Proposed Next Steps – Readiness for post-transition Bylaws 03 December 2018

Following the adoption by the GNSO Council of the revised GNSO Operating Procedures, as well as the proposed modifications to the ICANN Bylaws adopted by the ICANN Board of Directors on 13 May 2018, staff has outlined in the table below the additional proposed steps to be taken to ensure preparedness as well as facilitate the ability for the GNSO Council to act in relation to the new roles and responsibilities outlined in the post-transition Bylaws. The GNSO Council is requested to review the proposed next steps and to provide feedback whether it is supportive of these proposed next steps or whether modifications should be considered., staff has outlined in the table below the additional proposed steps to be taken to ensure preparedness as well as facilitate the ability for the GNSO Council to act in relation to the new roles and responsibilities outlined in the post-transition Bylaws.

The GNSO Council is requested to review the proposed next steps and to provide feedback whether it is supportive of these proposed next steps or whether modifications should be considered. Staff has proposed to develop first drafts of templates and possible guidelines for the Council's consideration, but the Council could also consider setting up a dedicated committee / drafting team to take on this task and/or collaborate with staff, noting that any proposed templates and/or guidelines would still need to come back to the GNSO Council for approval. In order to facilitate such an assignment, the Council could consider reinstating the Bylaws Drafting Team to take on this role. As you may recall, the Bylaws Drafting Team was originally tasked by the GNSO Council to identify the GNSO's new rights and obligations under the revised ICANN Bylaws, and to prepare an implementation plan for the GNSO Council's consideration, which was recently completed following the adoption by the ICANN Board of the additional GNSO voting thresholds. Reinstating the Bylaws Drafting Team would include (re)confirming the member representatives from the different GNSO Stakeholder Groups and Constituencies.

The proposed next steps fall broadly into the following three categories:

- Guidance/principles: Guidance or principles for the GNSO to complete a particular action(s). These fall within the GNSO's existing processes and procedures, but where additional details and steps are deemed to be helpful. Note: Staff has or will create initial drafts for the Drafting Team to review (marked in YELLOW/TBD).
- Templates: These are templates for motions or other actions. These fall within the GNSO's existing processes and procedures, but where templates are deemed helpful to ensure all required information as outlined in the Bylaws is provided. Note: Staff has draft initial templates for consideration (marked in GREEN/COMPLETED).
- 3. Actions that do not require specific guidelines or templates, and as such, no further steps are needed at this stage. NOTE: These are marked in GREEN/COMPLETED)

Bylaws Section	Description	Proposed Next Step
ARTICLE 4 ACCOUNTABILITY AND	The GNSO will need to develop	Staff to develop a first draft of a
REVIEW	further details for the process to	template that provides guidance for
4.2 & 4.3 RECONSIDERATION	decide to be a Reconsideration	what should appear in the motion.
REQUESTS; (IRP) FOR COVERED	Requestor, including how a	Specifically, it would state, ""The
ICANN ACTIONS	decision to be a Reconsideration	claim shall state the basis for the
	Requestor is reached and how has	dispute and the harms in reasonable
"Any person or entity materially	the GNSO been adversely affected	detail."
affected by an action or inaction	by one of the applicable ICANN	
of the ICANN Board or Staff may	actions (Section 4.2(c)).	Staff also to develop a template for
request ("Requestor") the review	Presumably this would be in the	completing the reconsideration
or reconsideration of that action	form of a motion and it would	request form at:
or inaction by the Board (). The	need to cover at a minimum the	https://www.icann.org/resources/pa
EC Administration shall designate	basis for the dispute and the	ges/accountability/reconsideration-
individuals to represent the EC in	harms to the GNSO in reasonable	<mark>en.</mark>
the mediation ()"	detail.	
ARTICLE 4 ACCOUNTABILITY AND	The GNSO will need to develop	Staff to develop a first draft of a
REVIEW	further details for the process to	template that provides guidance for
4.3 INDEPENDENT REVIEW	decide to be an IRP Requestor.	what should appear in the motion.
PROCESS (IRP) FOR COVERED	How a decision to initiate an	Specifically, it would state, ""The
ICANN ACTIONS	IRP is reached - not just the	claim shall state the basis for the
	threshold, but what it covers.	dispute and the harms in reasonable
"(a) In addition to the	Presumably it would need to	detail."
reconsideration process described	cover at a minimum the basis	
in <u>Section 4.2</u> , ICANN shall have a	for the dispute and the harms	Staff (to work with DT, if applicable)
separate process for independent	to the GNSO in reasonable	also to develop proposed guidelines
third-party review of Disputes	detail.	for initiating an IRP, representation
(defined in <u>Section 4.3(b)(iii)</u>)	 Who would represent the 	and payment, and decision to make
alleged by a Claimant (as defined	GNSO?	the claim for Council consideration.
in <u>Section 4.3(b)(i)</u>) to be within	 Who would pay for 	
the scope of the Independent	representation?	
Review Process ("IRP"). The IRP is	How would a claim that is	
intended to hear and resolve Disputes for the following	supported by the GNSO be	
purposes (" Purposes of the IRP ")	put forward?	
"	Such details could be in the form	
····	of separate guidelines which	
"(d) An IRP shall commence with	eventually are to be added to the GNSO Operating Procedures.	
the Claimant's filing of a written	GNSO Operating Procedures.	
statement of a Dispute (a "Claim")		
with the IRP Provider (described in		
Section 4.3(m) below). For the EC		
to commence an IRP ("Community		
IRP"), the EC shall first comply		
with the procedures set forth in		
Section 4.2 of Annex D."		
4.7 COMMUNITY MEDIATION	The GNSO request, via the GNSO's	Staff to develop a first draft of a
	EC Administration representative,	template that provides guidance for
"If the Board refuses or fails to	for the EC to initiate a mediation	what should appear in the motion.
comply with a duly authorized and	will be put before the GNSO	

valid EC Decision under these Bylaws, the EC Administration representative of any Decisional Participant who supported the exercise by the EC of its rights in the applicable EC Decision during the applicable decision period may request that the EC initiate a mediation process" "(b) If a Mediation Initiation Notice (as defined in Section 4.1(a) of Annex D) is delivered to the Secretary pursuant to and in compliance with Section 4.1(a) of Annex D, as soon as reasonably practicable thereafter, the EC Administration shall designate individuals to represent the EC in the mediation ("Mediation Administration") and the Board shall designate representatives for the mediation ("Board Mediation Representatives"). Members of the EC Administration and the Board can designate themselves as representatives. ICANN shall promptly post the Mediation Initiation Notice on the Website."	Council as a motion for consideration. Threshold for approval is a simple majority vote of each house, which per Section 11.3-I of the ICANN Bylaws is the default voting threshold. The EC Administration "shall designate individuals to represent the EC in the mediation". As such, the EC Administration is expected to develop a process for coordinating this designation with the Decisional Participants.	
ARTICLE 6 EMPOWERED COMMUNITY SECTION 6.1 COMPOSITION AND ORGANIZATION OF THE EMPOWERED COMMUNITY "(a) The Empowered Community	The process for selecting the GNSO representative on the EC Administration will be carried out by the GNSO Standing Selection Committee.	Reference the documented process on the GNSO Procedures Web Page.
("EC") shall be a nonprofit association formed under the laws of the State of California consisting of the ASO, the ccNSO (as defined in Section 10.1), the GNSO (as defined in Section 11.1), the ALAC (as defined in Section 12.2(d)(i)) and the GAC (each a "Decisional Participant" or "associate," and collectively, the "Decisional Participants")."		
"(a) The Decisional Participants shall act through their respective	The process for selecting the GNSO representative on the EC Administration will be carried out	Reference the documented process on the GNSO Procedures Web Page.

chairs or such other persons as may be designated by the Committee. **Decisional Participants** (collectively, such persons are the "EC Administration"). Each Decisional Participant shall deliver annually a written certification from its chair or co-chairs to the Secretary designating the individual who shall represent the Decisional Participant on the EC Administration. (b) In representing a Decisional Participant on the EC Administration, the representative individual shall act solely as directed by the represented Decisional Participant and in accordance with processes developed by such Decisional Participant in accordance with Section 6.1(g)." **SECTION 11.3 GNSO COUNCIL** defined term.

by the GNSO Standing Selection

In those instances where there is a reference to GNSO Supermajority. there is no need to add the voting threshold to section 11.3 as a GNSO Supermajority is already a

For those additional GNSO voting thresholds which are different from the current threshold of simple majority vote of each house that are intended to address all the new or additional rights and responsibilities in relation to participation in the GNSO as a Decisional Participant in the EC changes to the ICANN Bylaws will be required.

The reference to simple majority of GNSO Council refers to the default voting threshold of simple majority of each house.

During its meeting on 30 January 2018, the GNSO Council resolved unanimously

(https://community.icann.org/displa v/gnsocouncilmeetings/Motions+30 +January+2018) to recommend that the ICANN Board of Directors adopt the proposed changes to section 11.3.i of the ICANN Bylaws to reflect additional GNSO voting thresholds which are different from the current threshold of a simple majority vote of each House

(see https://www.icann.org/en/syste m/files/files/proposed-revisionsbylaws-article-11-gnso-redline-19jun17-en.pdf). These additional voting thresholds are intended to address all the new or additional rights and responsibilities in relation to participation of the GNSO as a Decisional Participant in the **Empowered Community to fully** implement these new or additional rights and responsibilities as they appear in the revised GNSO Operating Procedures published on 30 January 2018 (see https://gnso.icann.org/en/council/o

SECTION 17.2 CSC COMPOSITION, APPOINTMENT, TERM AND REMOVAL

a) The CSC shall consist of: (i) Two individuals representing gTLD registry operators appointed by the Registries Stakeholder Group; (ii) Two individuals representing ccTLD registry operators appointed by the ccNSO; and (iii) One individual liaison appointed by PTI, each appointed in accordance with the rules and procedures of the appointing organization; provided that such individuals should have direct experience and knowledge of the IANA naming function. (b) If so determined by the ccNSO and GNSO, the CSC may, but is not required to, include one additional member: an individual representing top-level domain registry operators that are not considered a ccTLD or gTLD, who shall be appointed by the ccNSO and the GNSO. Such representative shall be required to submit a letter of support from the registry operator it represents. (c) Each of the following organizations may also appoint one liaison to the CSC in accordance with the rules and procedures of the appointing organization: (i) GNSO (from the Registrars Stakeholder Group or the Non-Contracted Parties House), (ii) ALAC, (iii) either the NRO or ASO (as determined by the ASO), (iv) GAC, (v) RSSAC, (vi) SSAC and (vii) any other Supporting Organization or Advisory Committee established under these Bylaws.

- The reference to "1 CSC Member" should be read as "liaison" in accordance with the Bylaws.
- The GNSO process for selecting the optional additional ccNSO-GNSO registry operator member on the CSC will be carried out by the GNSO Standing Selection Committee and is to be coordinated with the ccNSO.
- The GNSO and ccNSO will need to discuss whether or not to formalize the joint approval process and what process / procedures should be in place in case of disagreement / non-approval by one of the two.
- If one or more letters of support are provided for a non ccTLD or gTLD representative to join as a member, a procedure will need to be developed to identify how the GNSO will (i) internally and (ii) externally [with the ccNSO] determine the additional member.
- It is the expectation that the RySG will publish its procedure for appointing members will be documented in the GNSO Operating Procedures.

p-procedures-30jan18-en.pdf).
These have now been approved by the ICANN Board of Directors and will require a Board Rejection Action Notice to the EC.

The small group that will be looking at the CSC/IFR review overlap is requested to also propose a process and timeline with ccNSO and document in the GNSO Operating Procedures or as a separate document.

(d) The GNSO and ccNSO shall		
approve the initial proposed		
members and liaisons of the CSC,		
and thereafter, the ccNSO and		
GNSO shall approve each annual		
slate of members and liaisons		
being recommended for a new		
term		
SECTION 17.3 CSC CHARTER;	How this review is to be	The small group that will be looking
PERIODIC REVIEW	conducted as well as the timeline	at the CSC/IFR review overlap is
	is to be discussed and coordinated	requested to also propose on
b) The effectiveness of the CSC	with the ccNSO. Following	process and timeline with ccNSO and
shall be reviewed two years after	agreement on the process and	document in the GNSO Operating
the first meeting of the CSC; and	timeline, this could eventually be	Procedures or as a separate
then every three years thereafter.	documented as part of the GNSO	document.
The method of review will be	Operating Procedures or as a	
determined by the ccNSO and	standalone document.	
GNSO and the findings of the		
review will be published on the	Coordination with the ccNSO in	
Website.	relation to any possible	
(c) The CSC Charter shall be	amendments is anticipated.	
reviewed by a committee of		
representatives from the ccNSO		
and the Registries Stakeholder		
Group selected by such		
organizations. This review shall		
commence one year after the first		
meeting of the CSC. Thereafter,		
the CSC Charter shall be reviewed		
by such committee of		
representatives from the ccNSO		
and the Registries Stakeholder		
Group selected by such		
organizations at the request of the		
CSC, ccNSO, GNSO, the Board		
and/or the PTI Board and/or by an		
IFRT in connection with an IFR. ARTICLE 18 IANA NAMING		List so that the GNSO is aware what
FUNCTION REVIEWS SECTION		decisions it may be required to or
18.2 FREQUENCY OF PERIODIC		may be asked to make as part of the
IFRS		EC. Staff to develop a template for a
1113		decision on the recommendations of
"The Board, or an appropriate		an IFR or delay of an IFR.
committee thereof, shall cause		an in it of delay of all lift.
periodic and/or special reviews		
(each such review, an "IFR") of		
PTI's performance of the IANA		
naming function against the		
contractual requirements set forth		
in the IANA Naming Function		
Contract and the IANA Naming		
Contract and the IANA Naming		

Function SOW to be carried out by		
an IANA Function Review Team		
("IFRT") established in accordance		
with Article 18, as follows:		
(a) Regularly scheduled periodic		
IFRs, to be conducted pursuant to		
Section 18.2 below ("Periodic		
IFRs"); and		
(b) IFRs that are not Periodic IFRs,		
to be conducted pursuant to		
Section 18.12 below ("Special		
IFRs")."		
"a) The first Periodic IFR shall be		
convened no later than [1 October		
2018].		
(b) Periodic IFRs after the first		
Periodic IFR shall be convened no		
less frequently than every five		
years, measured from the date the		
previous IFRT for a Periodic IFR		
was convened.		
(c) In the event a Special IFR is		
ongoing at the time a Periodic IFR		
is required to be convened under		
this Section 18.2, the Board shall		
cause the convening of the		
Periodic IFR to be delayed if such		
delay is approved by the vote of (i)		
a supermajority of the ccNSO		
Council (pursuant to the ccNSO's		
procedures or, if such procedures		
do not define a supermajority,		
two-thirds (2/3) of the ccNSO		
Council's members) and (ii) a		
GNSO Supermajority. Any decision		
by the ccNSO and GNSO to delay a		
Periodic IFR must identify the		
period of delay, which should		
generally not exceed 12 months		
after the completion of the Special		
IFR."		
SECTION 18.7 COMPOSITION OF	There is no role here for the GNSO	Staff to collect SG procedures and
IFR REVIEW TEAMS	Standing Selection Committee as	link to those on the GNSO web-site.
	appointments are directly made	
"Each IFRT shall consist of the	by the SGs and this has been made	
following members and liaisons to	clear in the charter for the GNSO	
be appointed in accordance with	Standing Selection Committee.	
the rules and procedures of the		
appointing organization:	Each SG will publish the	
	procedures for making	
<u> </u>	_	

(c) Two representatives appointed	appointments in its respective	
by the Registries Stakeholder	operating procedures.	
Group;		
(d) One representative appointed		
by the Registrars Stakeholder		
Group;		
(e) One representative appointed		
by the Commercial Stakeholder		
Group;		
(f) One representative appointed		
by the Non-Commercial		
Stakeholder Group;"		
SECTION 18.12 SPECIAL IFRS	In those instances where there is a	Staff (to work with DT, if applicable)
	reference to GNSO Supermajority,	to develop for (a) a proposed
"(a) A Special IFR may be initiated	there is no need to add the voting	process for revising the procedures
outside of the cycle for the	threshold to section 11.3 as a	and outcomes (i) and (ii) and then a
Periodic IFRs to address any	GNSO Supermajority is already a	consultation process developed with
deficiency, problem or other issue	defined term.	the ccNSO on whether to initiate the
that has adversely affected PTI's		IFR. Only then the threshold comes
performance under the IANA	For (a) there needs to be a process	into play.
Naming Function Contract and	for revising the procedures and	
IANA Naming Function SOW	outcomes (i) and (ii) and then a	
[under] the following conditions:	consultation process developed	
(i) The Remedial Action	with the ccNSO on whether to	
Procedures of the CSC set forth in	initiate the IFR. Only then the	
the IANA Naming Function	threshold comes into play.	
Contract shall have been followed		
and failed to correct the PTI	For the review referenced in ii, the	
Performance Issue and the	GNSO has processes available such	
outcome of such procedures shall	as the GIP it could use.	
have been reviewed by the ccNSO		
and GNSO according to each		
organization's respective		
operating procedures;		
(ii) The IANA Problem Resolution		
Process set forth in the IANA		
Naming Function Contract shall		
have been followed and failed to		
correct the PTI Performance Issue		
and the outcome of such process		
shall have been reviewed by the		
ccNSO and GNSO according to		
each organization's respective		
operating procedures;"		
SECTIONS 19.5 SCWG	There is no role here for the GNSO	Staff to collect SG procedures and
COMPOSITION AND 19.6	Standing Selection Committee as	link to those on the GNSO web-site.
ELECTION OF CO-CHAIRS AND	appointments are directly made	
LIAISONS	by the SGs and this has been made	
	clear in the charter for the GNSO	
"(a) Each SCWG shall consist of the	Standing Selection Committee.	
following members and liaisons to	. 5	
be appointed in accordance with		
and appearance and accordance with		

		T
the rules and procedures of the	Each SG will publish the	
appointing organization:	procedures for making	
(i) Two representatives appointed	appointments in its respective	
by the ccNSO from its ccTLD	operating procedures.	
registry operator representatives;		
(ii) One non-ccNSO ccTLD		
representative who is associated		
with a ccTLD registry operator that		
is not a representative of the		
ccNSO, appointed by the ccNSO; it		
is strongly recommended that the		
ccNSO consult with the regional		
_		
ccTLD organizations (i.e., AfTLD,		
APTLD, LACTLD and CENTR) in		
making its appointment;		
(iii) Three representatives		
appointed by the Registries		
Stakeholder Group;		
(iv) One representative appointed		
by the Registrars Stakeholder		
Group;		
(v) One representative appointed		
by the Commercial Stakeholder		
Group;		
(vi) One representative appointed		
by the Non-Commercial		
Stakeholder Group;"		
"a) The SCWG shall be led by two		
co-chairs: one appointed by the		
GNSO from one of the members		
appointed pursuant to clauses (iii)-		
(vi) of Section 19.5(a) and one		
appointed by the ccNSO from one		
of the members appointed		
pursuant to clauses (i)-(ii) of		
Section 19.5(a)."		
SECTION 25.2 AMENDMENTS TO	The motion should include	Staff to develop motion template
FUNDAMENTAL BYLAWS &	direction to forward to EC	
ARTICLES OF INCORPORATION	Administration, which will just	
	tally the votes to determine if the	
"(b) Notwithstanding any other	overall EC threshold is met. There	
provision of these Bylaws, a	is no specific additional role for	
Fundamental Bylaw or the Articles	the EC Administration or for the	
of Incorporation may be altered,	GNSO representative to the EC	
amended, or repealed (a	Administration.	
"Fundamental Bylaw		
Amendment" or an "Articles		
Amendment"), only upon		
approval by a three-fourths vote		
of all Directors and the approval of		
and app. oval of		l

the EC as set forth in this <u>Section</u>		
<u>25.2</u> ."		
ARTICLE 26 SALE & DISPOSITION	Add now yeting threshold for the	Staff to develop motion tomplate
OF ICANN ASSETS	Add new voting threshold for the	Staff to develop motion template
OF ICANIN ASSETS	following action by GNSO Council to section 11.3.i of the ICANN	
"(a) ICANN may consummate a		
"(a) ICANN may consummate a transaction or series of	Bylaws: Approval of Sale &	
transaction or series of transactions that would result in	Disposition of ICANN Assets	
	GNSO Supermajority. This is	
the sale or disposition of all or	currently under consideration by	
substantially all of ICANN's assets	the ICANN Board of Directors.	
(an "Asset Sale") only upon	The metion should include	
approval by a three-fourths vote	The motion should include	
of all Directors and the approval of	direction to forward to EC	
the EC as set forth in this Article	Administration, which will just	
<u>26</u> ."	tally the votes to determine if the	
	overall EC threshold is met. There	
	is no specific additional role for the EC Administration or for the	
	GNSO representative to the EC	
SECTION 1.3 APPROVAL ACTION CO	Administration.	
		Stoff to douglas matical towards
1.3(b) If the EC Administration	The GNSO Council may wish to	Staff to develop motion template
requests a publicly-available	consider the following item as	
conference call by providing a	inputs to the EC Administration: Does the GNSO believe that a	
notice to the Secretary, ICANN	conference call will be	
shall, at the direction of the EC		
Administration, schedule such call prior to any Approval Action	appropriate? If so, they can direct the EC Admin rep to request one.	
Community Forum, and inform the	(community conversation should	
Decisional Participants of the date,	take place to determine how many	
time and participation methods of	members of the EC Admin are	
such conference call.	required to convene the	
Such conference can.	conference call.)	
(f) ICANN and any SO or AC	This item is not about GNSO as a	Staff (to work with DT, if applicable)
	participant in the EC. This item is	to identify or develop a proposed
(including Decisional Participants) may deliver to the EC	about how the GNSO will develop	process for developing input, within
Administration its views and	inputs (views and questions) for	the GNSO's existing procedures.
questions on the Approval Action	consideration at the Community	the divide a existing procedures.
prior to the convening of and	Forum. Once that process is	
during the Approval Action	agreed, then whatever is	
	produced is sent for information	
Community Forum.	•	
	and posting. This should not focus	
	on any action by the EC Admin	
1 3(i) During the Approval Action	Rep.	Process communicating to the EC
1.3(i) During the Approval Action	The GNSO will need to determine	Process communicating to the EC
Community Forum Period, an	how it communicates to the EC	Administration that GNSO thinks
additional one or two Community	Administration that it thinks	another community forum is of use,
Forums may be held at the	another community forum is of	as well as determine what is the
discretion of the Board or the EC	use, as well as determine what is	community coordination
Administration.	the community coordination	requirement on that. It is expected
	requirement on that.	that this process would fall under

the GNSO's existing processes and practices and as such, no further action is needed.

SECTION 2.2 PETITION PROCESS FOR SPECIFIED ACTIONS

(b) During the period beginning on the Rejection Action Board Notification Date and ending on the 21st day after the Rejection Action Board Notification Date, subject to the procedures and requirements developed by the applicable Decisional Participant, an individual may submit a petition to a Decisional Participant, seeking to reject the Rejection Action and initiate the Rejection Process (a "Rejection Action Petition").

(c) A Decisional Participant that has received a Rejection Action Petition shall either accept or reject such Rejection Action Petition: provided that a Decisional Participant may only accept such Rejection Action Petition if it was received by such Decisional Participant during the Rejection Action Petition Period. (i) If, in accordance with the requirements of Section 2.2(c) of this Annex D, a Decisional Participant accepts a Rejection Action Petition during the Rejection Action Petition Period, the Decisional Participant shall promptly provide ...written notice of such acceptance

Addition to the GNSO Operating Procedures to: 1) clarify that in specific circumstances, the GNSO Council may waive the timeframes currently referenced in the GNSO Operating Procedures in relation to submission of motions as well as scheduling of meetings to meet its obligations under the timelines outlined in the ICANN Bylaws as a Decisional Participant, and 2) add a provision to clarify that all petitions submitted by an individual must be submitted through a GNSO Stakeholder Group or Constituency to the GNSO Council. For this purpose, each GNSO Stakeholder Group and Constituency must develop clear rules for the submission of such petitions, including any requirements for the criteria to be included in a petition. These rules would be added to the GNSO Operating Procedures as an annex once available.

GNSO Council action on the receipt, acceptance or rejection of a Rejection Action Petition will be put before the GNSO Council as a motion for consideration. The motion must be framed as a petition, and include the Bylaws-required rationale. Threshold for approval is a simple majority vote of each house, which per Section 11.3-I of the ICANN Bylaws is the default voting threshold and as such does not require any further change.

(d) Following the delivery of a Rejection Action Petition Notice to the EC Administration pursuant to Section 2.2(c)(i) of this Annex D, the Rejection Action Petitioning Decisional Participant shall contact the EC Administration and the

(A) Supporting rationale, (B)
Contact information, (C)
Statement re: conference call, (D)
Statement re: forum, (E) Citing
PDP Standard Bylaw Statement.

Staff to develop template for motion.

Staff (to work with DT, if applicable) to develop proposed rules for submitting petitions. Staff would produce the initial draft of the rules, consistent with the ccNSO guideline, including the identification of specific issues / questions via a consultation with the Drafting Team and the Stakeholder Groups and Constituencies, to ensure consistencies in the rules.

Staff to develop template for motion as well as template for Rejection Action Supporting Petition.

Staff (to work with DT, if applicable) to develop proposed processes to identify its representative for

other Decisional Participants to determine whether any other Decisional Participants support the Rejection Action Petition. (i) If the Rejection Action Petitioning Decisional Participant obtains the support of at least one other Decisional Participant (a "Rejection Action Supporting Decisional Participant") ... the Rejection Action Petitioning **Decisional Participant shall** provide written notice, to include: (A) a supporting rationale in reasonable detail; (B) Contact information for at least one representative who has been designated by the Rejection

Action Petition Decisional
Participant who shall act as a
liaison with respect to the
Rejection Action Supported
petition.
(C) a statement as to whether or
not the Rejection Action
Petitioning Decisional Participant
and/or the Rejection Action
Supporting Decisional Participant
requests that ICANN organize a

conference call prior to the Rejection Action Community Forum for the community to discuss the Rejection Action

Supported Petition;
(D) a statement as to whether the Rejection Action Petitioning and Supporting Decisional Participants have determined to hold the Rejection Action Community Forum during the next scheduled ICANN public meeting.

(E) a PDP Standard Bylaw Statement

The first part of this process is only triggered if the GNSO received a petition and accepts it according to its procedures. Notice of acceptance must include rationale, etc. as set forth in 2.2ciA. Then the GNSO will need to determine the process that it would follow to become a Supporting Decisional Participant if it receives notice of a petition from another DP.

The GNSO will also need processes to identify its representative for purpose of the petition to act as a liaison and how it will provide direction to that person.

purpose of the petition to act as a liaison and how it will provide direction to that person. It is expected that this process would fall under the GNSO's existing processes and practices.

SECTION 2.3 REJECTION ACTION COMMUNITY FORUM

2.3(a) If the EC Administration receives a Rejection Action Supported Petition under Section 2.2(d) of this Annex D during the Rejection Action Petition Support Period, ICANN shall, at the direction of the EC Administration, convene a forum at which the

If there is a supported petition, the need for a community forum is automatic.

The GNSO can consider how it wishes to organize its representation and participation at the Community Forum.

Staff (to work with DT, if applicable) to develop proposed principles for how the GNSO organizes its representation and participation at the Community Forum. It is expected that this process would fall under the GNSO's existing processes and practices.

Decisional Participants and interested parties may discuss the Rejection Action Supported Petition (f) ICANN and any SO or AC may deliver to the EC Administration in writing its views and questions on the Rejection Action Supported Petition prior to the convening of and during the Rejection Action Community Forum.	EC will need to decide on process for receiving and processing submissions; however, this section is not about GNSO participation in the EC, it is about how the GNSO will develop its inputs (views and questions) for consideration at the Community Forum. Once that process is agreed, then whatever is produced is sent for information and posting.	Staff to develop template for motion. Staff (to work with DT, if applicable) on proposed process for how the GNSO will develop its inputs (views and questions) for consideration at the Community Forum. It is expected that this process would fall under the GNSO's existing processes and practices.
	GNSO will use existing practices and processes for collecting views and questions.	
(h) If the Rejection Action Petitioning and Supporting Decisional Participants for a Rejection Action Supported Petition agree before, during or after the Community Forum that the issue has been resolved, such Rejection Action Supported Petition shall be deemed withdrawn	Further details may need to be developed in relation to how the GNSO determines that an issue is resolved if they are a petitioner or supporting decisional participant.	Staff (to work with DT, if applicable) to develop a proposed process for how the GNSO determines that an issue is resolved if they are a petitioner or supporting decisional participant. It is expected that this process would fall under the GNSO's existing processes and practices.
(i) During the Rejection Action Community Forum Period, an additional one or two Rejection Action Community Forums may be held at the discretion of a Rejection Action Petitioning and Supporting Participant or the EC Administration	The GNSO will need to determine how it communicates to the EC Administration that it thinks another community forum is of use, as well as determine what is the community coordination requirement on that.	Staff to develop template for motion. Staff (to work with DT, if applicable) to develop proposed process for communicating to the EC Administration that GNSO thinks another community forum is of use, as well as determine what is the community coordination requirement on that. It is expected that this process would fall under the GNSO's existing processes and practices in the form of written guidance (non-objection) or formal motion.
SECTION 3.1 NOMINATING COMMITTEE DIRECTOR REMOVAL PROCESS	Add new voting threshold for the following action by GNSO Council to section 11.3.i of the ICANN Bylaws:	Staff (to work with DT, if applicable) to develop proposed guidance for the submission of such petitions, including any requirements for the
"(a) Subject to the procedures and requirements developed by the applicable Decisional Participant,	Approval of a petition to remove a director holding	criteria to be included in a petition.

an individual may submit a seats 1 through 8 - GNSO petition to a Decisional Participant Supermajority seeking to remove a Director holding Seats 1 through 8 and Note, the petition which is to be initiate the Nominating submitted in the form of a motion Committee Director Removal is expected to include the Process ("Nominating Committee information as required per the Director Removal Petition"). Each ICANN Bylaws. **Nominating Committee Director** Removal Petition shall set forth Addition to the GNSO Operating the rationale upon which such **Procedures** to clarify that in individual seeks to remove such specific circumstances, the GNSO Director. The process set forth in Council may waive the timeframes this Section 3.1 of Annex D is currently referenced in the GNSO referred to herein as the Operating Procedures in relation "Nominating Committee Director to submission of motions as well Removal Process."" as scheduling of meetings to meet its obligations under the timelines outlined in the ICANN Bylaws as a Decisional Participant and 2) add a provision to clarify that all petitions concerning a director removal process submitted by an individual must be submitted directly to the GNSO Council. For this purpose, the GNSO Council will develop a clear process and rules for the submission of such petitions, including any requirements for the criteria to be included in a petition. These rules would be added to the GNSO Operating Procedures as an annex once available. Note, in relation to 1), due to the added requirement here for a dialogue with the affected Director, to take place during the 21-day petitioning period, the Operating Procedures would make clear that any expedited Council vote should not take place before this dialogue (or a reasonable opportunity for one) has taken **SECTION 3.2 SO/AC DIRECTOR** Staff to develop template for Add new voting threshold for the

following action by GNSO Council

REMOVAL PROCESS

motion.

"a) Subject to the procedures and requirements developed by the applicable Decisional Participant, an individual may submit a petition to the ASO, ccNSO, GNSO or At-Large Community (as applicable, the "Applicable **Decisional Participant**") seeking to remove a Director who was nominated by that Supporting Organization or the At-Large Community in accordance with Section 7.2(a) of the Bylaws, and initiate the SO/AC Director Removal Process ("SO/AC Director Removal Petition"). The process set forth in this Section 3.2 of this Annex D is referred to herein as the "SO/AC Director Removal Process.""

to section 11.3.i of the ICANN Bylaws:

 Approval of a petition to remove a director holding seats 13 or 14 - three-quarters of the House that appointed that Director.

Note, the petition which is to be submitted by a member of the House that appointed the director, is expected to be in the form of a motion and to include the information as required per the ICANN Bylaws.

Addition to the GNSO Operating **Procedures** to clarify that in specific circumstances, the GNSO Council may waive the timeframes currently referenced in the GNSO Operating Procedures in relation to submission of motions as well as scheduling of meetings to meet its obligations under the timelines outlined in the ICANN Bylaws as a Decisional Participant and 2) add a provision to clarify that all petitions concerning a director removal process submitted by an individual must be submitted directly to the GNSO Council.

For this purpose, the GNSO Council will develop a clear process and rules for the submission of such petitions, including any requirements for the criteria to be included in a petition. These rules would be added to the GNSO Operating Procedures as an annex once available.

SECTION 3.3 BOARD RECALL PROCESS

"a) Subject to the procedures and requirements developed by the applicable Decisional Participant, an individual may submit a petition to a Decisional Participant Add new voting threshold for the following action by GNSO Council to section 11.3.i of the ICANN Bylaws: Approval of Board recall petition – GNSO Supermajority

Note, the petition which is to be submitted in the form of a motion

Staff (to work with DT, if applicable) to develop proposed guidance for the submission of such petitions, including any requirements for the criteria to be included in a petition.

Staff to develop template for motion.

Staff (to work with DT, if applicable) to develop proposed guidance for the submission of such petitions, including any requirements for the criteria to be included in a petition.

seeking to remove all Directors (other than the President) at the same time and initiate the Board Recall Process ("Board Recall Petition"), provided that a Board Recall Petition cannot be submitted solely on the basis of a matter decided by a Community IRP if (i) such Community IRP was initiated in connection with the Board's implementation of GAC Consensus Advice and (ii) the EC did not prevail in such Community IRP. Each Board Recall Petition shall include a rationale setting forth the reasons why such individual seeks to recall the Board. The process set forth in this Section 3.3 of this Annex D is referred to herein as the "Board Recall Process.""

is expected to include the information as required per the ICANN Bylaws.

Addition to the GNSO Operating **Procedures** to clarify that in specific circumstances, the GNSO Council may waive the timeframes currently referenced in the GNSO Operating Procedures in relation to submission of motions as well as scheduling of meetings to meet its obligations under the timelines outlined in the ICANN Bylaws as a Decisional Participant and 2) add a provision to clarify that all petitions concerning a director removal process submitted by an individual must be submitted directly to the GNSO Council.

For this purpose, the GNSO Council will develop a clear process and rules for the submission of such petitions, including any requirements for the criteria to be included in a petition. These rules would be added to the GNSO Operating Procedures as an annex once available.

SECTION 4.2 COMMUNITY IRP

"(a) After completion of a mediation under Section 4.7 of the Bylaws, the EC Administration representative of any Decisional Participant who supported the exercise by the EC of its rights in the applicable EC Decision during the applicable decision period may request that the EC initiate a Community IRP (a "Community IRP **Petitioning Decisional** Participant"), as contemplated by Section 4.3 of the Bylaws, by delivering a notice to the EC Administration and the Decisional Participants requesting the initiation of a Community IRP ("Community IRP Petition"). The

The steps as outlined in the Bylaws are to be followed, factoring in the customary GNSO practices and procedures.

The GNSO to consider how it will develop the advice to its representative on the EC Administration. How the GNSO wishes to join a petition raised by a different Decisional Participant could be part of the same process. (see also other related items).

Staff to develop template for motion.

Staff (to work with DT, if applicable) to develop proposed process for developing GNSO advice to its representative on the EC Administration. How the GNSO wishes to join a petition raised by a different Decisional Participant could be part of the same process. (see also other related items). It is expected that this process would fall under the GNSO's existing processes and practices.

Community IRP Petitioning	
Decisional Participant shall	
forward such notice to the	
Secretary for ICANN to promptly	
post on the Website. The process	
set forth in this <u>Section 4.2</u> of this	
Annex D as it relates to a	
particular Community IRP Petition	
is referred to herein as the	
"Community IRP Initiation	
Process.""	