

Options/Scenarios for the Names Community

Scenario	Non-subsidiary Variations	Subsidiary Variations
Existing		
Option 1	<p>1) New Legal Entity 2) Non-Legal Entity 3) Existing Legal Entity (I*)</p>	
Option 2	<p>1) Cross-comm Committee 2) Operational Performance Committee</p>	
Option 5		
Option 3		

Important Variables that emerge:

B. Composition of Oversight Body
<ol style="list-style-type: none"> 1. Only representatives of Registry Operators, as direct customers of the IANA naming functions 2. Representatives of Registry Operators and other stakeholders (GNSO, ccNSO, RSSAC, SSAC, GAC, ALAC) 3. Independent Third Parties

A. Status of Oversight Body
<ol style="list-style-type: none"> 1. Operational Performance Review Committee (not a legal entity) 2. Separate Legal Entity 3. ICANN Crosscommunity Advisory Committee

C. Documentation of Oversight Relationship
<ol style="list-style-type: none"> 1. Contract between Oversight Body and IANA Functions Operator 2. Service Level Agreement between Oversight Body 3. Statement of Principles

Other variables

- G) Funding - 1. Funded by ICANN, 2. Funded directly by Registries
- F) Failure to perform - 1. New IANA Functions Operator, 2. Move IANA Functions Operator outside of ICANN
- X) Jurisdiction - 1. California, 2. Switzerland