**IANA Stewardship CWG Process Steps Proposal**

For the CWG, I think a process something like the following would be a reasonable and effective way to go:

1.       Describe all the steps in the current IANA processes for names.

2.       Identify the places in those steps where the US government (through NTIA and/or any other government agency) participates.

3.       Identify elements of the U.S. Government’s role that need to be replaced.

4.       Brainstorm ideas about possible multi-stakeholder (not multi-lateral) process replacements for the U.S. Government’s role.

5.       Develop consensus positions on a revised process.

6.       Identify process points where accountability checks are needed.

7.       Brainstorm accountability check ideas.

8.       Develop consensus positions on accountability checks.

9.       Brainstorm ideas on how to connect the assurance of general ICANN accountability before the IANA transition happens.

10.   Develop consensus positions regarding 9.

11.   Write final report and deliver it to the ICG.

Of course there should be lots of interaction between the CWG members and participants and the groups they represent as well as the general Internet population throughout the process.  That should be continuous using the representative structure of the CWG but there can also be formal opportunities for input such as public comment periods.

Also, it may not be necessary for all of the steps to be performed serially; some could be done in parallel.