## Characteristics of Entities IANA Customer Committee Standing Small STANDING Committee consisting of IANA Customers Responsible for periodic operational review, transactional performance review and developing / defining SLAs IANA Periodic Review Team Periodically or as necessarily formed Multistakeholder Team, Convenes periodically (e.g. every 5 years) or as necessary for Periodic Performance Reviews Path of escalation for IANA Customer Committee (reference ATRT) Binding policy implementation appeals mechanism, based on arbitration rules a lready existing in international law (e.g. ICC) Appeals Panel for Implementation Not a standing committee, but with predefined structure in order for it to act quickly Independent See straw man matrix – arbitration Assesses whether IANA's action was consistent with agreed policy relating to IANA, for example string delegated that is not conform the policy or policy that would allow it has not been executed/approved, refusal to delegate that is in according with policy (see also existing IANA contract and RFP1 and 2) Expertise / background in the area Ensure independence, impartiality and avoid conflict of interest (Link to CWG on accountability) Who has standing to appeal? [Binding appeals process available to parties that are affected/impacted] Contracting entity with effective relationship with IANA Review Team (the latter would define contents of the contract) Contracting As minimal as possible – solely as counterpart in the contract Contract defines/delegates roles of other entities to ensure standing (IANA Periodic Review Team, IANA Customer Review Committee, Independent Appeals Panel) Needs to also take input from other IANA communities (numbers, protocols) Issuance of RFP / Review of bidders (Content of RFP and decision on who is counterpart to contract could be delegated for example to IANA Periodic Review Team) Adequately financed









