

PDP 3.0 Implementation Update & Discussion



GNSO Council Meeting
22 August 2017

Agenda

1

Overview

2

Improvement #1

3

Improvement #2

4

Improvement #3

5

Improvement #6

6

Next Steps

Overview

- ⦿ Aim to complete all 14 PDP 3.0 Improvements by ICNN66 Montreal
- ⦿ Since April, held 14 meetings to support the implementation efforts
- ⦿ Designated Small Team working with staff to tackle each improvement
- ⦿ Deliver the final documents of completed improvements in an incremental manner
- ⦿ Completion Status
 - Completed 5 out of 14 PDP 3.0 Improvements
 - Sent the Council the final documents for #1, #2, #3 & #6 as the first deliverable
 - Improvement #14 also completed
 - Other improvements in the pipeline with various levels of progress

Improvement #1

Terms of Participation for Working Group Members

- ⦿ https://docs.google.com/document/d/1bs_bQwIT0JvDIWgaj0FV2A_bbX02EptU/edit
- ⦿ Require those joining a Working Group sign up to a **Statement of Participation** outlining the commitment expected from Working Group members, as well as expectation with regards to the multistakeholder, bottom up, consensus policy development
- ⦿ PDP Chairs provided input on whether such a Statement of Participation would be deemed helpful
- ⦿ Ombudsman provided feedback on mechanisms and measures to seek affirmative commitment from Working Group members

Improvement #2

Consider Alternatives to Open Working Group Model

- ⦿ https://drive.google.com/a/icann.org/file/d/1N-U9dvu_IBkW1FvpGY_aGr0uW6VZTW9Y/view?usp=sharing
- ⦿ A **comparison table** that provides an overview of the different aspects that need to be considered in the context of a PDP Working Group or Team formation
- ⦿ Three models have been identified to carry out policy development
- ⦿ Elements of the different models can be modified, mixed, matched as deemed appropriate; variations can also be considered
- ⦿ Additional criteria to be decided upon when a PDP Team Charter is being developed

Improvement #3

Criteria for Joining of New Members After a PDP Working Group is Formed or Re-chartered

- ⦿ Main Document:
<https://docs.google.com/document/d/1qp7rv4wB8TNzkPdi5yuKlpfljgt81CIOZsq4EcA5QEg/edit?usp=sharing>
- ⦿ PDP Working Group Member Skills Guide:
https://docs.google.com/document/d/14uAsBg0_BnhJ6nqjitsHutm1AcFKhRsa4VAsR-WtMKI/edit?usp=sharing
- ⦿ Provide additional clarifications for GNSO Working Group Guidelines; outline a number of factors that Working Groups may want to consider whether to accept new members after the start of the effort
- ⦿ Explain what can be done to ensure that new members are sufficiently prepared for full participation and are aware of the expectations

Improvement #6

Document Expectations for Working Group Leaders Outlines Roles & Responsibilities and Minimum Skills & Expertise Required

- ⦿ https://docs.google.com/document/d/1DxRS7jYHh1i5USyrr0wP6dpJhQjRqrMnnT_xWuzhSqU/edit?usp=sharing
- ⦿ Facilitate the Working Group review and selection of leadership positions
- ⦿ Provide a detailed description of Working Group leaders' role and responsibilities, including expected commitment, skills, and expertise
- ⦿ Working Group leaders would be expected to agree to the role and responsibilities, which serve as a means to hold the leaders accountable

Next Steps

- ⦿ GNSO Council to review Small Team's conclusions and proposed implementation of the completed improvements, provide input/feedback
- ⦿ Discuss the appropriate timing to start deploying each of the completed improvements
 - **Improvements #1 & #3:** GNSO Council to consider when to publicize and deploy these requirements
 - **Improvement #2:** GNSO Council to consider whether the structure of any existing PDP would need to be reconsidered
 - **Improvement #6:** GNSO Council to consider the following process: A call for volunteers with clear list of expectations and skills, followed by assessment of each candidate against the skills identified, which would result in a recommendation to the Council

Questions?