**CWG IANA Stewardship Transition  
Post ICANN 52 Work Methods**

*Objective*

Make immediate and effective progress on the Transition Proposal (while waiting for legal advice)  
Therefore, modify work methods and replace current RFP structures and work along the following lines:

**Developing the next version of the CWG Transition Proposal**

The next version of the CWG Transition Proposal will be developed on a continuous basis. The CWG will not wait to have all answers before putting pen to paper. The proposal will be an evolving document that will be filled out in sections. To start, the proposal document will provide an outline including all the section headings that are required. A Requirements Document will provide details of what is required for each of the sections.

*Drafting missing sections*

To fill in the missing sections of the proposal, the CWG will break up work into manageable pieces so that a small group of participants, a design team (described further below), can take on a specific piece to deliver proposed text for the transition proposal. Design teams will:

* Focus on elements for which we have agreement or that should be common to all models (Internal or External), such as SLA’s.
* Be reviewed weekly by the CWG through a continuous process to assess progress, issues and deliverables.

*Editing complete sections*

In addition to continuous development of the missing sections of the CWG Transition Proposal, the group will need to review its completed sections. Sections 1 and 2 of the CWG December draft are complete but contain significantly more information when compared to the Protocol Parameters and Numbers proposals submitted to the ICG. Therefore, an editorial objective will be to streamline our proposal so that it is:

* Similar to the other two proposals
* More accessible to the average reader, and
* Simpler to compare for the ICG.

Staff (Bernard) will continue to hold the pen for the proposal and will distribute a current version of the document for our weekly calls.

**Structuring Design Teams**

A Design Team is a small group of qualified[[1]](#footnote-1) people formed around a highly specific issue, with a clear deliverable and with a time limited schedule that is part of the critical path.

A Design Team should deliver work in a short period [2 weeks or less] according to the set mandate and will be dissolved after delivery of work product. Failure to deliver will affect the overall project schedule.

Design Teams that complete their work will be disbanded and new ones will be created to address new issues and points of convergence as we continue to develop the transition proposal.

**Role of the CWG**

Through twice weekly calls (and through its mailing list), the CWG will:

* Review the current state of the CWG Transition Proposal
* Identify the need for any new Design Teams
* Review progress of ongoing Design Teams
* Review and need to agree on the output of any Design Teams that have completed their work.

1. “Qualified” was defined on the [CWG 19 February call](https://community.icann.org/pages/viewpage.action?pageId=52889142) as the combination of relevant expertise and commitment to time required. [↑](#footnote-ref-1)