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06:36:31 From Kimberly Carlson: HI Peggy, we’ll be right with you

06:53:41 From David Olive: Welcome Joanna

06:53:48 From David Olive: and Christina

06:56:23 From David Olive: Welcome Maureen

06:56:40 From Maureen Hilyard (ALAC): Hi David and all

07:02:28 From Christina Rodriguez: Hello David and all

07:07:42 From David Olive: Welcome Keith and Manal; Jonathan and Julie H And Zoe and Alejandra and Sebastien

07:07:50 From Jonathan Zuck(ALAC): Will English speakers need that setup to hear translations of questions/comments in non-English?

07:09:55 From Christina Rodriguez: @Jonathan Zuck - It works as if you are in a regular meeting, you will need to pick up/use a headset if you want to hear the interpretation feed.

07:10:26 From Jonathan Zuck(ALAC): That’s what I figured. Thanks @Christina.

07:10:50 From Christina Rodriguez: My pleasure Jonathan

07:12:03 From Jonathan Zuck(ALAC): and those which are hearing impaired as well

07:12:37 From Christina Rodriguez: @David - Great point on the draft transcription feed from Zoom

07:13:56 From Jorge Cancio: average per SO/AC seems closer to 2...

07:17:15 From David Olive: Manal is next

07:20:21 From Jonathan Robinson: @Manal. Appreciate if we are aligned in thinking but much better to hear it in your words and from your / GAC perspective.

07:21:33 From Jonathan Robinson: @Manal. Agree. The questions were (perhaps necessarily) binary when the logical answers are not necessarily binary or Yes / No

07:23:00 From Mary Wong: For easier scheduling, it will be helpful to get your thoughts on a Board session (e.g. Public Forum or other format).

07:23:19 From Manal Ismail: @Jonathan thanks I did leave my hand up after all:) .. and yes to me discussing is much better than being forced into binary answers .. In fact sometimes one changes their mind after listening to views of others ..

07:23:56 From Jonathan Zuck(ALAC): +1 Rod

07:24:08 From Jonathan Zuck(ALAC): They’re not really THAT related

07:24:32 From Jonathan Robinson: @Rod. Agree. Combine and lengthen.

07:27:08 From Mary Wong: @Rod, @Jonathan - one 90 minute session?

07:27:17 From Jonathan Robinson: @Maureen. That's a good point; plenary (cross-community) sessions are distinct from update sessions of broad community interest

07:27:30 From Manal Ismail: Don't we want to have the briefings as webinars outside the meeting week ?

07:27:48 From Rod Rasmussen: @Alejandra The IoT panel was planning on doing their session at ICANN68 if chosen. At least that’s what my last conversation with Cristian was on that. I think they should be good to go. Still doesn’t hurt to confirm of course!

07:28:36 From Mary Wong: @Manal, all - “update” sessions are one reason we now have Prep Week, saving meeting sessions for more interactive discussions.

07:29:13 From Manal Ismail: Agree Mary

07:29:55 From Alejandra Reynoso .gt - ccNSO Councilor: @Rod. Thank you! I haven't heard from them yet, so I thought best to confirm ;)

07:35:07 From Jonathan Zuck(ALAC): +1 Jonathan

07:36:05 From Rod Rasmussen: @Alejandra - I haven’t pinged Cristian lately, but that’s where we left it as an expectation after cancelling it for Cancun. Would be good to re-confirm if we end up planning for it (which seems to be likely!)

07:38:09 From Manal Ismail: Thanks @Jonathan R. .. I asked because at one time we agreed to keep topics to a minimum (urgent and important), now I feel we're getting into "good to have" .. Appreciate your highlighting the transitional phase ..

07:39:02 From Jorge Cancio: I still think we also have to take very much into account that we are not at full-speed... as Göran said, we are at 70% of our capacity at the most

07:39:12 From Manal Ismail: Thanks Sebastien

07:39:31 From Jonathan Robinson: @Manal. Thank-you. I appreciate your question / concern. My thought was simply a response to that.

07:39:46 From Manal Ismail: Good point @Jorge ..

07:40:11 From Manal Ismail: @Jonathan R. sure I understand and it was very helpful ..

07:43:17 From Sébastien Bachollet: I do prefer 90’ sessions for those HIT

07:43:42 From Olga Cavalli: Hello, apologies for the delay in entering the session

07:44:02 From Sébastien Bachollet: Public session with Board vs with SO/AC leaders

07:44:16 From Maureen Hilyard (ALAC): As Rod mentioned a HIT would require an extended time for coverage of 90 minutes

07:44:21 From Graeme Bunton (Tucows): I need to drop, thanks all.

07:44:54 From Keith Drazek (Verisign): I agree the plenary sessions should be 90 minutes vs. 60 minutes.

07:45:33 From Jonathan Robinson: Agree that the combined DNS Abuse / Misuse session will need 90 mins. Can see the rationale for this being required for HIT / Plenary session

07:46:08 From Maureen Hilyard (ALAC): Thanks Tanzanica

07:46:22 From Keith Drazek (Verisign): I'm also ok with Sebastien's suggestion that a plenary session of Board and SO/AC leaders could be interesting as one of the 2-3 plenaries. While not a typical "Public Forum" it would be an opportunity for the community to come together and discuss the current situation.

07:49:34 From Jonathan Robinson: Apologies. Trailing open mic at the end of my comment.

07:50:09 From Keith Drazek (Verisign): #s 3 and 4 could be combined in 90 minutes

07:50:37 From Maureen Hilyard (ALAC): Perhaps trying to fit the f2f model of policy forum for the mid-year meeting vs a full-on virtual meeting needs to be revisited - we need to design a completely different model into the meeting format mix.

07:51:54 From Jonathan Robinson: @Maureen. @Sebastien. Agree re: Innovation. We really need some considered thinking about revised / new ways of working.

07:53:02 From Bruna Santos: NCSG/NCUC has been also discussing this topic. In the financial plan call there has been considerations about what I would see as a “cross-community” sort of group for us to discuss this adaptation of the meetings strategy

07:57:10 From Manal Ismail: I need to drop off for another call .. GAC vice chairs are already on the call .. Thanks everyone ..

07:58:22 From Sally Costerton: Apologies I have to join another call

07:58:41 From Sally Costerton: Thanks everyone very much for a good discussion

07:59:10 From Ashwin Rangan: Ditto. Good discussion, thanks all...

08:00:24 From Jia Rong Low: thank you

08:01:17 From Maureen Hilyard (ALAC): Having some input from the local community makes sense otherwise why do we bother sticking to a particular time zone.

08:01:31 From Jorge Cancio: +1 Maureen...

08:02:06 From Bruna Santos: Yes.

08:03:31 From Keith Drazek (Verisign): Thanks all!

08:03:36 From Jonathan Robinson: Thank-you

08:03:37 From Jorge Cancio: thanks all and stay safe!

08:03:40 From Katrina Sataki (ccNSO Council): Thank you! Bye!

08:03:42 From Bruna Santos: thank you all

08:03:43 From Tanzanica King: Thanks Everyone!

08:03:47 From Alejandra Reynoso .gt - ccNSO Councilor: Thank you bye:)

08:03:59 From Joanna Kulesza (ALAC): thanks all