) on access to curative rights mechanisms at the second level of the domain name system by International Governmental Organizations (IGOs) and Non-Governmental Organizations;
2. On 25 June 2014, the GNSO Council approved the [initial Charter](https://gnso.icann.org/en/drafts/igo-ingo-crp-access-charter-24jun14-en.pdf) for the PDP Working Group, which was subsequently [amended](https://community.icann.org/download/attachments/48347887/WG%20Charter%20-%20as%20amended%2016%20April%202015.pdf?version=1&modificationDate=1483721507000&api=v2) on 16 April 2015;
3. This PDP has followed the prescribed PDP steps as stated in the Bylaws, resulting in a [Final Report](https://gnso.icann.org/en/issues/igo-ingo-crp-access-final-17jul18-en.pdf) delivered to the GNSO Council on 9 July 2018;
4. The PDP Working Group has reached consensus on all the recommendations in its Final Report;
5. At its 19 July 2018 meeting, the GNSO Council had [acknowledged](https://gnso.icann.org/en/council/resolutions#20180719-1) the report and *“the need to consider the topic of curative rights protections for IGOs in the broader context of appropriate overall scope of protection for all IGO identifiers (including IGO acronyms)”*. The GNSO Council had declared its intention to review the Final Report (including the Minority Statements submitted) *“with a view toward developing a possible path forward that will also facilitate the resolution of the outstanding inconsistencies between GAC advice and prior GNSO policy recommendations on the overall scope of IGO protections”* as well as act on the recommendations *“at the earliest opportunity following its review and deliberations on these topics”*;
6. The GNSO Council had also noted that, until outstanding issues concerning protections for IGO names and acronyms are resolved, IGO acronyms remain reserved on an interim basis at the second level of the domain name system for those gTLDs delegated under the 2012 New gTLD Program round; and
7. The GNSO Council has reviewed and discussed the Working Group’s recommendations, including at a special Council discussion on 9 October 2018 where the Council also discussed the following questions:
   1. What questions/topics was the Working Group chartered to consider?
   2. Did the Working Group consider those charter topics/questions, and did it do so in a legitimate way?
   3. Has the Working Group followed due process?
   4. What were the process issues (if any) encountered by the Working Group?
   5. Did the Working Group address GAC advice on this topic?

Resolved:

1. The GNSO Council adopts all the PDP Working Group’s final recommendations as contained in the Final Report.
2. The GNSO Council thanks the members of the PDP Working Group for their hard work in developing the recommendations.
3. Should the PDP recommendations be adopted by the ICANN Board, the GNSO Council directs ICANN staff to convene an Implementation Review Team. The Implementation Review Team will be tasked with assisting ICANN staff in developing the implementation details for the PDP recommendations, evaluating the proposed implementation of the recommendations as approved by the Board, and working with ICANN staff to ensure that the resultant implementation conforms to the intent of the approved recommendations. The Implementation Review Team shall operate in accordance with the Implementation Review Team Principles and Guidance approved by the GNSO Council in June 2015.
4. The GNSO Council requests that, following the adoption by the ICANN Board of the PDP recommendations, ICANN staff issue a call for volunteers for the Implementation Review Team, to be circulated at a minimum to the members of the PDP Working Group.