00:36:20 Miguel Ignacio Estrada: Is there any idea on the time zone to be used in the online meeting?

00:36:35 Jim Prendergast: That good to hear on the program David. To make the best of a difficult situation, we should not try and replicate what a typical ICANN meeting looks like in terms of total number of sessions. It needs to be pared down quite a bit and focus on the core of what needs to be accomplished so that we can get through this meeting on short notice in as best we can. That includes the toll this will take not only on the community members who participate remotely but also on the staff that support these efforts. That goes beyond the meetings/tech team to the policy support team and others.

00:37:14 Julf Helsingius: +1 Jim

00:37:21 Tobias Sattler: Is it out of question to cancel ICANN67 entirely instead of doing remote-only participation?

00:37:58 Alan Greenberg: How do you plan to address those in the community who do not have the communications capability to use Zoom, expecially if the meeting is held in non-business hours. Or those who have access to such communications but cannot afford it.

00:38:07 Caleb Ogundele: UTC seem to be adopted usually

00:38:29 Natalie Rose: As a fellow we need a letter to give to our employer so that we are not called into work.

00:38:33 hanan khatib: anybody can attend or only who were nominated to attend ICANN 67?

00:38:41 Miguel Ignacio Estrada: It would be a good thing to use Cancún time zone, so the region can have at least that benefit.

00:38:50 Jonathan Robinson: I have heard of some people planning to travel in any case because of committed plans to do so. Will ICANN / the official venues provide any support in Cancun for this?

00:39:12 Julf Helsingius: Natalie: wouldn't that have been case for being in person in Cancun too?

00:39:43 Natalie Rose: @Juff it would be different since I will not be leaving the country

00:39:56 Julf Helsingius: OK

00:39:57 Vittorio Bertola: Would it be possible to let the groups / people that still want to travel to Cancun (since people might have other commitments in the same trip, or just have already paid everything) actually meet in person using some of the space there? Why not do like the IETF, which will hold its meeting in person regularly and just make sure that those that do not feel like traveling can join remotely?

00:40:31 Annebeth Lange: For WG work the time zones are changing, so that all have good times and bad times for them, depending on where they live. Would this be a possibility, to have alternate time zones?

00:40:38 Caleb Ogundele: Will ICANN provide a travel to ICANN regional offices to provide for concentration of this meeting?

00:41:15 Vittorio Bertola: Also, how was the community’s opinion kept into account? In the leadership call, in online fora, etc, the community seemed to be broadly in favor of holding the meeting in Cancun as planned.

00:41:24 Susan Payne : QUESTION - do you have capacity to run the full meeting (as we'd previously envisaged it) or is there a reason to scale back for technical and/or staffing considerations, for example is there a limit on Zoom?

00:41:53 Susan Payne : To be clear, I'm not arguing for every single session necessarily, just trying to understand the considerations

00:42:01 Cheryl Langdon-Orr: Regarding Review Teams meeting before or after the planned ICANN67 schedule, We appreciated the appropriate alternate arrangement option, but with booked tickets now being cancelled and that will not be at no cost or effect on the RT Budget… what is the recognition of any effect on our available resources going to be

00:42:52 Caleb Ogundele: Will ICANN pay for the Internet specifically for folks from undeserved area with high cost of internet. E.g Africa

00:43:14 Ria Otanes: @Alan, we note your hand and will turn to you shortly

00:43:45 Vittorio Bertola: Could you disclose the cost of the cancellation to ICANN.org, in terms of penalties to be paid, non-refundable bookings being lost, etc?

00:43:46 Bruna Santos (NCUC): Timeline for organizing a virtual meeting that would be compreensible for all timezones makes it definitely harder. LA is not a really appropriate timezone if you consider this meeting was focusing on outreaching to the LAC community .

00:43:47 Cheryl Langdon-Orr: or of additional bandwidth costs foer say video now for those with limited or say satellite connections as only option?

00:43:57 Alfredo Calderon: spent all morning dealing with hotel pre-paid and flight arrangements from my pocket.

00:44:09 Susan Payne : QUESTION - Is there any arrangement with the ICANN hotels who would have expected to charge cancellation fees at this late stage?

00:44:10 Caleb Ogundele: Why didn't ICANN consider the same strong measure APRICOT /APNIC and Australia government had for the just concluded APNIC meeting?

00:44:26 Priyatosh Jana: As a first time fellow I am disappointed that there is no deferal to next meeting and it will be counted one.It is difficult to attend the whole meeting remotely due to limited access of internet as well as time zone.Please consider us for the next possible community forum meeting as because it is important to new fellows

00:44:37 Kurt Pritz: COMMENT: I believe that effective remote meetings are a great possibility for ICANN - a way to demonstrate leadership in effective use of the net and making the community work more effective.

Done well, such a meeting would take months to plan, with a markedly different scheduling methodology - and we only have days in this case.

We should not base our opinion on the potential for remote meetings on the success or failures of this upcoming one. But this will provide a number of lessons for future planning - that planning should continue.

00:45:07 Caleb Ogundele: We learnt folks from inland China were banned from attending the APRICOT meeting

00:46:26 Cheryl Langdon-Orr: <Comment> access to archive materials is NOT the same use as \*Meeting\* especially when trying to build consensus

00:46:32 Alfredo Calderon: <Question>So this Community Meeting will not involve any formal consensus or decision making?

00:47:02 Caleb Ogundele: Given the large cost of hosting, why was postponing to a future date say 1 /2 month not considered

00:47:04 Kurt Pritz: QUESTION: Can the Board, in the next two weeks or so, publish decision dates for the Malaysia meeting - the date by which a decision will be made? That will allow participants to plan their travel.

00:47:18 Becky Burr, ICANN Board: @Alan, can you say more - are these people who would be traveling to ICANN for work, but can’t participate if it’s remote?

00:47:58 Tobias Sattler: Btw. CloudFest is happening one week later, and obviously it is going to happen https://blog.worldhostingdays.com/cloudfest-coronavirus-statement/

00:48:33 Alan Greenberg: Our adjusting out meeting plans will not suffice. We will need more concrete support from ICANN if this meeting is to be anywhere near as effective as a real F2F.

00:48:56 Becky Burr, ICANN Board: @Kurt, makes sense to make a call as soon as possible, but from Washington Post this morning, Many international experts say the disease will continue to spread globally even as the Chinese government seeks to present the image that it is coming to grips with the epidemic. New cases inside China dropped again Wednesday, officials reported Thursday, after national authorities changed for the second time in a week the criteria for how cases are diagnosed and counted.

00:48:57 Cheryl Langdon-Orr: Becky the issue is at a meeting interaction is equitable, if you are remote then it is NOT for lots of reasons including access to resources and costs of that access

00:49:24 Joan Kerr: Will ICANN consider offsetting the connection costs for those in places who cannot afford it

00:49:28 Manal Ismail: <Question> On behalf of GAC members who self-booked at ICANN67 hotels, will ICANN help in arranging with hotels to waive cancelation penalties, considering this “Force Majeure”? <Question>

00:49:44 Chris Disspain: @CLO…So we are talking about the small group of people who WOULD be physically in Cancun but would not normally be able to participate remotely?

00:49:57 Becky Burr, ICANN Board: I understand those issues @Cheryl. Was just looking to better understand Alan’s input regarding people being expected to work when they are in. The office.

00:50:30 Benny / Nordreg AB : Money saved from travelling can be spend on internet access

00:51:08 Vittorio Bertola: Sorry, I hope you don’t mind if I have more questions, even for later :-) Was there a request by Mexican public authorities to cancel the meeting, or was it just ICANN’s own initiative?

00:51:35 Robin Gross: Question: Rather than having air tickets cancelled for supported travelers who still wish to travel, may those community members have the option to buy their existing air ticket from ICANN (instead of having it cancelled)?

00:51:48 Becky Burr, ICANN Board: Sorry @kurt, meant to quote the section that said next three weeks would be critical

00:52:11 Bruna Santos (NCUC): <Question> Is icann willing to adopt a different timeline for organizing the virtual meeting ? delay in 2/3 weeks or anything that would allow us a meeting that's not last minute organized ?

00:52:46 Cheryl Langdon-Orr: #Chris if you consider Councils and Advisory Committee and Community Leadership a "small Group" then YES

00:52:59 Charles Tiné - SafeBrands : are you negotiating with hotels which are partners so they waive cancelation fees to participants ?

00:53:47 Chris Disspain: but @CLO I’m confused how those folks would not have access to zoom normally…How do they participate outside of f2f meetings?

00:53:52 Alan Greenberg: From Becky Burr, ICANN Board to Everyone: 01:17 PM

@Alan, can you say more - are these people who would be traveling to ICANN for work, but can’t participate if it’s remote?

Becky, I would be glad to. This chat is probably not the optimal way to do that though.

00:54:14 Becky Burr, ICANN Board: Thanks Alan, would like to umderstand

00:54:33 David Olive: Nathalie Rose will be next

00:54:33 Kurt Pritz: @ Becky. I understand. Our fingers are poised on the purchase button of our favorite airlines. If we knew a date on which we were to receive \*some kind\* of communication from ICANN on Malaysia status, that would be helpful in planning Malaysia travel and the travel to other meetings on either side of the meeting.

00:54:43 Bruna Santos (NCUC): In sorry, but these were not individual decisions, leaders were required to conduct community consultations. Then the assumption is that it does not have much value...

00:54:47 Owen Smigelski (Namecheap): <QUESTION> Was the resolution to make ICANN67 remote passed unanimously by the board </QUESTION>

00:54:56 Cheryl Langdon-Orr: I have no issue with the Risk Management aspects of this decision to CANCEL the Face to Face meeting at this time, I have great concern on how we manage the Virtual Meeting EXPERIMENT

00:55:37 Cheryl Langdon-Orr: so not the decision but the planning at short notice issue

00:55:38 Becky Burr, ICANN Board: @Cheryl, well understood

00:55:50 Joan Kerr: We asked our community for their input and submitted as Chair on behalf of NPOC. It was not an individual response

00:56:01 Alan Greenberg: From Chris Disspain to Everyone: 01:23 PM

but @CLO I’m confused how those folks would not have access to zoom normally…How do they participate outside of f2f meetings?

The answer is often "Not very well."

00:56:38 Caleb Ogundele: +1 Joan

00:56:41 Julf Helsingius: And access for a couple of hours a couple of times a week is not the same as all through the night for almost a week

00:57:14 Vittorio Bertola: Personally I disagree with the risk assessment, because such risk assessment is better done by public authorities and neither Mexico nor the World Healdh Organization consider the risk big enough to advice cancelling international meetings. This, by the way, is the same assessment made by the IETF, by APNIC and by several other organizations in the community. Just as a note :-)

00:57:17 Cheryl Langdon-Orr: As ALAN said they often don't and it is a PAIN to manage larger nimbers of audio only people in Zoom meetongs especially interactive ones where we are talking NOT having the information from on high Webinar approach

00:57:22 Olivier MJ Crepin-Leblond: Isn't this Covid-19 hyped? Flu season is hitting its stride right now in the US. So far, the CDC has estimated (based on weekly influenza surveillance data) that at least 12,000 people have died from influenza between Oct. 1, 2019 through Feb. 1, 2020, and the number of deaths may be as high as 30,000. Did ICANN get professional help regarding the actual risk of Covid-19?

00:57:24 Charles Tiné - SafeBrands : suggestion : in order to be able to organize the virtual meeting on a timely manner to accommodate most participants over the world we could imagine having a 2 weeks event instead of one week

00:57:26 Becky Burr, ICANN Board: @Joan and Caleb - we understood the input of SO/AC leaders to be broader than their personal view or position

00:57:32 Cheryl Langdon-Orr: sorry that was to help @Chris's confusion

00:57:35 Xavier Calvez: @kurt: fully agree.

00:57:40 Donna Austin, Neustar: I think we all understand the challenges and are all committed to making this work as best we can.

00:57:40 Vittorio Bertola: @Olivier agree.

00:57:43 Fernando: Thanks for the information, the decision should not have been easy, but there is a concern for the health of the attendees and possible involuntary spread of the virus.

It is important to manage as many webinars and online meetings as necessary to cover all the topics that were scheduled.

00:57:50 Owen Smigelski (Namecheap): I could not agree more with Olivier re: hysteria

00:58:11 Bruna Santos (NCUC): +1

00:58:13 Vittorio Bertola: Also, what pisses me off is that this hysteria is spreading FUD and distrust against Asians everywhere on the planet.

00:58:23 Vittorio Bertola: And ICANN just joined the bandwagon, sorry.

00:58:34 Kurt Pritz: @Cheryl - being days out from the meeting, I don’t think there is time to adequately plan. We should avoid being critical of remote meetings as a possibility based on this rapidly approaching opportunity.

00:58:40 Filina Natalia: +1 to Olivier

00:59:00 herb.waye: Hello all, sorry for dropping in late

00:59:22 Becky Burr, ICANN Board: @Olivier - yes. Still hard to tell what the death rate of Covid-19 is, but it appears to be significantly higher than the flu.

00:59:37 Bruna Santos (NCUC): <Question> Is icann willing to adopt a different timeline for organizing the virtual meeting ? delay in 2/3 weeks or anything that would allow us a meeting that's not last minute organized ?

00:59:40 Katrina Sataki (ccNSO): Agree with Vittorio and Olivier

01:00:15 Priyatosh Jana: no audio

01:00:30 Cheryl Langdon-Orr: Rather my point as well @Kurt -> From Kurt Pritz to Everyone: 05:28 AM

@Cheryl - being days out from the meeting, I don’t think there is time to adequately plan. We should avoid being critical of remote meetings as a possibility based on this rapidly approaching opportunity.

01:00:41 Annebeth Lange: I agree that it is a wise decision to cancel the meeting, especially in case someone get sick and the rest of us will be in quarantine. But as Cheryl, I really am sceptic about how a virtual meeting will be done. The time difference is one thing for a WG meeting an hour or two several times a week, but working remote the whole night, which it will be for many of us, that will be very difficult.

01:00:44 Maureen Hilyard (ALAC): At-Large meetings were organised to take full advantage of the f2f situation by holding more interactive workshop sessions and to utilise the convenience of people being on site and available for participation in panel discussions. This will require a whole new set of coordination activities that may mean that the timeframe for a new session my become greatly extended due to everyone's other commitments outside of the conference..

01:01:18 Becky Burr, ICANN Board: I particularly appreciate the suggestions regarding how to make the virtual meeting a success.

01:01:29 Humberto Carrasco: I agree @maureen

01:01:33 Dave Kissoondoyal: I am not comfortable with the statement that community opinion is different from individuals opinions.

01:01:56 Fernando: It is important to understand that with all the security measures that can be taken, even so the constant mutation of the virus makes any measure 100% reliable, although the mortality rate is not clear nor the percentage of infection is clear due to lack of information on the Chinese gonierno; Those of us who work in security are monitoring the situation and public statistics daily. Fernando Gonz+alez desde México...

01:02:08 Joan Kerr: @Maureen: I was in the same situation.

01:02:32 Becky Burr, ICANN Board: @Dave. - apologies. I just meant they were speaking. On behalf of. Their groups.

01:02:38 Becky Burr, ICANN Board: Nothing else

01:02:39 Kurt Pritz: Before we break up, can you outline next steps - when will preliminary schedules be published?

01:02:40 Sam Lanfranco: Any way one looks at it, a virtual conference that covers a substantial amount of the agenda. Would it make more sense to divide up ICANN67 into a set of multiple sessions, with breathing/sleeping space in-between?

01:02:41 Donna Austin, Neustar: This will be a good opportunity in testing our collective abilities to prioritise.

01:02:46 Betty Fausta: if I can have past in MP what @Joan and @Caleb said

01:03:16 Martin Silva Valent: facilitate

01:03:46 tatianatropina: I don’t know how much time people have in their hands to extent virtual ICANN or postpone it. I have a week free on my schedule because I planned ICANN in Mexico, but otherwise I barely have time to attend anything that is not planned well in advance.

01:03:53 Tracy F. Hackshaw: one issue with the virtual meeting is that it severely "penalizes" certain timezones which is a form of unfortunate yet inadvertent discrimination.

01:04:08 Vittorio Bertola: Could ICANN please set and communicate a clear, early date for a final decision on holding the meetings in Paris and Kuala Lumpur? It will otherwise be hard to convince people / employers to spend the money for expensive international flights with the doubt that ICANN will again cancel the meeting at the last minute.

01:04:23 Becky Burr, ICANN Board: @Tracy - the timezone consideration is important and understood. Working to optimize

01:04:28 Tobias Sattler: Question: The ICANN GDD Summit will take place in May. Will there be a timely decision, or will it be decided similar to ICANN67 at short notice?

01:04:42 Fernando: Of course @Donna Austin

01:04:48 Olivier MJ Crepin-Leblond: @Becky - please state your source for allegation that the death rate for Covid-19 is higher than the flu. I have read exactly the opposite and the majority of people that have caught the virus appeared to have been cured in 5 days. I guess we all have different sources. Has CDC been consulted?

01:05:00 Cheryl Langdon-Orr: pivotal work for SubPro (specifically with GAC) was also planned in F2F made and also concerned as we try to finish our work load effectivly

01:05:03 Tracy F. Hackshaw: +1 @Maureen's comments as well

01:05:08 León Sánchez: just to be clear on how the resolutions were passed

01:06:08 Chris Lewis: +1 @Vittorio <Question> Will we have more notice and if so when will decisions be made, for the meetings in Paris and KL </>

01:06:45 León Sánchez: We first voted on whether to continue in Cancun... 5 board members voted to do so. The majority having voted not to continue we then voted to have the meeting virtually and on that vote all but 1 meber voted in favour

01:07:02 avri doria: and the final two .03 & .04 were unanimous

01:07:16 Joan Kerr: Much of our work in between meetings is to prepare for the f2f to solidify actions, debate, build consensus and collaborate.

01:07:19 León Sánchez: yes Avri. thanks

01:07:40 Maureen Hilyard (ALAC): @Joan.. totally agree.

01:07:41 Tracy F. Hackshaw: thanks for that transparency/clarity @Leon @Avri. Very much appreciated.

01:08:17 Xavier Calvez: Agree with David. No offense was meant. I was also responding to a broader concern than the specific one that you asked Alan.

01:08:21 Filina Natalia: Thanks @Leon. +1 to Joan Kerr

01:08:29 Vittorio Bertola: @Becky - I wish ICANN success, sincerely, but I doubt that anyone can organize a fully remote ICANN meeting in two weeks that can work for anyone but a small set of privileged people in the right timezone and with high end computers and connectivity.

01:08:31 Alan Greenberg: The people on this call do not need to be told that we will not spend money just because it is available. We are all responsible professionals.

01:09:08 Tracy F. Hackshaw: thanks @Becky re: understanding and optimizing re: potential "timezone discrimination"

01:09:15 Tobias Sattler: @Leon/Becky: Was it considered to cancel it entirely? Or was it just f2f vs. remote?

01:10:14 Joan Kerr: In terms of participation: will regional hubs be considered

01:10:35 Vittorio Bertola: Let’s be clear: if ICANN has even a vague inclination towards cancelling Paris and/or KL, please do it \*now\*.

01:11:03 Chris Disspain: Caleb, those were government restrictions not APRICO or apTLD restrictions

01:11:04 Tobias Sattler: +1 Vittorio

01:11:31 Vittorio Bertola: Also Paris is back to back with OARC, please make sure they are aligned.

01:11:32 Tracy F. Hackshaw: Great question/suggestion @Joan re: Regional Hubs. That seemed to have disappeared following the post NetMundial experiments.

01:12:05 Chris Disspain: Tobias, yes, in agreeing to go virtual’ we discussed not doing so

01:12:33 Alisha: why was the fellowship not deferred?for many it was their first time experience and face to face meeting would always be a better experience I suppose. Moreover this would be taken as one of the fellowship opportunities. and the timing difference would make things difficult. so was wondering why was deferring not considered..

01:12:39 León Sánchez: @tobias all options were assessed and considered. i.e. F2F vs virtual vs not at all

01:12:45 Katrina Sataki (ccNSO): Question: As the Board (all but 1) supported the idea of holding a virtual meeting, maybe they could share what exactly did they have in mind?

01:12:58 Vittorio Bertola: Re: regional hubs, fine if some people want to do it, but after having bought and wasted flights to Mexico, I doubt many will want to spend more money to fly to Brussels or wherever.

01:13:09 KEOLEBOGILE Rantsetse: It appears there will be no deferral for icann67 fellows to other future meetings

01:13:21 siranush.vardanyan: @Alisha, your question is noted and will be discussed for further consideration

01:13:28 Becky Burr, ICANN Board: @Olivier, CDC says mortality rate has been steadily at 2% plus, while ordinary flu is .1%. Will send you the links. But obviously, there is a lot that is unknown.

01:13:46 León Sánchez: can you and léase clarify your question Katrina? I’m not understanding it fully

01:14:27 Joan Kerr: I think this was the best decision overall, and must have been a hard one for the board

01:14:38 Charles Tiné - SafeBrands : to make it clear : has the paris meeting been discussed and have you a date for a decision ?

01:14:42 siranush.vardanyan: thanks, well noted

01:14:43 Mason Cole: <question> What provision is being made, if any, for penalties for hotel cancellations? <question>

01:14:56 Chris Disspain: @ Joan - after 8 years on the bklbard I can say it was one of the hardest I’ve ever had to make

01:15:10 Dave Kissoondoyal: 238 participants on Zoom

01:15:22 Rodrigo Alexandre: Thank you for taking in consideration the Nexgen and icann fellowship programs

01:15:26 Fernando: Thanks...

01:15:26 Vittorio Bertola: @Chris Of course it was a hard decision, no one thinks it was easy. Don’t take our disappointment personally, please :-)

01:15:29 Dave Kissoondoyal: how many on phone audio please?

01:15:30 Maureen Hilyard (ALAC): Comment: One of the most important purposes of the F2F meeting is the opportunity for networking with other sections of the ICANN community that we would not normally engage in as part of normal meeting activities. These contacts often add value to the work we do collectively within ICANN. We will miss those opportunities especially as the first meeting of the year when we are coordinating our group activities.

01:15:33 Katrina Sataki (ccNSO): @Leon: you voted on having a virtual meeting. Was it just an idea or you had a clear vision of how it might look like?

01:15:48 Filina Natalia: Thank ICANN for taking care of our health and protection. But in general: does the decision to travel each participant does not mean their own responsibility to agree to a certain risk?

01:16:20 Priyatosh Jana: +1 Alisha

01:16:43 Chris Disspain: we had an excellent outline plan presented by Ash and his colleagues

01:16:56 Chris Disspain: But there is much work to do to flush the plan out

01:17:17 Chris Disspain: But, as León says, it is an experiment

01:17:36 Lito Ibarra: Filina: We also took into consideration the possibility of someone could bring the virus into Mexico

01:17:37 Viviane Vinagre: +1 Maureen

01:17:42 ICANN RP: @Dave Kissoondoyal - everyone on the bridge is included in the overall count (currently 237). We have had a total of 311 join at some point during this session.

01:17:53 Mason Cole: <question> What provision is being made, if any, for penalties for hotel cancellations? <question>

01:18:00 Charles Tiné - SafeBrands : FYI just cancelled my booking at the grand fiesta hotel which was one of the partners of the event and they applied no cancelation penalty

01:18:04 Martin Silva Valent: +100 Leon

01:18:07 Tracy F. Hackshaw: the silence is deafening re: how the meeting will look.

01:18:26 Katrina Sataki (ccNSO): @Chris: is the outline plan public?

01:18:28 zzz - arnaud wittersheim (rySG alt): What will be the time zone of the live meetings? Are the meetings going to be set in the Mexican time zone as Mexico was planned for icann67?

01:18:29 Filina Natalia: Understand @Lito, thanks

01:18:32 Priyatosh Jana: question - No Deferal really disappointing for new fellows

01:18:38 Mary Wong: @Mason, we noted similar questions being asked previously, and will try to answer the question of costs, penalties and alternative arrangements in the FAQ.

01:18:39 Maureen Hilyard (ALAC): As an experiment, and At-Large has been asked to be innovative in their attempts to create an interesting virtual activity - if there are any costs required to implement this, can they be made available?

01:18:48 Keith Drazek (Verisign): The SO/AC/CG/C leaders have a call scheduled for Friday with ICANN leadership to discuss next steps and logistics.

01:18:56 Chris Disspain: @Katrina - no, still a work in prgress

01:19:20 Katrina Sataki (ccNSO): @Chris: thanks

01:19:28 Tobias Sattler: @Chris: Was the plan an overall plan or just a technical feas

01:19:41 Cheryl Langdon-Orr: There are questions un-responded to in the chat but I assume they will be reviewed later in the FAQ or directly (like mine re RT budgets

01:19:44 Becky Burr, ICANN Board: @maureen - available meaning covered by ICANN? Just want to note your question clearly

01:20:07 León Sánchez: i have a question too David

01:20:24 Tobias Sattler: @Chris: Was the plan an overall plan or just a technical feasibility to hold it remotely?

01:20:24 Mary Wong: @Cheryl - yes. We have noted all the questions, particularly those involving costs.

01:20:37 Eduardo Diaz - NARALO: <Q> When does the FAQ list be available <Q>

01:20:46 Olivier MJ Crepin-Leblond: My opinion is that ICANN's business insurance should be able to cater for cancellation of meetings and participants that are out of pocket should be able to claim.

01:20:54 tolgakaprol: I have the same concerns as Alisha

01:21:16 Xavier Calvez: @Mason Cole: I think this question was addressed earlier and it will be included in the FAQ that we will publish. As I mentioned earlier in the session, in answering this question, ICANN org will base its response on its commitments, contractual or otherwise, to determine what disbursements are made.

01:21:24 Firuz Azimov: please consider transferring the fellowship program to the next meeting, all of us made long way to attend face-to-face meeting

01:21:30 Cheryl Langdon-Orr: Thanks @Mary just double checking ;-)

01:21:33 Raoul Plommer: Are all ICANN funded travel going to be cancelled, because if the ticket was non-refundable, I'd still like to use the tickets and go to Cancun. I actual,ly now NEED to go to Mexico and I can imagine that there are many others.

01:21:36 Chris Disspain: @ Tobias - technical feasibility

01:21:43 siranush.vardanyan: @fellows: we understand your concern related to deferral, our team is already discussing this and we will be back to all fellows shortly

01:22:02 Mason Cole: Thanks @Xavier. I'm interested in whether or not the hotels will waive penalties. Not that ICANN will pay for the penalties.

01:22:05 Vittorio Bertola: @Raoul I am also in need of going to Cancun anyway, as I had planned other things in the same intercontinental trip, including the IETF in Vancouver.

01:22:09 Firuz Azimov: Thank you @siranush

01:22:46 Becky Burr, ICANN Board: @mason, someone above reported a no cancellation fee experience

01:22:49 Martin Silva Valent: I understand and share the reasoning of the Board and ICANN Staff. This challenge should put the community on their feet to creatively adapt.

01:22:50 ISOC Islamabad: Thank you very much for taking into consideration the deferral of ICANN Fellows to next ICANN meeting.

01:22:50 Barbara Wanner: Good suggestion, Leon. Thank you.

01:23:11 Alisha: agreed leon

01:23:21 Kristian Ørmen: I don’t think my wife and kids would accept a letter from ICANN :)

01:23:24 León Sánchez: thanks David

01:24:00 Xavier Calvez: @Kirstian: my wife will not accept it either…!

01:24:16 Raoul Plommer: Thanks for noticing @Becky and Vittorio

01:24:30 Alisha: and thank you @siranush. hope fellowships deferral is further looked into.

01:26:14 Keolebogile: I also wish fellowship deferral is taken into consideration. Thanks @Siranush

01:26:15 Raoul Plommer: Some people have organised their life to go to Cancun physically and have non-refundable flights. I hope these aren't cancelled all at once.

01:26:27 Donna Austin, Neustar: Well said Ejikeme

01:26:32 Xavier Calvez: Well said

01:26:37 Martin Silva Valent: +100 Ejikeme, we should be able to overcome working virtually if a world health issue is relevant enough

01:26:37 Alisha: well said @ejikeme

01:26:41 Vittorio Bertola: Just another comment on the timezone: I think that it would be fair to keep the Mexican timezone as reference, both to facilitate the LAC region in what was meant to be “their” easy meeting, and to facilitate those who will bravely defy the virus and travel anyway.

01:26:47 Rodrigo Alexandre: reallly well said

01:26:57 Chris Disspain: I need to leave the call now. Thank you to all for attending, to the extraordinary Org team for getting this all together and for being here to support us all through this experiment…Cheers to all

01:26:57 Dave Kissoondoyal: Thanks and sincere hope that ICANN67 be a successful one same as previous meetings

01:26:59 Miguel Ignacio Estrada: +1 Vittorio

01:27:02 Manal Ismail: Many thanks David and all 230+ participants ..

01:27:06 Sarah Kiden: Thank you!

01:27:13 Joan Kerr: Let's try and make the remote participation a success

01:27:15 Mary Wong: Thanks to everyone for attending and for your questions and constructive suggestions!

01:27:16 Katarina: thanks

01:27:30 Joan Kerr: Thanks everyone

01:27:34 Filina Natalia: thanks all

01:27:37 Herb Waye Ombudsman: All the best everyone, thanks ICANN & Board for the info

01:27:41 Cheryl Langdon-Orr: Bye, looking forward to the next steps in all this...

01:27:41 Danko Jevtović iPad: Thanks David, good call

01:27:42 Kristina Hakobyan: thanks all, bye

01:27:43 Raymond Mamattah: Thanks for this webinar.

01:27:45 arnaud wittersheim: thanks all

01:27:46 Lito Ibarra: Thanks everyone

01:27:47 Becky Burr, ICANN Board: Thanks everyone

01:27:47 Vittorio Bertola: Thanks for the responses.

01:27:49 Abdeldjalil Bachar Bong (IGF CHAD): thanks ;merci beaucoup

01:27:49 Laura Margolis: thank you!

01:27:50 Michelle DeSmyter: Thank you!

01:27:51 hanan khatib: tank you all

01:27:51 Imran Hossen ( EyHost ): thanks

01:27:52 León Sánchez: thanks everyone

01:27:52 Rodrigo Alexandre: Thanks for the webinar

01:27:55 silvia.vivanco: Thank you all

01:27:55 Tracy F. Hackshaw: thanks everyone

01:27:55 Natalie Rose: Good meeting

01:27:55 Firuz Azimov: thanks

01:27:59 Harold Arcos: thanks

01:27:59 Tripti Sinha: Thank you.

01:28:02 Alisha: thank you

01:28:05 Abdeldjalil Bachar Bong (IGF CHAD): Webinar informatif

01:28:10 Afia Faith: thank you all

01:28:12 Omir Kerr (ISOC - SVG): 👍🏾