Success criteria of the GAC/GNSO CG recommendations for the GNSO issue scoping phase pilot

- GNSO criteria
  - GNSO successfully identifies standing GAC Advice on new PDPs within pilot period. (PR#1)
    - Has this been incorporated in the preliminary issues report by day 45?
    - Has this been communicated to the GAC?
  - GNSO Council liaison to the GAC and/or GNSO secretariat communicates the existance of a request for an issues report to the GAC. (PR#3)
    - Has this been done within the day 0-5 period?
    - Has the topic information been communicated thoroughly to the GAC?
    - Has the publication of a preliminary issues report been communicated to the GAC by day 45?
  - GNSO Council liaison communicates GAC response to ICANN staff/GNSO Council/updates public comment forum. (PR#6)
    - Has this been done by day 85?
  - Final issues report published on day 100. (PR#7)
    - In the event that GAC input was that the issues report involves public policy implications, was this included?
- GAC criteria
  - GAC QLMC created consisting of the GAC Chair and Vice Chairs? (PR#2)
    - Will the membership of the QLMC be expanded or a new QLMC be created?
    - Have GAC members indicated willingness and availability to participate in a QLMC?
    - Will active participation of QLMC members be measured?
    - How well is membership in the QLMC maintained? How often do members drop out of the committee?
    - Is the QLMC a standing committee?
    - If a dedicated QLMC is established following a notification of a request for an issues report, has this been successfully achieved by day 45?
  - GAC QLMC convenes and deliberates on the content of a preliminary issues report? (PR#4)
    - Were emails and/or conference calls sufficient for the QLMC to develop recommendations for the GAC?
    - Have recommendations developed by the QLMC been finalized and communicated to the GAC by day 60?
  - GAC reviews the QLMC recommended response to a preliminary issues report? (PR#5)
    - How responsive are GAC members to a consensus-call regarding QLMC recommendations?
      - Is a consensus-call via email sufficient?
      - Are conference calls required to achieve consensus? Are they easy to schedule?
      - Is a face-to-face meeting required to achieve consensus?
    - Does the GAC approve the QLMC recommendations?
    - Does the GAC refer the recommendations back to the QLMC for further deliberation and amendments?
    - Does the GAC, in its entirety, decide to deliberate on a preliminary issues report following the recommendations of a QLMC?
      - If the answer is "yes", have the previous deliberations conducted by the QLMC been helpful to the GAC in continuing the discussion?
    - Can the GAC achieve consensus on feedback to a preliminary issues report by day 80?
  - GAC secretariat communicates GAC response to the preliminary issues report to the GNSO Council liaison to the GAC. (PR#6)
    - Has this been done by day 85?
  - Final issues report published on day 100. (PR#7)
    - In the event that GAC input was that the issues report may involve public policy implications, has the GAC formed a committee to inform the PDP WG at a later stage?