Drafting Team (DT) recommendations applied to table by staff, listing potential changes to Bylaws and GNSO Operating Procedures

This table is color-coded to match 3 categories of decisions analyzed by the Drafting Team (DT):

Nominations for GNSO representatives on Empowered Community, Customer Service Committee, IANA Functions Review Team, and other review teams in new Bylaws

The consensus recommendation is that a majority of each house is the apprpritate threshold for nominations to roles created in the new Bylaws.

Decisions made by GNSO to initiate or respond to Empowered Community petitions, instruct the GNSO Empowered Community Representative, and initiate investigations (per Bylaws Sections 22.8)

The consensus recommendation is that a majority of each house is the appropriate threshold to make most EC decisions, and that a GNSO Supermajority is the appropriate threshold for certain decisions, as indicated below.

Decisions made by GNSO on its own. e.g., request document inspection (Bylaws 22.7(a) and (e))

The DT unanimously recommends that any GNSO Stakeholder Group or Constituency be empowered to request ICANN document inspection per Bylaws 22.7(a) and (e). This request would be automatically communicated by the GNSO's Decisional Participant representative, and would not require action by GNSO Council. In addition, the DT has a consensus recommendation to empower GNSO Council to request ICANN document inspection per Bylaws 22.7(a) and (e), with approval by 1/4 of each House or majority of one House.

Note: Three DT members (IPC, ISPCP, and BC) do not support Council exercising any of the new powers by voting within the present House-bound structure. The recommendation that Council would speak for GNSO therefore has "Strong support but significant opposition". Nonetheless, all DT members contributed to "Consensus" recommendations for voting thresholds on the assumption that GNSO Council would approve nominations and actions created under the new ICANN Bylaws

Note: the table below includes excerpts from the new ICANN bylaws, at https://www.icann.org/resources/pages/governance/bylaws-en

| New Bylaw Section | New Obligation/Right for the | Any New Procedure Required? | DT Recommendation |
|---|--|--|--|
| | GNSO | | |
| ARTICLE 4 ACCOUNTABILITY AND REVIEW 4.2 & 4.3 RECONSIDERATION REQUESTS; (IRP) FOR COVERED ICANN ACTIONS 4.2(b) EC may file a Reconsideration Request if approved pursuant to Section 4.3 of Annex D ("Community Reconsideration Request") and if the matter relates to the exercise of the powers and rights of the EC of these Bylaws. | NEW: EC can file Reconsideration Request; SO/ACs expressly acknowledged as a possible Claimant for IRP (which may now be filed to cover enforcement of IANA contract and PTI service complaints); SO/ACs to be consulted as part of process for establishing Standing Panel (in coordination with the IRP Implementation Oversight Team); SO/ACs can nominate Standing Panel members from the list of qualified candidates. | The GNSO would need to determine the circumstances and mechanisms by which it will support a Reconsideration Request by the EC. GNSO would need to agree on the voting threshold that would apply for each of the steps/items involved in an IRP (including relief). As the Implementation Oversight Team (IOT) seems to be a one- time appointment, a new process will likely not be needed. It is noted that the IOT is already formed and working. | GNSO rep on the EC will act in accord with instructions approved by majority of each house. |
| 4.3(b) A "Claimant" is any legal or natural person, group, or entity including, but not limited to the EC, a Supporting Organization, or an Advisory Committee that has been materially affected by a Dispute. To be materially affected by a Dispute, the Claimant must suffer an injury or harm that is directly and causally connected to the alleged violation. | | GNSO needs a process to decide to be an IRP Claimant. How a decision to initiate an IRP is reached - not just the threshold, but what it covers Who would represent them? Who would pay for representation? How would a claim that is supported by the GNSO be put forward? | For GNSO on its own to initiate a claim would require a majority of each house. |

| New Bylaw Section | New Obligation/Right for the GNSO | Any New Procedure Required? | DT Recommendation |
|---|--|---|---|
| 4.3(j) ICANN shall, in consultation with SO/ACs, initiate a four-step process to establish the [IRP] Standing Panel [SO/ACs] shall nominate a slate of proposed panel members from the well-qualified candidates identified per the process set forth in Section 4.3(j)(ii)(B). 4.3(k) The Claimant and ICANN shall each select one panelist from the Standing Panel, and the two panelists selected by the parties will select the third panelist from the Standing Panel. 4.3(n) An IRP Implementation Oversight Team shall be established in consultation with SO/ACs and comprised of members of the global Internet community to develop clear, published rules for the IRP. | | For the standing panel, the questions are: What are the thresholds to agree? Would it make sense to rely more on the SGs/Cs? | Decisions to approve the nominated panelist shall be presented to Council for approval by a majority of each House |
| 4.6 SPECIFIC REVIEWS (a) Review teams will be established for each applicable review, which will include both a limited number of members and an open number of observers. The chairs of SO/ACs shall select a group of up to 21 review team members from among the prospective members nominated by SO/ACs, balanced for diversity and skill: (A)Each SSO/AC may nominate up to seven prospective members for the review team; (B)Any SO/AC nominating one, two or three prospective review team members shall be entitled to have those one, two or three nominees selected as members to the review team (C)If any SO/AC has not nominated at least 3 review team members, the Chairs of the SO/ACs shall determine whether all 21 SO/AC member seats shall be filled and, if so, how seats should be allocated from among those nominated. | NEW: Specific provision for appointment of review team members (these would be for the reviews mandated by the current AoC, which are being enshrined in the new Bylaws) Note that for the RDS review, ICANN must specifically work with SOACs to "explore structural changes to improve accuracy and access to generic top-level domain registration data, as well as consider safeguards for protecting such data." | The GNSO will need to agree on a process for nominating and selecting these review team members. Issues the GNSO may wish to discuss include: (1) how will the GNSO (or its subparts) identify the up-to-seven nominees for any of the review teams; and (2) how should the GNSO Chair participate in the selection of the review team? The current practice (e.g. as was used for ATRT and CCT) could be used and/or modified to serve as a general procedure for nominating and selecting review team members. See the ATRT 2 at https://www.icann.org/ resources/reviews/aoc/atrt and | Each GNSO Constituency/Stakeholder Group may nominate 1 candidate. (7 candidates for GNSO) Decisions to approve the 21 total review team members shall be presented to Council for approval by a majority of each House. |

| New Bylaw Section | New Obligation/Right for the GNSO | Any New Procedure Required? | DT Recommendation |
|-------------------|-----------------------------------|--|-------------------|
| | | the CCT Review Team at <u>https://community.icann.org</u> <u>/x/C4RIAw</u> . | |

| New Bylaw Section | New Obligation/Right for the GNSO | Any New Procedure Required? | DT Recommendation |
|--|---|---|------------------------|
| 4.7 COMMUNITY MEDIATION (a) If the Board refuses or fails to comply with a duly authorized and valid EC Decision (as defined in <u>Section 4.1(a)</u> of Annex D) under these Bylaws, the EC Administration representative of any Decisional Participant who supported the exercise by the EC of its rights in the applicable EC Decision during the applicable decision period may request that the EC initiate a mediation process pursuant to this <u>Section 4.7</u> . | NEW: Procedure to request that the EC initiate a mediation process; ability to recommend individuals to represent the EC in the Mediation Administration. | GNSO Council will request that the EC initiate Mediation, and recommend EC representatives for the Mediation Administration. How this procedure is defined may need to be done in consultation with the other Decisional Participants. No current process specifically addresses this. However, it is envisioned that the GNSO Council will make the request on behalf of the GNSO, with Councilors consulting with their respective SGs/Cs according to current practice. | Majority of each House |
| (b) If the EC Administration delivers a Mediation Initiation Notice (as defined in Section 4.1(a) of Annex D) to the Secretary pursuant to and in compliance with Section 4.1(a) of Annex D, as soon as reasonably practicable thereafter, the EC Administration shall designate individuals to represent the EC in the mediation. Members of the EC can designate themselves as representatives. | | One topic for consideration is what principles the GNSO will use to guide how it identifies its representatives to the Mediation Administration. | Majority of each House |

| New Bylaw Section | New Obligation/Right for the GNSO | Any New Procedure Required? | DT Recommendation |
|---|---|--|---|
| ARTICLE 6 EMPOWERED COMMUNITY SECTION 6.1 COMPOSITION AND ORGANIZATION OF THE EMPOWERED COMMUNITY (a) The Empowered Community ("EC") shall be a nonprofit association formed under the laws of the State of California consisting of the ASO, the ccNSO, the GNSO, the ALAC and the GAC | NEW: Procedures relating to Decisional Participants and decision making | One point of discussion could be whether the GNSO would act through the GNSO Council if no other mechanism was determined or desired. Also, see comment above with respect to consultation with other Decisional Participants and the weighting of decision to determine thresholds. The GNSO Council Chair, or designee, would then be the GNSO representative in the EC Administration. The GNSO may consider principles to guide a designation. for provisions relating to GNSO Decisional Participation, GNSO might consider different processes /voting weightages/principles | Majority of each House to approve GNSO representative on EC |

| New Bylaw Section | New Obligation/Right for the GNSO | Any New Procedure Required? | DT Recommendation |
|--|---|------------------------------------|----------------------------|
| SECTION 6.1 COMPOSITION AND | NEW: Procedures for exercising the rights of a | Clarity may be needed as to | GNSO Council speaks for |
| ORGANIZATION OF EMPOWERED | Decisional Participant as described in (i)-(vi) | whether the GNSO acts through | GNSO, based on approval by |
| COMMUNITY | | the GNSO Council if no other | majority of each house. |
| (g) Each Decisional Participant shall, except as | | mechanism is deemed to be | |
| otherwise provided in Annex D, adopt | | needed. | |
| procedures for exercising the rights of such | | Also, see comment above with | |
| Decisional Participant pursuant to the | | respect to consultation with other | |
| procedures set forth in Annex D, including (i) | | Decisional Participants and the | |
| who can submit a petition to such Decisional | | weighting of decision to determine | |
| Participant, (iii) how the Decisional | | thresholds. | |
| Participant determines whether to accept or | | | |
| reject a petition, (iv) how Decisional Participant | | See additional notes on Annex D | |

| New Bylaw Section | New Obligation/Right for the GNSO | Any New Procedure Required? | DT Recommendation |
|---|-----------------------------------|-----------------------------|-------------------|
| determines whether an issue has been | | (forthcoming). | |
| resolved, (v) how the Decisional Participant | | | |
| determines whether to support or object to | | | |
| actions supported by another Decisional | | | |
| Participant, and (vi) the process for the | | | |
| Decisional Participant to notify its constituents | | | |
| of relevant matters. | | | |

| New Bylaw Section | New Obligation/Right for the GNSO | Any New Procedure Required? | DT Recommendation |
|---|------------------------------------|------------------------------------|------------------------------|
| SECTION 6.2 EC POWERS | NEW: Various rights and powers for | SCWG is Separation Cross- | GNSO Council speaks for |
| 6.2(a) & (b) EC will have various powers and rights, | the EC (as described in (a) & (b)) | Community Working Group | GNSO, based on approval by |
| including appointing and removing individual Directors; | | | majority of each house. |
| recall the entire Board; reject ICANN Budgets, IANA | | See notes above regarding the | |
| Budgets, Operating Plans and Strategic Plans; reject | | possibility that the GNSO will | GNSO rep on the EC will act |
| Standard Bylaws Amendments; approve Fundamental | | exercise its rights and powers via | in accord with instructions |
| Bylaw Amendments, Articles Amendments and Asset | | the GNSO Council. | approved by majority of each |
| Sales; reject PTI Governance Actions; require the ICANN | | | house. |
| Board to re-review its rejection of IFR Recommendation | | | |
| Decisions, Special IFR Recommendation Decisions, SCWG | | | |
| Creation Decisions; initiate a Community Reconsideration | | | |
| Request, mediation or a Community IRP; and take | | | |
| necessary and appropriate action to enforce powers and | | | |
| rights, including through the community mechanism in | | | |
| Annex D or an action filed in a court of competent | | | |
| jurisdiction. EC may also pursue an action in any court | | | |
| with jurisdiction over ICANN to enforce EC's rights under | | | |
| Bylaws. | | | |

| New Bylaw Section | New Obligation/Right for the GNSO | Any New Procedure Required? | DT Recommendation |
|--|--------------------------------------|-------------------------------------|-------------------------------|
| SECTION 6.3 EC ADMINISTRATION | NEW: Procedures for Decisional | The GNSO needs to agree on how | GNSO Rep to EC is approved |
| (a) The Decisional Participants shall act through their | Participants as described in (a)-(d) | such "other persons" | by majority of each house. |
| respective chairs or such other persons as may be | | would/could be designated and | |
| designated by the Decisional Participants (collectively, | | by whom. | If GNSO does not reach this |
| such persons are the "EC Administration"). Each | | | threshold to designate its EC |
| Decisional Participant shall deliver annually a written | | Discuss advisability of designating | Rep, Bylaws say that GNSO |
| certification designating the individual who shall | | GNSO Chair as EC representative, | Chair is default EC Rep. |
| represent Decisional Participant on the EC. | | possibly with option to appoint a | |
| (b) In representing a Decisional Participant on the EC, | | temporary alternate (see above). | GNSO rep on the EC will act |
| the representative individual shall act solely as directed | | | in accord with instructions |
| by the represented Decisional Participant and in | | | approved by majority of each |
| accordance with processes developed by such Decisional | | | house. |
| Participant in accordance with Section 6.1(g). | | | |
| | | | |

| New Bylaw Section | New Obligation/Right for the GNSO | Any New Procedure Required? | DT Recommendation |
|--|-----------------------------------|-----------------------------------|------------------------------|
| ARTICLE 7 BOARD OF DIRECTORS | NEW: Appointment of Directors via | The GNSO will need to discuss | Names of interim director(s) |
| SECTION 7.12 designating INTERIM DIRECTORS to fill | the EC | the process and criteria for | must be approved by |
| vacancies created by EC recall | | selection of Directors, including | majority of each house |
| | | Interim Directors. | |
| 7.12(a) A vacancy occurring in Seats 1 through 15 shall | | | GNSO selection of it's |
| be filled by the EC after nomination as provided in | | | replacement director(s) |
| Section 7.2 and Articles 8 - 12. | | | should follow current |
| | | | procedures. |
| 7.12(b) [Concerning vacancies when entire Board is | | | |
| recalled] Concurrently with delivery of any EC Board | | | |
| Recall Notice, the EC shall provide notice of the EC's | | | |
| designation of individuals to fill such vacancies. An | | | |
| Interim Director shall hold office until the EC designates | | | |
| the Interim Director's successor | | | |

ARTICLE 11 GENERIC NAMES SUPPORTING ORGANIZATION SECTION 11.3 GNSO COUNCIL

| New Bylaw Section | New Obligation/Right for the GNSO | Any New Procedure Required? | DT Recommendation |
|-------------------|-----------------------------------|-----------------------------|-------------------|
| | | | |

| New Bylaw Section | New Obligation/Right for the GNSO | Any New Procedure Required? | DT Recommendation |
|--|--------------------------------------|-----------------------------------|------------------------------|
| SECTION 11.3 GNSO COUNCIL | NEW: Supermajority votes per GNSO | These will need to be fully | This is the exiting Bylaws |
| | role as Decisional Participant for | documented in and where | section describing GNSO |
| (i) Except as otherwise specified in these Bylaws, Annex | these actions: | necessary revised or added to the | voting thresholds. |
| <u>A</u> , or GNSO Operating Procedures, the default threshold | | GNSO Operating Procedures. | |
| to pass a GNSO Council motion or other voting action | Section 17.3: Amendments to CSC | | This section can be amended |
| requires a simple majority vote of each House. The | Charter by "simple majority of GNSO | This can be done by amending | to add new thresholds |
| voting thresholds described below shall apply to the | Council" | the voting thresholds table | required in bylaws or |
| following GNSO actions: | Section 18.3: Approval of a delay in | currently in the GNSO Operating | recommended by DT and |
| | periodic IFR by supermajority | Procedures. | approved by GNSO Council. |
| | Section 18.6: IFR Recommendations | | |
| | approved by supermajority | NOTE: Where GNSO | The DT notes that Sec 17.3 |
| | Section 18.12: Special IFR and | Supermajority is used, it is | requires "simple majority of |
| | Recommendations approved by | specifically intended to refer to | GNSO Council", and suggests |
| | supermajority | the defined use of a | that GNSO Operating |
| | Section 19.1: Establish SCWG and | supermajority threshold for the | Procedures define that as a |
| | approve recommendations by GNSO | GNSO Council. | majority of Council, or a |
| | supermajority | | majority of each house. |

ARTICLE 16 POST TRANSITION IANA ENTITY

| New Bylaw Section | New Obligation/Right for the GNSO | Any New Procedure Required? | DT Recommendation |
|---|------------------------------------|-------------------------------|-----------------------------|
| SECTION 16.2 PTI GOVERNANCE | NEW: EC approval of changes to PTI | Clarity may be needed as to | GNSO rep on the EC will act |
| No amendment or modification of the articles of | articles of incorporation. | whether the GNSO acts through | in accord with instructions |
| incorporation of PTI shall be effective unless approved | | the GNSO Council | approved by GNSO |
| by the EC | | | Supermajority for approval |
| | | | of PTI Amendments |

| New Bylaw Section | New Obligation/Right for the GNSO | Any New Procedure Required? | DT Recommendation |
|--|------------------------------------|--------------------------------|------------------------------|
| SECTION 16.3 IANA NAMING FUNCTIONS CONTRACT | NEW: Majority of GNSO Council | Clarity may be needed as to | GNSO rep on the EC will act |
| ICANN shall enter into contract with PTI for performance | rejects the proposed modification, | whether the GNSO acts through | in accord with instructions |
| of IANA naming functions ICANN shall not agree to | amendment, or waiver. | the GNSO Council if no other | approved by majority of each |
| modify, amend or waive any Material Terms of the IANA | | mechanism is deemed to be | house. |
| Naming Function Contract if a majority of each of the | | needed. | |
| ccNSO and GNSO Councils reject the proposed | | | |
| modification, amendment or waiver. | | clarify whether majority means | |
| | | simple majority of each house. | |

| New Bylaw Section | New Obligation/Right for the GNSO | Any New Procedure Required? | DT Recommendation |
|-------------------|-----------------------------------|-----------------------------|-------------------|

| SECTION 17.1 CSC | NEW: Consultation and escalation | The GNSO should discuss | GNSO Council speaks for |
|---|----------------------------------|----------------------------|-------------------------------|
| The CSC is not authorized to initiate a change in PTI | processes and Special IFR | whether or not its current | GNSO, and a majority of each |
| through a Special IFR, but may escalate a failure to | | procedures are adequate to | house is required to instruct |
| correct an identified deficiency to the ccNSO and GNSO, | | cover this situation. | the GNSO Rep on the EC. |
| which might then decide to take further action using | | | |
| consultation and escalation processes, which may | | | |
| include a Special IFR. The ccNSO and GNSO may | | | |
| address matters escalated by the CSC, pursuant to their | | | |
| operating rules and procedures. | | | |

| New Bylaw Section | New Obligation/Right for the GNSO | Any New Procedure Required? | DT Recommendation |
|--|--|-----------------------------------|-------------------------------|
| SECTION 17.2 CSC COMPOSITION, APPOINTMENT, | NEW: | Yes, this will require procedures | On its own, the Registry |
| TERM AND REMOVAL | (b) Appointment of individual | for appointing a member by the | Stakeholder Group names 2 |
| (b) If so determined by the ccNSO and GNSO, the CSC | representing top-level domain | Registry Stakeholder Group and a | CSC members. No |
| may, but is not required to, include one additional | registry operator not ccTLD or gTLD. | liaison by the GNSO. | involvement of Council. |
| member: an individual representing top-level domain | (c) Appointment of liaison to the CSC. | | |
| registry operators that are not considered a ccTLD or | (d) Approval of initial proposed | If one or more letters of support | The Registrars Stakeholder |
| gTLD, who shall be appointed by the ccNSO and the | members and liaisons of the CSC and | are provided for a non ccTLD or | Group <u>or</u> the NCPH may |
| GNSO. | annual slate of members and liaisons. | gTLD representative to join as a | name 1 CSC member. |
| (c) Each of the following organizations may also appoint | | member, a procedure will need | Decisions to approve the |
| one liaison to the CSC in accordance with the rules and | | to be developed to identify how | nominated GNSO liaison |
| procedures of the appointing organization: (i) GNSO | | the GNSO will (i) internally and | shall be presented to Council |
| (from the Registrars Stakeholder Group or the Non- | | (ii) externally [with the ccNSO] | for approval by a majority of |
| Contracted Parties House) | | determine the additional | each House. |
| (d) The GNSO and ccNSO shall approve the initial | | member. | |
| proposed members and liaisons of the CSC, and | | | The nominated slate of CSC |
| thereafter, the ccNSO and GNSO shall approve each | | In addition, the GNSO and ccNSO | members shall be presented |
| annual slate of members and liaisons recommended for | | must jointly approve the full | to Council for approval by a |
| a new term. | | membership of the CSC. | majority of each House |
| | | This is currently covered by | |
| | | provisional procedures | |
| | | developed to address the GNSO's | |
| | | obligations relating to the CSC. | |
| | | These procedures will need to be | |
| | | formally approved as part of the | |
| | | GNSO Operating Procedures. | |
| | | | |
| | | GENERAL NOTE: Individual SGs | |
| | | and Cs should also review their | |

| New Bylaw Section | New Obligation/Right for the GNSO | Any New Procedure Required? | DT Recommendation |
|-------------------|-----------------------------------|---|-------------------|
| | | internal procedures to ensure that they are able to make all the necessary appointments enabled by the revised Bylaws. | |

| New Bylaw Section | New Obligation/Right for the GNSO | Any New Procedure Required? | DT Recommendation |
|--|---|-----------------------------------|--------------------------------|
| SECTION 17.3 CSC CHARTER; PERIODIC REVIEW (b) The | NEW: GNSO and ccNSO define method | Possible new procedures, | GNSO Council may approve |
| effectiveness of the CSC shall be reviewed two years | of review of the CSC charter. | although current procedures for | effectiveness review |
| after the first meeting of the CSC; and then every | | GNSO Council approval via a vote | resolution by majority of |
| three years thereafter. The method of review will be | | on a motion may apply. | each house. |
| determined by the ccNSO and GNSO | | Discuss if current procedures for | |
| | | GNSO Council approval may | |
| | | apply | |
| (c) The CSC Charter shall be reviewed by a committee | NEW: GNSO is one of the bodies that is | Possible new procedures, | GNSO Council may approve |
| of representatives from the ccNSO and the Registries | to request the formation of committee | although current procedures for | amendments by majority of |
| Stakeholder Group selected by such organizations. | comprised of ccNSO and RySG | GNSO Council approval via a vote | each house. |
| This review shall commence one year after the first | representatives, to review CSC Charter. | on a motion may apply. | |
| meeting of the CSC. Thereafter, the CSC Charter shall | | | |
| be reviewed by such committee of representatives | | Discuss if current procedures for | |
| from the ccNSO and the Registries Stakeholder Group | | GNSO Council approval may | |
| selected by such organizations at the request of the | | apply. | |
| CSC, ccNSO, GNSO, the Board and/or the PTI Board | | | |
| and/or by an IFRT in connection with an IFR. | | | |
| (d) Amendments to the CSC Charter shall not be | NEW: Amendments to the CSC Charter | Possible new procedures, | The DT notes that Sec 17.3 |
| effective unless ratified by the vote of a simple | by a vote of simple majority of the | although current procedures for | requires "simple majority of |
| majority of each of the ccNSO and GNSO Councils | GNSO Council. | GNSO Council approval via a vote | GNSO Council", and |
| pursuant to each such organizations' procedures. Prior | | on a motion may apply. | suggests that GNSO |
| to any action by the ccNSO and GNSO, any | | Discuss if current procedures for | Operating Procedures define |
| recommended changes to the CSC Charter shall be | | GNSO Council approval may | that as a majority of Council, |
| subject to a public comment period | | apply. | or a majority of each house. |

| New Bylaw Section | New Obligation/Right for the GNSO | Any New Procedure Required? | DT Recommendation |
|---|--|--------------------------------|-----------------------------|
| ARTICLE 18 IANA NAMING FUNCTION REVIEWS | NEW: Delay of convening IFR subject to | Only the administrative change | No recommended action, |
| SECTION 18.2 FREQUENCY OF PERIODIC IFRS | GNSO Supermajority vote. | required to update the voting | noting that GNSO |
| (c) In the event a Special IFR is ongoing at the time a | | thresholds in the GNSO | Supermajority is defined in |
| Periodic IFR is required to be convened under this | | Operating Procedures. | Bylaws: |

| New Bylaw Section | New Obligation/Right for the GNSO | Any New Procedure Required? | DT Recommendation |
|--|-----------------------------------|------------------------------|-------------------------------|
| Section 18.2, the Board shall cause the convening of | | | " (A) two-thirds (2/3) of the |
| the Periodic IFR to be delayed if such delay is | | Update GNSO Council voting | Council members of each |
| approved by the vote of (i) a supermajority of the | | thresholds table in the GNSO | House, or (B) three-fourths |
| ccNSO Council and (ii) a GNSO Supermajority. | | Operating Procedures. | (3/4) of the Council members |
| | | | of one House and a majority |
| | | | of the Council members of |
| | | | the other House." |

| New Bylaw Section | New Obligation/Right for the GNSO | Any New Procedure Required? | DT Recommendation |
|--|------------------------------------|-----------------------------------|-------------------------------|
| SECTION 18.6 RECOMMENDATION TO AMEND THE | NEW: Approve IFR Recommendation | For approval, only the | No recommended action, |
| IANA NAMING FUNCTION CONTRACT, IANA NAMING | by a GNSO Supermajority; EC | administrative change required | noting that GNSO |
| FUNCTION SOW OR CSC CHARTER | Administration can direct Board to | to update the voting threshold in | Supermajority is defined in |
| | convene rejection action community | the GNSO Operating Procedures. | Bylaws: |
| (b) (i) The IFR Recommendation becomes effective if it | forum. | May need to clarify if and how | " (A) two-thirds (2/3) of the |
| has been approved by the vote of and (B) a GNSO | | the GNSO Council may instruct | Council members of each |
| Supermajority. | | the EC to request the Board to | House, or (B) three-fourths |
| | | convene the community forum. | (3/4) of the Council members |
| | | Update GNSO Council voting | of one House and a majority |
| | | thresholds table in the GNSO | of the Council members of |
| | | Operating Procedures. | the other House." |

| New Bylaw Section | New Obligation/Right for the GNSO | Any New Procedure Required? | DT Recommendation |
|---|-----------------------------------|--------------------------------|----------------------------|
| SECTION 18.7 COMPOSITION OF IFR REVIEW TEAMS | NEW: IFRTs and their appointments | The GNSO SGs will collectively | Each GNSO Stakeholder |
| Each GNSO SG can appoint one member (except that | | need to agree on a uniform | Group designates its own |
| the RySG may appoint two). One of the two IFRT co- | | process for the nomination and | review team members: 2 |
| chairs is to be appointed "by the GNSO" from among | | appointment process of a IFRT | from RySG; 1 from RrSG; 1 |
| the members appointed by the different stakeholder | | co-chair. | from CSG; 1 from NCSG. |
| groups or constituencies in the GNSO. There is also the | | Each listed constituency or | |
| possibility of "other participants" that cannot vote. | | stakeholder group of the GNSO | GNSO Council approves the |
| | | will also have to identify the | GNSO co-chair on IFRT from |
| | | process through which it will | among the 6 GNSO reps, by |
| | | make its appointment. | majority of each house. |
| | | | |

| New Bylaw Section | New Obligation/Right for the GNSO | Any New Procedure Required? | DT Recommendation |
|-------------------|-----------------------------------|-----------------------------|-------------------|

| New Bylaw Section | New Obligation/Right for the GNSO | Any New Procedure Required? | DT Recommendation |
|---|---------------------------------------|------------------------------------|-------------------------------|
| SECTION 18.12 SPECIAL IFRS | NEW: (a) (i) Review of the outcome of | Possible new procedure, | Required review by GNSO |
| (a) A Special IFR may be initiated outside of the cycle | the Remedial Action Procedures of the | although it may be that existing | shall be determined by |
| for the Periodic IFRs to address any deficiency, | CSC. | procedures and/or the GIP could | majority of each house. |
| problem or other issue that has adversely affected | (ii) Review of the IANA Problem | be applied. This will require | |
| PTI's performance under the IANA Naming Function | Resolution Process. | discussion. | |
| Contract and IANA Naming Function SOW [under] the | (iii) Consultation with other SOs and | | |
| following conditions: | ACs. | The GNSO should discuss | GNSO Supermajority, as |
| (i) The Remedial Action Procedures of the CSC set | (iv) Comment period requested by | whether this is within the current | defined in Bylaws: |
| forth in the IANA Naming Function Contract shall have | GNSO and Special IFR approval by | remit and procedures of the | " (A) two-thirds (2/3) of the |
| been followed and failed to correct the PTI | GNSO Supermajority. | Council. The new GIP could | Council members of each |
| Performance Issue and the outcome of such | | potentially be | House, or (B) three-fourths |
| procedures shall have been reviewed by the ccNSO | | extended/amended to apply to | (3/4) of the Council members |
| and GNSO according to each organization's respective | | certain aspects of this process, | of one House and a majority |
| operating procedures; | | e.g. responding to another SO/AC | of the Council members of |
| (ii) The IANA Problem Resolution Process set forth in | | request to initiate a Special IFR. | the other House." |
| the IANA Naming Function Contract shall have been | | | |
| followed and failed to correct the PTI Performance | | | |
| Issue and the outcome of such process shall have been | | | |
| reviewed by the ccNSO and GNSO according to each | | | |
| organization's respective operating procedures; | | | |
| (iii) The ccNSO and GNSO shall have considered the | | | |
| outcomes of the processes set forth in the preceding | | | |
| clauses (i) and (ii) and shall have conducted | | | |
| meaningful consultation with the other SO/ACs with | | | |
| respect to the PTI Performance Issue and whether or | | | |
| not to initiate a Special IFR; and | | | |
| (iv) After a public comment period if a public | | | |
| comment period is requested by the ccNSO and the | | | |
| GNSO, a Special IFR shall have been approved by the | | | |
| vote of (A) a supermajority of the ccNSO Council and | | | |
| (B) a GNSO Supermajority. | | | |
| | | | |
| (c) A recommendation of an IFRT for a Special IFR shall | | | |
| only become effective if, with respect to each such | | | |
| recommendation, each of the following occurs: | | | |
| (i) The Special IFR Recommendation has been | | | |
| approved by the vote of (A) a supermajority of the | | | |
| ccNSO Council and (B) a GNSO Supermajority. | | | |
| | | | |

| New Bylaw Section | New Obligation/Right for the GNSO | Any New Procedure Required? | DT Recommendation |
|--|-----------------------------------|--------------------------------|-------------------------------|
| ARTICLE 19 IANA NAMING FUNCTION SEPARATION | NEW: Approval of SCWG by a GNSO | Only the administrative change | No recommended action, |
| PROCESS | Supermajority. | required to update the voting | noting that GNSO |
| SECTION 19.1 ESTABLISHING AN SCWG | | thresholds in the GNSO | Supermajority is defined in |
| (b) The Board shall establish an SCWG if each of the | | Operating Procedures. | Bylaws: |
| following occurs: | | | " (A) two-thirds (2/3) of the |
| (ii) The SCWG Creation Recommendation has been | | GNSO Council voting thresholds | Council members of each |
| approved by the vote of (A) a supermajority of the | | table in the GNSO Operating | House, or (B) three-fourths |
| ccNSO Council and (B) a GNSO Supermajority; | | Procedures. | (3/4) of the Council members |
| | | | of one House and a majority |
| | | | of the Council members of |
| | | | the other House." |

| New Bylaw Section | New Obligation/Right for the GNSO | Any New Procedure Required? | DT Recommendation |
|--|--------------------------------------|----------------------------------|-------------------------------|
| SECTION 19.4 SCWG RECOMMENDATIONS (b) ICANN | NEW: Approval of SCWG | Only the administrative change | No recommended action, |
| shall not implement an SCWG recommendation | recommendation by GNSO | required to update the voting | noting that GNSO |
| (including an SCWG recommendation to issue an IANA | Supermajority. | thresholds in the GNSO | Supermajority is defined in |
| Naming Function RFP) unless, with respect to each | | Operating Procedures. May need | Bylaws: |
| such recommendation (each, an "SCWG | Note: the recommendation to create a | to clarify if and how the GNSO | " (A) two-thirds (2/3) of the |
| Recommendation"), each of the following occurs: | SCWG is anticipated to come out of a | Council may instruct the EC to | Council members of each |
| (i) The SCWG Recommendation has been approved by | Special or Periodic IFR (see above). | request the Board to convene the | House, or (B) three-fourths |
| the vote of (A) a supermajority of the ccNSO and (B) a | | community forum. | (3/4) of the Council members |
| GNSO Supermajority. | | | of one House and a majority |
| | | GNSO Council voting thresholds | of the Council members of |
| | | table in the GNSO Operating | the other House." |
| | | Procedures. | |
| | | | |

| New Bylaw Section | New Obligation/Right for the GNSO | Any New Procedure Required? | DT Recommendation |
|---|-------------------------------------|----------------------------------|---------------------------|
| SECTION 19.6 ELECTION OF CO-CHAIRS AND LIAISONS | NEW: Appointment of Co-Chair of the | The GNSO will need to agree on | Each GNSO Stakeholder |
| (a) The SCWG shall be led by two co-chairs: one | SCWG from the GNSO. | the identification of a co-chair | Group designates its own |
| appointed by the GNSO from one of the members | | from among the appointees | review team members: 3 |
| appointed pursuant to clauses (iii)-(vi) of Section | | selected from across the GNSO | from RySG; 1 from RrSG; 1 |
| <u>19.5(a)</u> and one appointed by the ccNSO from one of | | community. | from CSG; 1 from NCSG. |
| the members appointed pursuant to clauses (i)-(ii) of | | | |
| Section 19.5(a). | | Each listed constituency or | GNSO Council approves the |
| | | stakeholder group of the GNSO | GNSO co-chair on SCWG |
| | | will also have to identify the | from among the 6 GNSO |
| | | process through which it will | reps, by majority of each |
| | | make its appointment. The | house. |
| | | collective of those Cs/SGs need | |
| | | to identify how they will agree | |
| | | upon one of those appointees as | |
| | | the recommended co-chair. | |
| | | See comments under IFRT | |
| | | (above). | |

| New Bylaw Section | New Obligation/Right for the GNSO | Any New Procedure Required? | DT Recommendation |
|---|---------------------------------------|--|------------------------------|
| SECTION 22.7 INSPECTION | NEW: GNSO (as decisional participant) | There is nothing to prevent the | Any GNSO Stakeholder |
| (a) A Decisional Participant may request to inspect the | requesting an inspection. | GNSO Council from initiating, or a | Group or Constituency may |
| accounting books and records of ICANN, as | | SG/C to request that the Council | request ICANN document |
| interpreted pursuant to the provisions of Section 6333 | | initiate, an inspection request. | inspection. This request |
| of the CCC, and the minutes of the Board or any Board | | However, given the significance | would be automatically |
| Committee for a purpose reasonably related to such | | of this new ability, it may be | communicated by the |
| Inspecting Decisional Participant's interest as a | | preferable to develop and | GNSO's Decisional |
| Decisional Participant in the EC. | | document specific mechanisms | Participant representative, |
| | | and/or agree on the voting | and would not require action |
| | | threshold that would apply to requesting an inspection should it | by GNSO Council. |
| | | be different from a simple | In addition, GNSO Council |
| | | majority vote of the Council. | may request ICANN |
| | | | document inspection, with |
| | | | approval by 1/4 of each |
| | | | House or majority of one |
| | | | House. |

| New Bylaw Section | New Obligation/Right for the GNSO | Any New Procedure Required? | DT Recommendation |
|---|-------------------------------------|---------------------------------|-------------------------------|
| SECTION 22.7 INSPECTION | NEW: Ability to seek appeals and | The GNSO Council should discuss | The requesting Constituency |
| (e) If the Inspecting Decisional Participant believes | initiate a Reconsideration Request. | whether new procedures are | or SG may decide whether to |
| that ICANN has violated the provisions of this Section | | necessary to decide whether to | seek the remedy. |
| 22.7, the Inspecting Decisional Participant may seek | | seek an appeal or initiate a | |
| one or more of the following remedies: (i) appeal | | Reconsideration request, or | If Council was the requesting |
| such matter to the Ombudsman and/or the Board for | | whether current procedures | entity, Council may seek |
| a ruling on the matter, (ii) initiate the Reconsideration | | (such as approval via a motion) | review with approval by 1/4 |
| Request process in accordance with Section 4.2, (iii) | | may apply. | of each House or majority of |
| initiate the Independent Review Process in accordance | | | one House. |
| with Section 4.3, or (iv) petition the EC to initiate (A) a | | If a process is developed, this | |
| Community Independent Review Process pursuant to | | should be incorporated into the | |
| Section 4.3 of Annex D or (B) a Board Recall Process | | GNSO Operating Procedures. | |
| pursuant to Section 3.3 of Annex D. | | | |

| New Bylaw Section | New Obligation/Right for the GNSO | Any New Procedure Required? | DT Recommendation |
|---|--|-----------------------------------|------------------------------|
| SECTION 22.8 INDEPENDENT INVESTIGATION | NEW: Joint written certification by 3 or | Similar observation to the | GNSO rep on the EC will act |
| If three or more Decisional Participants deliver to the | more Decisional Participants. | general right of inspection – the | in accord with instructions |
| Secretary a joint written certification from the | | GNSO may wish to discuss the | approved by majority of each |
| respective chairs of each Decisional Participant that | | need to create and document a | house. |
| the constituents of such Decisional Participants have, | | new process for either (1) the | |
| pursuant to the internal procedures of such Decisional | | GNSO to initiate or join a | |
| Participants, determined that there is a credible | | certification of a determination | |
| allegation that ICANN has committed fraud or that | | of allegation of fraud or gross | |
| there has been a gross mismanagement of ICANN's | | mismanagement. Consider | |
| resources, [excerpt] | | incorporating this into any new | |
| | | process to be documented for | |
| | | investigations. | |

ARTICLE 25 AMENDMENTS; ARTICLE 26 SALE & DISPOSITION OF ICANN ASSETS

| New Bylaw Section | New Obligation/Right for the GNSO | Any New Procedure Required? | DT Recommendation |
|---|-------------------------------------|--------------------------------|-----------------------------|
| SECTION 25.2 AMENDMENTS TO FUNDAMENTAL | NEW: EC to approve changes to or | The GNSO should discuss | GNSO rep on the EC will act |
| BYLAWS & ARTICLES OF INCORPORATION | deletions of Fundamental Bylaws and | processes and mechanisms by | in accord with instructions |
| 25.2(b) a Fundamental Bylaw or the Articles of | Articles of Incorporation. | which the EC may be instructed | approved by GNSO |
| Incorporation may be altered, amended, or only upon | | on this matter. | Supermajority |
| approval by a three-fourths vote of all Directors and | | | |
| the approval of the EC as set forth in this Section 25.2. | | | |

| New Bylaw Section | New Obligation/Right for the GNSO | Any New Procedure Required? | DT Recommendation |
|---|--|--------------------------------|-----------------------------|
| ARTICLE 26 SALE & DISPOSITION OF ICANN ASSETS | NEW: EC to approve sale or disposition | The GNSO should discuss | GNSO rep on the EC will act |
| 26(a) ICANN may consummate a transaction or series | of assets. | processes and mechanisms by | in accord with instructions |
| of transactions that would result in the sale or | | which the EC may be instructed | approved by GNSO |
| disposition of all or substantially all of ICANN's assets | | on this matter. | Supermajority. |
| (an "Asset Sale") only upon approval by a three- | | | |
| fourths vote of all Directors and the approval of the EC | | | |
| as set forth in this Article 26. | | | |

| New Bylaw Section | New Obligation/Right for the GNSO | Any New Procedure Required? | DT Recommendation |
|---|--|---|--|
| ANNEX D EC MECHANISM SECTION 1.2 APPROVAL PROCESS Regarding: Fundamental Bylaw Amendments Articles Amendments Asset Sales Following the delivery of a Board Notice for an Approval Action by the Secretary to the EC, the Decisional Participants shall promptly inform their constituents of the delivery of the Approval Action Board Notice. | NEW: Informing constituents of delivery of the Approval Action Board Notice. | It may be that the existing methods for the GNSO to send a communication or notice via the Council may suffice. May not require new procedures. | GNSO notification, but no decision. |
| SECTION 1.3 APPROVAL ACTION COMMUNITY FORUM 1.3(a) ICANN shall, at the direction of the EC Administration, convene a forum at which the Decisional Participants and interested parties may discuss the Approval Action. | Requesting a forum and deciding GNSO representation. | Is there a responsibility for Decisional Participants to request a forum? One point of discussion could be whether the GNSO would act through the GNSO Council if no other mechanism was determined or desired. GNSO might wish to consider whether different processes /voting weightages/principles are needed for different types (e.g. in impact or complexity). | GNSO rep on the EC will act in accord with instructions approved by majority of each house. |
| 1.3(b) If the EC Administration requests a publicly- available conference call by providing a notice to the | EC request for a conference call and process. | When would the EC request a publicly-available conference | GNSO notification, but no decision. |

| New Bylaw Section | New Obligation/Right for the GNSO | Any New Procedure Required? | DT Recommendation |
|---|---|---|--|
| Secretary, ICANN shall, at the direction of the EC Administration, schedule such call prior to any Approval Action Community Forum, and inform the Decisional Participants of the date, time and participation methods of such conference call. | | call? What would the process be for deciding? See notes for 1.3 (a) above. | In any decision, the GNSO rep on the EC will act in accord with instructions approved by majority of each house. |
| (f) ICANN and any SO or AC (including Decisional Participants) may deliver to the EC Administration its views and questions on the Approval Action prior to the convening of and during the Approval Action Community Forum. | Providing comments to a forum. | Determine whether the existing process for the GNSO to submit comments to a public forum would suffice. Questions: How will the EC receive and process these submissions? How will the GNSO determine whether to send its views to the EC? While the SGs/Cs may separately submit comments, it would be expected that only the GNSO Council comments we be considered as those coming from the GNSO as a Decisional Participant. | GNSO rep on the EC will act in accord with instructions approved by majority of each house. |
| 1.3(i) During the Approval Action Community Forum Period, an additional one or two Community Forums may be held at the discretion of the Board or the EC Administration. | Procedure for requesting an additional forum and process for decision- making. | When would an additional forum be requested? What would the process be for deciding? See notes for 1.3(a) above. | GNSO rep on the EC will act in accord with instructions approved by majority of each house. |
| SECTION 1.4 DECISION WHETHER TO APPROVE AN APPROVAL ACTION Regarding: Fundamental Bylaw Amendments Articles Amendments Asset Sales | Informing EC Administration of support, objection, abstention; forwarding notice. | How will GNSO decide whether to support/object/abstain? It may be that the existing methods for the GNSO to send a communication or notice via the Council may suffice. May not require new procedures. | GNSO rep on the EC will act in accord with instructions approved by GNSO Supermajority |

ARTICLE 2 PROCEDURE FOR EXERCISE OF EC'S RIGHTS TO REJECT SPECIFIED ACTIONS

| New Bylaw Section | New Obligation/Right for GNSO | Any New Procedure Required? | DT Recommendation |
|-------------------|-------------------------------|-----------------------------|-------------------|
| - / | | / | |

| New Bylaw Section | New Obligation/Right for GNSO | Any New Procedure Required? | DT Recommendation |
|---|---|-----------------------------------|------------------------------|
| SECTION 2.2 PETITION PROCESS FOR SPECIFIED | (b) Submitting a petition to a Decision | How would GNSO receive and | GNSO rep on the EC will act |
| ACTIONS | Participant, subject to the procedures | process individual petitions? | in accord with instructions |
| (b) During the period beginning on the Rejection | and requirements development by the | | approved by majority of each |
| Action Board Notification Date and ending on the 21 st | Decisional Participant. | 2.2 (c) (i) A-B How will GNSO | house. |
| day after the Rejection Action Board Notification Date, | (c) Acceptance or rejection of Rejection | decide whether to accept or | |
| subject to the procedures and requirements | Action Petition. | reject a petition? | |
| developed by the applicable Decisional Participant, an | (i) Providing written notice. | | |
| individual may submit a petition to a Decisional | (A) Providing rationale. | The GNSO Council will need a | |
| Participant, seeking to reject the Rejection Action and | (B) Citing PDP and provision in the | procedure for how to address a | |
| initiate the Rejection Process (a "Rejection Action | Standard Bylaw Amendment. | petition submitted to it. It may | |
| Petition"). | | be that the current procedure for | |
| (c) A Decisional Participant that has received a | | decision-making whereby a | |
| Rejection Action Petition shall either accept or reject | | motion is considered and voted | |
| such Rejection Action Petition; provided that a | | on – in this case to accept or | |
| Decisional Participant may only accept such Rejection | | reject the petition. However, | |
| Action Petition if it was received by such Decisional | | there may need to be new | |
| Participant during the Rejection Action Petition Period. | | procedures on providing written | |
| (i) If, in accordance with the requirements of <u>Section</u> | | notice, rationale, and citing PDP | |
| 2.2(c) of this <u>Annex D</u> , a Decisional Participant accepts | | and provisions in the Bylaws. | |
| a Rejection Action Petition during the Rejection Action | | Discuss whether there needs to | |
| Petition Period, the Decisional Participant shall | | be a new procedure for how to | |
| promptly providewritten notice of such acceptance | | address a petition. | |
| SECTION 2.2 PETITION PROCESS FOR SPECIFIED | (d) Contact EC Administration and | New procedure to contact the EC | GNSO rep on the EC will act |
| ACTIONS | other Decisional Participants to | Administration and other | in accord with instructions |
| (d) Following the delivery of a Rejection Action | determine whether any others | Decisional Participants to | approved by majority of each |
| Petition Notice to the EC Administration pursuant to | support. | determine other support. New | house. |
| Section 2.2(c)(i) of this <u>Annex D</u> , the Rejection Action | (i) Providing written notice to the EC | procedures for providing written | |
| Petitioning Decisional Participant shall contact the EC | Administration, other Decisional | notice, rationale, contact | |
| Administration and the other Decisional Participants | Participant and Secretary. | information, statements, and | |
| to determine whether any other Decisional | (A) Supporting rationale. | Bylaws citation. | |
| Participants support the Rejection Action Petition. (i) If the Rejection Action Petitioning Decisional | (B) Contact information.(C) Statement re: conference call. | New procedures are likely | |
| Participant obtains the support of at least one other | (D) Statement re: forum. | required. | |
| Decisional Participant (a "Rejection Action Supporting | (D) Citing PDP Standard Bylaw | | |
| Decisional Participant (a Rejection Action Supporting | Statement. | | |
| Petitioning Decisional Participant shall provide written | Statement. | | |
| notice, to include: | | | |
| (A) a supporting rationale in reasonable detail; | | | |
| (C) a statement as to whether or not the Rejection | | | |
| (c) a statement as to whether of not the Rejection | | | |

| New Bylaw Section | New Obligation/Right for GNSO | Any New Procedure Required? | DT Recommendation |
|---|--|---|------------------------------|
| Action Petitioning Decisional Participant and/or the | | | |
| Rejection Action Supporting Decisional Participant | | | |
| requests that ICANN organize a conference call prior | | | |
| to the Rejection Action Community Forum for the | | | |
| community to discuss the Rejection Action Supported | | | |
| Petition; | | | |
| (D) a statement as to whether the Rejection Action | | | |
| Petitioning and Supporting Decisional Participants | | | |
| have determined to hold the Rejection Action | | | |
| Community Forum during the next scheduled ICANN public meeting. | | | |
| (E) a PDP Standard Bylaw Statement | | | |
| | | | |
| SECTION 2.3 REJECTION ACTION COMMUNITY FORUM | Process for deciding whether to | How will GNSO, as part of EC, | GNSO rep on the EC will act |
| 2.3(a) If the EC Administration receives a Rejection | request a forum. | decide whether to request a | in accord with instructions |
| Action Supported Petition under Section 2.2(d) of this | | forum? | approved by majority of each |
| Annex D during the Rejection Action Petition Support | | | house. |
| Period, ICANN shall, at the direction of the EC | | See 1.3(a) notes above. | |
| Administration, convene a forum at which the | | | |
| Decisional Participants and interested parties may | | | |
| discuss the Rejection Action Supported Petition | | | |
| SECTION 2.3 REJECTION ACTION COMMUNITY FORUM | Delivery to EC Administration in writing | How will the EC receive and | GNSO rep on the EC will act |
| (f) ICANN and any SO or AC may deliver to the EC | views and questions on Rejection | process these submissions? How | in accord with instructions |
| Administration in writing its views and questions on | Action Supported Petition. | will the GNSO decide whether to | approved by majority of each |
| the Rejection Action Supported Petition prior to the | | send its views? | house. |
| convening of and during the Rejection Action | | | |
| Community Forum. | | Current procedures for collecting | |
| | | GNSO Council views/questions | |
| (h) If the Rejection Action Petitioning and Supporting | For the avoidance of doubt, the | may apply. How will GNSO decide if the issue | GNSO rep on the EC will act |
| Decisional Participants for a Rejection Action | Rejection Action Community Forum is | has been resolved? | in accord with instructions |
| Supported Petition agree before, during or after the | not a decisional body and the | | approved by majority of each |
| Community Forum that the issue has been resolved, | foregoing resolution process shall be | Would need to explore whether | house |
| such Rejection Action Supported Petition shall be | handled pursuant to the <i>internal</i> | the GNSO has procedures that | |
| deemed withdrawn | procedures of the Rejection Action | would cover its actions as a | |
| | Petitioning Decisional Participant and | Rejection Action Petitioning | |
| | the Rejection Action Supporting | Decisional Participant/Supporting | |
| | Decisional Participant(s). | Participant. | |
| 2.3(i) During the Rejection Action Community Forum | Process to decide whether to hold a | How will the EC determine | GNSO rep on the EC will act |

| New Bylaw Section | New Obligation/Right for GNSO | Any New Procedure Required? | DT Recommendation |
|--|---------------------------------------|------------------------------------|------------------------------|
| Period, an additional one or two Rejection Action | forum. | whether an additional forum | in accord with instructions |
| Community Forums may be held at the discretion of a | | should be held? | approved by majority of each |
| Rejection Action Petitioning and Supporting | | | house. |
| Participant or the EC Administration | | See 1.3(a) notes above. | |
| | | | |
| New Bylaw Section | New Obligation/Right for the GNSO | Any New Procedure Required? | |
| SECTION 2.4 DECISION WHETHER TO REJECT A | Decision re: Rejection Action | How will GNSO decide whether | GNSO rep on the EC will act |
| REJECTION ACTION | Supported Petition and informing the | to support/object/abstain? | in accord with instructions |
| (a) Following the expiration of the Rejection Action | EC Administration: supports, objects, | | approved by majority of each |
| Community Forum Period, with respect to each | abstains. | It may be that the existing | house. |
| Rejection Action Supported Petition, each Decisional | | methods for the GNSO to send a | |
| Participant shall inform the EC Administration in | | communication or notice via the | |
| writing as to whether such Decisional Participant (i) | | Council may suffice. Also, the | |
| supports such Rejection Action Supported Petition and | | current GNSO Council process of | |
| has determined to reject the Rejection Action, (ii) | | submitting and voting on motions | |
| objects to such Rejection Action Supported Petition or | | could be used to indicate | |
| (iii) has determined to abstain from the matter (which | | support, objection, or abstention. | |
| shall not count as supporting or objecting to such | | May not require new procedures. | |
| Rejection Action Supported Petition) | | | |

ARTICLE 3 PROCEDURE FOR EXERCISE OF EC'S RIGHTS TO REMOVE DIRECTORS AND RECALL THE BOARD

| New Bylaw Section | New Obligation/Right for GNSO | Any New Procedure Required? | |
|--|---|-----------------------------------|-----------------------------|
| SECTION 3.1 NOMINATING COMMITTEE DIRECTOR | (a) Procedures and requirements for | How will GNSO receive and | GNSO rep on the EC will act |
| REMOVAL PROCESS | an individual to submit a petition to a | process such petitions? | in accord with instructions |
| (a) Subject to the procedures and requirements | Decisional Participant seeking to | | approved by GNSO |
| developed by the applicable Decisional Participant, an | remove a Director. | The GNSO Council may need a | Supermajority. |
| individual may submit a petition to a Decisional | (b) Accepting or rejecting a | procedure for how to address a | |
| Participant seeking to remove a Director holding Seats | Nominating Director Removal | petition submitted to it. It may | |
| 1 through 8 and initiate the Nominating Committee | Petition. | be that the current procedure for | |
| Director Removal Process ("Nominating Committee | (c) Inviting the Director subject to the | decision-making whereby a | |
| Director Removal Petition"). Each Nominating | Nominating Committee Director | motion is considered and voted | |
| Committee Director Removal Petition shall set forth the | Removal Petition and the Chair of the | on – in this case to accept or | |
| rationale upon which such individual seeks to remove | Board (or the Vice Chair of the Board | reject the petition. | |
| such Director. | if the Chair is the affected Director) to | | |
| (b) During the period beginning on the date that the | a dialogue with the individual(s) | There may need to be new | |
| Decisional Participant received the Removal Petition | bringing the Nominating Committee | procedures on inviting the | |
| and ending on the 21 st day after, the Decisional | Director Removal Petition and the | Director to a dialog, contacting | |
| Participant that has received a Nominating Committee | Nominating Committee Director | the EC Administration and other | |
| Director Removal Petition shall either accept or reject | Removal Petitioned Decisional | Decisional Participants to | |

| New Bylaw Section | New Obligation/Right for GNSO | Any New Procedure Required? | |
|---|--|------------------------------------|-----------------------------|
| such Nominating Committee Director Removal Petition; | Participant's representative on the EC | determine other support, | |
| | Administration. | providing written notice, | |
| (i) the Nominating Committee Director Removal | (i) providing written notice | rationale, contact information, | |
| Petitioning Decisional Participant must obtain the | ("Nominating Committee Director | statements, and Bylaws citation. | |
| support of at least one other Decisional Participant | Removal Petition Notice") of such | | |
| Each Decisional Participant shall provide a written | acceptance to the EC Administration, | Existing method of GNSO Council | |
| notice to the EC Administration, the other Decisional | the other Decisional Participants and | communication may apply in the | |
| Participants and the Secretary, to include: | the Secretary. The Nominating | case of informing the EC | |
| (A) a supporting rationale in reasonable detail; | Committee Director Removal Petition | Administration in writing, and | |
| (C) a statement as to whether or not the Nominating | Notice shall include the rationale. | indicating support, objections, or | |
| Committee Director Removal Petitioning and/or | (d) Nominating Committee Director | abstentions. | |
| supporting Decisional Participant requests that ICANN | Removal Petitioning Decisional | | |
| organize a conference call prior to the Nominating | Participant shall contact the EC | | |
| Committee Director Removal Community Forum for the | Administration and the other | | |
| community to discuss the Nominating Committee | Decisional Participants to determine | | |
| Director Removal Supported Petition; and | whether any other Decisional | | |
| (f) Following the expiration of the Nominating | Participants support the Nominating | | |
| Committee Director Removal Community Forum | Committee Director Removal Petition. | | |
| Period, each Decisional Participant shall inform the EC | (i) Providing a written notice to the EC | | |
| Administration in writing as to whether such Decisional | Administration, the other Decisional | | |
| Participant (i) supports such Nominating Committee | Participants and the Secretary | | |
| Director Removal Supported Petition, (ii) objects to | ("Nominating Committee Director | | |
| such Nominating Committee Director Removal | Removal Supported Petition") within | | |
| Supported Petition or (iii) has determined to abstain | twenty-four (24) hours of receiving | | |
| from the matter (which shall not count as supporting or | the support of at least one | | |
| objecting to the Nominating Committee Director | Nominating Committee Director | | |
| Removal Supported Petition), | Removal Supporting Decisional | | |
| | Participant. Including: | | |
| | (A) supporting rationale | | |
| | (B) contact information | | |
| | (C) statement re: conference call | | |
| | (D) statement re: whether to hold a | | |
| | Community Forum | | |
| | | | |
| | | | |
| SECTION 3.2 SO/AC DIRECTOR REMOVAL PROCESS | Removal of a SO/AC Director subject | The GNSO Council will need a | GNSO will determine in its |
| (a) Subject to the procedures and requirements | to the procedures and requirements | procedure for how to address a | procedures how to satisfy |
| developed by the applicable Decisional Participant, an | of the Decisional Participant. | petition submitted to it. Also for | the ¾ threshold required in |

| New Bylaw Section | New Obligation/Right for GNSO | Any New Procedure Required? | |
|--|---|-------------------------------------|------------------------------|
| individual may submit a petition to the ASO, ccNSO, | | inviting a Director to a dialog and | Bylaws Annex D Section |
| GNSO or At-Large Community (as applicable, the | (a) Individual petitioning GNSO to | determining other support.It may | 3.2(f) "three-quarters |
| "Applicable Decisional Participant") seeking to remove | remove a Director | be that the current procedure for | majority as determined |
| a Director who was nominated by that Supporting | (b) accepting or rejecting a petition | decision-making whereby a | pursuant to the internal |
| Organization or the At-Large Community in accordance | subject to internal procedures | motion is considered and voted | procedures of the Applicable |
| with Section 7.2(a) of the Bylaws, and initiate the | (c) Inviting Director to a dialog | on – in this case to accept or | Decisional Participant". |
| SO/AC Director Removal | (i) providing written notice of | reject the petition. | |
| (b) During the 21 day period, the Applicable Decisional | acceptance [of a petition] to the EC | | Five DT members believe |
| Participant shall either accept or reject such SO/AC | Administration, the other Decisional | There may need to be new | that voting would occur only |
| Director Removal Petition pursuant to the internal | Participants and the Secretary. | procedures on inviting the | in the House that nominated |
| procedures of the Applicable Decisional Participant. | Including: | Director to a dialog, contacting | the director, while other DT |
| (i) If the Applicable Decisional Participant accepts an | (A) supporting rationale | the EC Administration and other | members said the entire |
| SO/AC Director Removal Petition, the Applicable | (B) contact information | Decisional Participants to | GNSO should vote on this |
| Decisional Participant shall, within twenty-four (24) | (C) statement re: conference call | determine other support, | decision. |
| hours of the Applicable Decisional Participant's | (D) statement re: whether to hold a | providing written notice, | |
| acceptance of the SO/AC Director Removal Petition, | Community Forum | rationale, contact information, | |
| provide written notice ("SO/AC Director Removal | (f) informing the EC Administration in | statements, and Bylaws citation. | |
| Petition Notice") of such acceptance. Such SO/AC | writing as to whether the Applicable | | |
| Director Removal Petition Notice shall include: | Decisional Participant has support for | Existing method of GNSO Council | |
| (A) a supporting rationale in reasonable detail; | the SO/AC Director Removal Petition | communication may apply in the | |
| (B) contact information for at least one representative | within the Applicable Decisional | case of informing the EC | |
| who has been designated by the Applicable Decisional | Participant of a three-quarters | Administration in writing, and | |
| Participant who shall act as a liaison with respect to the | majority as determined pursuant to | indicating support, objections, or | |
| SO/AC Director Removal Petition; | the internal procedures of the | abstentions. | |
| (C) a statement as to whether or not the Applicable | Applicable Decisional Participant | | |
| Decisional Participant requests that ICANN organize a | ("SO/AC Director Removal Notice"). | | |
| publicly-available conference call prior to the SO/AC | The Applicable Decisional Participant | | |
| Director Removal Community Forum (as defined in | shall, within twenty-four (24) hours of | | |
| Section 3.2(d) of this Annex D) for the community to | obtaining such support, deliver the | | |
| discuss the SO/AC Director Removal Petition; and | SO/AC Director Removal Notice to the | | |
| (D) a statement as to whether the Applicable Decisional | EC Administration, including: | | |
| Participant has determined to hold the SO/AC Director | (A) supporting rationale | | |
| Removal Community Forum during the next scheduled | (B) contact information | | |
| ICANN public meeting. | (C) statement re: conference call | | |
| The SO/AC Director Removal Process shall thereafter | (D) statement re: whether to hold a | | |
| continue for such SO/AC Director Removal Petition | Community Forum | | |
| pursuant to Section 3.2(d) of this Annex D. | | | |
| | (f) informing the EC Administration in | | |
| (f) Following the expiration of the SO/AC Director | writing as to whether the Applicable | | |

| New Bylaw Section | New Obligation/Right for GNSO | Any New Procedure Required? | |
|--|---|------------------------------------|-----------------------------|
| Removal Comment Period, the Applicable Decisional | Decisional Participant has support for | | |
| Participant shall inform the EC Administration as to | the SO/AC Director Removal Petition | | |
| whether the Decisional Participant has support for the | within the Applicable Decisional | | |
| SO/AC Director Removal Petition of a three-quarters | Participant of a three-quarters | | |
| majority as determined pursuant to the internal | majority as determined pursuant to | | |
| procedures of the Applicable Decisional Participant. | the internal procedures of the | | |
| | Applicable Decisional Participant | | |
| | ("SO/AC Director Removal Notice") | | |
| | Delivering the SO/AC Director | | |
| | Removal Notice to the EC | | |
| | Administration, the other Decisional | | |
| | Participants and Secretary | | |
| | | | |
| New Bylaw Section | New Obligation/Right for the GNSO | Any New Procedure Required? | |
| SECTION 3.3 BOARD RECALL PROCESS | NEW: Procedures and requirements | The GNSO Council will need a | GNSO rep on the EC will act |
| (a) Subject to the procedures and requirements | for an individual to submit a petition | procedure for how to address a | in accord with instructions |
| developed by the applicable Decisional Participant, an | to a Decisional Participant seeking to | petition submitted to it. It may | approved by GNSO |
| individual may submit a petition to a Decisional | remove all Directors. | be that the current procedure for | Supermajority. |
| Participant seeking to remove all Directors (other than | | decision-making whereby a | |
| the President) at the same time and initiate the Board | (a) individual submitting a petition to | motion is considered and voted | |
| Recall Process ("Board Recall Petition"), provided that | a Decisional Participant seeking to | on – in this case to accept or | |
| a Board Recall Petition cannot be submitted solely on | remove all Directors (other than the | reject the petition. | |
| the basis of a matter decided by a Community IRP if (i) | President) at the same time and | | |
| such Community IRP was initiated in connection with | initiate the Board Recall Process | There may need to be new | |
| the Board's implementation of GAC Consensus Advice | (b) accepting or rejecting such Board | procedures on inviting the | |
| and (ii) the EC did not prevail in such Community IRP. | Recall Petition | Director to a dialog, contacting | |
| Each Board Recall Petition shall include a rationale | (i) providing written notice ("Board | the EC Administration and other | |
| setting forth the reasons why such individual seeks to | Recall Petition Notice") of such | Decisional Participants to | |
| recall the Board. The process set forth in this <u>Section</u> | acceptance to the EC Administration, | determine other support, | |
| 3.3 of this <u>Annex D</u> is referred to herein as the " Board | the other Decisional Participants and | providing written notice, | |
| Recall Process." | the Secretary. | rationale, contact information, | |
| (b) A Decisional Participant that has received a Board | (c) contacting the EC Administration | statements, and Bylaws citation. | |
| Recall Petition shall either accept or reject such Board | and the other Decisional Participants | | |
| Recall Petition during the period beginning on the date | to determine whether any other | Existing method of GNSO Council | |
| the Decisional Participant received the Board Recall | Decisional Participants support the | communication may apply in the | |
| Petition ("Board Recall Petition Date") and ending at | Board Recall Petition. | case of informing the EC | |
| 11:59 p.m. (as calculated by local time at the location of | (i) If obtains the support of at least | Administration in writing, and | |
| ICANN's principal office) on the date that is the 21 st day | two other Decisional Participants, | indicating support, objections, or | |
| after the Board Recall Petition Date (the "Board Recall | providing a written notice to the EC | abstentions. | |

| New Bylaw Section | New Obligation/Right for GNSO | Any New Procedure Required? | |
|--|---|-----------------------------|--|
| Petition Period"). | Administration, the other Decisional | | |
| (i) If, in accordance with <u>Section 3.3(b)</u> of this <u>Annex D</u> , | Participants and the Secretary | | |
| a Decisional Participant accepts a Board Recall Petition | ("Board Recall Supported Petition") | | |
| during the Board Recall Petition Period (such Decisional | within twenty-four hours of receiving | | |
| Participant, the "Board Recall Petitioning Decisional | the support of at least two Board | | |
| Participant"), the Board Recall Petitioning Decisional | Recall Supporting Decisional | | |
| Participant shall, within twenty-four (24) hours of the | Participants, including: | | |
| expiration of its acceptance of the Board Recall | including: | | |
| Petition, provide written notice ("Board Recall Petition | (A) supporting rationale | | |
| Notice") of such acceptance to the EC Administration, | (B) contact information | | |
| the other Decisional Participants and the Secretary. | (C) statement re: conference call | | |
| (c) EC Administration and the other Decisional | (D) statement re: whether to hold a | | |
| Participants to determine whether any other Decisional | Community Forum. | | |
| Participants support the Board Recall Petition. | | | |
| (i) If the Board Recall Petitioning Decisional Participant | (v) delivering to the EC Administration | | |
| obtains the support of at least two other Decisional | in writing its views and questions on | | |
| Participants provide notice: | the Board Recall Supported Petition | | |
| (A) a supporting rationale in reasonable detail; | prior to the convening of and during | | |
| (C) a statement as to whether or not the Board Recall | the Board Recall Community Forum. | | |
| Petitioning and/or Supporting Decisional Participants | (e) informing the EC Administration in | | |
| want a conference call prior to the Board Recall Board | writing as to whether such Decisional | | |
| Recall Community Forum; and | Participant (i) supports such Board | | |
| (D) a statement as to whether the Board Recall | Recall Supported Petition, (ii) objects | | |
| Petitioning Decisional and Supporting Participants have | to such Board Recall Supported | | |
| determined to hold the Board Recall Community Forum | Petition or (iii) has determined to | | |
| during the next scheduled ICANN public meeting. | abstain from the matter | | |
| | | | |
| (v) ICANN and any Supporting Organization or Advisory Committee (including Decisional Participants) may | | | |
| deliver to the EC Administration in writing its views and | | | |
| questions on the Board Recall Supported Petition prior | | | |
| to the convening of and during the Board Recall | | | |
| Community Forum | | | |
| .Each Decisional Participant shall inform the EC | | | |
| Administration as to whether such Decisional | | | |
| Participant (i) supports such Board Recall Supported | | | |
| Petition, (ii) objects to such Board Recall Supported | | | |
| Petition or (iii) has determined to abstain from the | | | |
| matter (which shall not count as supporting or | | | |
| matter (miller shan het coant as supporting of | | | |

| New Bylaw Section | New Obligation/Right for GNSO | Any New Procedure Required? | |
|---|-------------------------------|-----------------------------|--|
| objecting to such Board Recall Supported Petition), | | | |

ARTICLE 4 PROCEDURE FOR EXERCISE OF EC'S RIGHTS TO INITIATE MEDIATION, A COMMUNITY IRP OR RECONSIDERATION REQUEST

| New Bylaw Section | New Obligation/Right for GNSO | Any New Procedure Required? | DT Recommendation |
|--|--|------------------------------------|------------------------------|
| SECTION 4.1 MEDIATION INITIATION | Requesting initiation of a Mediation | The GNSO Council may need a | GNSO rep on the EC will act |
| (a) If the Board refuses or fails to comply with a | with the Board in relation to that EC | new procedure for how it decides | in accord with instructions |
| decision by the EC delivered to the Secretary pursuant | Decision as contemplated by Section | whether to request initiation of a | approved by majority of each |
| to an EC Approval Notice, EC Rejection Notice, | 4.7 of the Bylaws, by delivering a | Mediation. For the notice it may | house. |
| Nominating Committee Director Removal Notice, | notice to the EC Administration, the | be that the existing methods for | |
| SO/AC Director Removal Notice or EC Board Recall | Decisional Participants and the | the GNSO to send a | |
| Notice pursuant to and in compliance with Article 1, | Secretary requesting the initiation of | communication or notice via the | |
| Article 2 or Article 3 of this Annex D, or rejects or | a Mediation ("Mediation Initiation | Council may suffice. | |
| otherwise does not take action that is consistent with a | Notice"). | | |
| final IFR Recommendation, Special IFR | | | |
| Recommendation, SCWG Creation Recommendation or | | | |
| SCWG Recommendation, as applicable (each, an "EC | | | |
| Decision"), the EC Administration representative of any | | | |
| Decisional Participant who supported the exercise by | | | |
| the EC of its rights in the applicable EC Decision during | | | |
| the applicable decision period may request that the EC | | | |
| initiate mediation with the Board in relation to that EC | | | |
| Decision as contemplated by Section 4.7 of the Bylaws | | | |
| SECTION 4.2 COMMUNITY IRP | NEW: Initiating a Community IRP. | The GNSO Council may need a | GNSO rep on the EC will act |
| (a) After completion of a Mediation under <u>Section 4.</u> 7 | (a) delivering a notice to the EC | new procedure for how it decides | in accord with instructions |
| of the Bylaws, the EC Administration representative of | Administration and the Decisional | whether to initiate a Community | approved by majority of each |
| any Decisional Participant who supported the exercise | Participants requesting the initiation | IRP. For the notice it may be that | house. |
| by the EC of its rights in the applicable EC Decision | of a Community IRP ("Community IRP | the existing methods for the | |
| during the applicable decision period may request that | Petition"). The Community IRP | GNSO to send a communication | |
| the EC initiate a Community IRP (a "Community IRP | Petitioning Decisional Participant shall | or notice via the Council may | |
| Petitioning Decisional Participant"), as contemplated | forward such notice to the Secretary | suffice. | |
| by <u>Section 4.3</u> of the Bylaws, by delivering a notice to | (b) contacting the EC Administration | Also for inviting a Director to a | |
| the EC Administration and the Decisional Participants | and the other Decisional Participants | dialog and determining other | |
| requesting the initiation of a Community IRP | to determine whether any other | support, and for providing | |
| ("Community IRP Petition"). The Community IRP | Decisional Participants support the | written notice, rationale, contact | |
| Petitioning Decisional Participant shall forward such | Community IRP Petition. The | information, statements, and | |
| notice to the Secretary for ICANN to promptly post on | Community IRP Decisional Participant | Bylaws citation. | |
| the Website. The process set forth in this <u>Section 4.2</u> of | shall forward such communication to | New procedure to contact the EC | |
| this <u>Annex D</u> as it relates to a particular Community IRP | the Secretary for ICANN to promptly | Administration and other | |
| Petition is referred to herein as the "Community IRP | post on the Website. | Decisional Participants to | |

| New Bylaw Section | New Obligation/Right for GNSO | Any New Procedure Required? | DT Recommendation |
|---|--|------------------------------------|-------------------|
| Initiation Process." | (i) providing a written notice to the EC | determine other support. New | |
| (b) Following the delivery of a Community IRP Petition | Administration, the other Decisional | procedures for providing written | |
| to the EC Administration by a Community IRP | Participants and the Secretary within | notice, rationale, contact | |
| Petitioning Decisional Participant pursuant to Section | twenty-four (24) hours of providing | information, statements, and | |
| 4.2(a) of this Annex D (which delivery date shall be | support to the Community IRP | Bylaws citation. | |
| referred to herein as the "Community IRP Notification | Petition including: | | |
| Date"), the Community IRP Petitioning Decisional | (A) supporting rationale | Existing method of GNSO Council | |
| Participant shall contact the EC Administration and the | (B) contact information | communication may apply in the | |
| other Decisional Participants to determine whether any | (C) statement re: conference call | case of informing the EC | |
| other Decisional Participants support the Community | (D) statement re: whether to hold a | Administration in writing, and | |
| IRP Petition. | Community Forum. | indicating support, objections, or | |
| (i) If the Community IRP Petitioning Decisional | (E) PDP Fundamental Bylaw | abstentions. | |
| Participant obtains the support of at least one other | Statement if applicable | | |
| Decisional Participant provide a written notice | (F) a PDP Standard Bylaw Statement if | | |
| Such Community IRP Supported Petition shall include: | applicable | | |
| (A) a supporting rationale in reasonable detail; | (G) a statement citing the specific | | |
| (B) contact information for at least one representative | CCWG Policy Recommendation and | | |
| who has been designated by the Community IRP | related provision in the Community | | |
| Petitioning Decisional Participant who shall act as a | IRP Supported Petition ("CCWG Policy | | |
| liaison with respect to the Community IRP Supported | Recommendation Statement"), and, | | |
| Petition; | if so, the name of any Supporting | | |
| (C) a statement as to whether or not the Community | Organization that is a Decisional | | |
| IRP Petitioning Decisional Participant and/or the | Participant that approved the CCWG | | |
| Community IRP Supporting Decisional Participant | Policy Recommendation ("CCWG | | |
| requests that ICANN organize a publicly-available | Policy Recommendation Decisional | | |
| conference call prior to the Community IRP Community | Participant"). | | |
| Forum; | | | |
| (D) a statement as to whether the Community IRP | (v) delivering to the EC Administration | | |
| Petitioning Decisional Participant and the Community | in writing its views and questions on | | |
| IRP Supporting Decisional Participant have determined | the Community IRP Supported | | |
| to hold the Community IRP Community Forum during | Petition prior to the convening of and | | |
| the next scheduled ICANN public meeting; | during the Community IRP | | |
| (E) where the Community IRP Supported Petition | Community Forum AND | | |
| relates to a Fundamental Bylaw Amendment, a PDP | delivering to the Secretary for prompt | | |
| Fundamental Bylaw Statement if applicable and, if so, | posting on the Website in a manner | | |
| the name of the Fundamental Bylaw Amendment PDP | deemed appropriate by ICANN. | | |
| Decisional Participant; | | | |
| (F) where the Community IRP Supported Petition | (vii) resolution process shall be | | |
| relates to a Standard Bylaw Amendment, a PDP | handled pursuant to the internal | | |

| New Bylaw Section | New Obligation/Right for GNSO | Any New Procedure Required? | DT Recommendation |
|---|---|-----------------------------|-------------------|
| Standard Bylaw Statement if applicable and, if so, the | procedures of the Community IRP | | |
| name of the Standard Bylaw Amendment PDP | Petitioning Decisional Participant and | | |
| Decisional Participant; and | the Community IRP Supporting | | |
| (G) where the Community IRP Supported Petition | Decisional Participant(s). | | |
| relates to a policy recommendation of a cross | (d) informing the EC Administration in | | |
| community working group chartered by more than one | writing as to whether such Decisional | | |
| Supporting Organization ("CCWG Policy | Participant (i) supports such | | |
| Recommendation "), a statement citing the specific | Community IRP Petition, (ii) objects to | | |
| CCWG Policy Recommendation and related provision in | such Community IRP Petition or (iii) | | |
| the Community IRP Supported Petition ("CCWG Policy | has determined to abstain from the | | |
| Recommendation Statement"), and, if so, the name of | matter (which shall not count as | | |
| any Supporting Organization that is a Decisional | supporting or objecting to the | | |
| Participant that approved the CCWG Policy | Community IRP Petition), and each | | |
| Recommendation("CCWG Policy Recommendation | Decisional Participant shall forward | | |
| Decisional Participant"). | such notice to the Secretary for | | |
| | ICANN to promptly post on the | | |
| (v) ICANN and any SO/AC may deliver to the EC | Website. | | |
| Administration its views and questions on the | | | |
| Community IRP Supported Petition prior to the | | | |
| convening of and during the Community IRP | | | |
| Community Forum. | | | |
| | | | |
| (vii) If the Community IRP Petitioning Decisional | | | |
| Participant and each of the Community IRP Supporting | | | |
| Decisional Participants for the Community IRP | | | |
| Supported Petition agree before, during or after a | | | |
| Community IRP Community Forum that the issue raised | | | |
| in such Community IRP Supported Petition has been | | | |
| resolved, such Community IRP Supported Petition shall | | | |
| be deemed withdrawn and the Community IRP Process | | | |
| with respect to such Community IRP Supported Petition | | | |
| will be terminated. | | | |
| (d) Following the expiration of the Community IRP | | | |
| Community Forum Period, each Decisional Participant | | | |
| shall inform the EC Administration whether such | | | |
| Decisional Participant (i) supports such Community IRP | | | |
| Petition, (ii) objects to such Community IRP Petition or | | | |
| (iii) has determined to abstain from the matter | | | |
| | | | |

| New Bylaw Section | New Obligation/Right for GNSO | Any New Procedure Required? | DT Recommendation |
|---|--|--------------------------------------|------------------------------|
| New Delaw Castien | New Oblighting (Bight for the CNCO | Ann Maria Director dans Disputing d2 | [|
| New Bylaw Section | New Obligation/Right for the GNSO | Any New Procedure Required? | |
| (a) Any Decisional Participant may request that the EC | Initiating a Reconsideration Request. | The GNSO Council may need a | GNSO rep on the EC will act |
| initiate a Reconsideration Request (a "Community | (a) delivering notice to the EC | new procedure for how it decides | in accord with instructions |
| Reconsideration Decisional Participant"), as | Administration and other Decisional | whether to initiate a | approved by majority of each |
| contemplated by <u>Section 4.2(b)</u> of the Bylaws, by | Participants requesting the review or | Reconsideration Request. For the | house. |
| delivering a notice to the EC Administration and the | reconsideration of an action or | notice it may be that the existing | |
| other Decisional Participants, with a copy to the | inaction of the ICANN Board or staff | methods for the GNSO to send a | |
| Secretary for ICANN to promptly post on the Website, | ("Community Reconsideration | communication or notice via the | |
| requesting the review or reconsideration of an action | Petition"). | Council may suffice. | |
| or inaction of the ICANN Board or staff ("Community | (b) contacting the EC Administration | Also for inviting a Director to a | |
| Reconsideration Petition"). A Community | and the other Decisional Participants | dialog and determining other | |
| Reconsideration Petition must be delivered within 30 | to determine whether any other | support, and for providing | |
| days after the occurrence of any of the conditions set | Decisional Participants support the | written notice, rationale, contact | |
| forth in <u>Section 4.2(g)(i)(A)</u> , <u>(B)</u> or <u>(C)</u> of the Bylaws. In | Community Reconsideration Petition. | information, statements, and | |
| that instance, the Community Reconsideration Petition | The Community Reconsideration | Bylaws citation. | |
| must be delivered within 30 days from the initial | Decisional Participant shall forward | New procedure to contact the EC | |
| posting of the rationale. | such communication to the Secretary | Administration and other | |
| (b) Following the delivery of a Community | for ICANN to promptly post on the | Decisional Participants to | |
| Reconsideration Petition to the EC Administration the | Website. | determine other support. New | |
| Community Reconsideration Petitioning Decisional | (i) providing a written notice to the EC | procedures for providing written | |
| Participant shall contact the EC Administration and the | Administration, the other Decisional | notice, rationale, contact | |
| other Decisional Participants to determine whether any | Participants and the Secretary | information, statements, and | |
| other Decisional Participants support the Community | ("Community Reconsideration | Byaws citation. | |
| Reconsideration Petition. The Community | Supported Petition") within twenty- | | |
| Reconsideration Decisional Participant shall forward | four (24) hours of receiving the | Existing method of GNSO Council | |
| such communication to the Secretary for ICANN to | support of at least one Community | communication may apply in the | |
| promptly post on the Website. | Reconsideration Supporting | case of informing the EC | |
| (i) If the Community Reconsideration Petitioning | Decisional Participant. | Administration in writing, and | |
| Decisional Participant obtains the support of at least | (A) supporting rationale | indicating support, objections, or | |
| one other Decisional Participant provide a written | (B) contact information | abstentions. | |
| notice. Such Community Reconsideration Supported | (C) statement re: conference call | | |
| Petition shall include: | (D) statement re: whether to hold a | | |
| (A) a supporting rationale in reasonable detail; | Community Forum. | | |
| (C) a statement as to whether or not the Community | | | |
| Reconsideration Petitioning and/or Supporting | (v) delivering to the EC Administration | | |
| Participant requests a conference call for the | in writing its views and questions on | | |
| community to discuss the Community Reconsideration | the Community Reconsideration | | |
| Supported Petition; and | Supported Petition prior to the | | |

| New Bylaw Section | New Obligation/Right for GNSO | Any New Procedure Required? | DT Recommendation |
|--|--|-----------------------------|-------------------|
| (D) a statement as to whether the Community | convening of and during the | | |
| Reconsideration Petitioning Decisional and Supporting | Community Reconsideration | | |
| Participants want to hold the Community | Community Forum | | |
| Reconsideration Community Forum during the next | delivering to the EC Administration | | |
| scheduled ICANN public meeting. | shall also be delivered to the | | |
| | Secretary for prompt posting on the | | |
| (v) ICANN and any SO/AC may deliver to the EC its | Website in a manner deemed | | |
| views and questions on the Community | appropriate by ICANN. | | |
| Reconsideration Supported Petition prior to the | | | |
| convening of and during the Community | (vii) resolution process shall be | | |
| Reconsideration Community Forum. | handled pursuant to the internal | | |
| | procedures of the Community | | |
| (d) Following the expiration of the Community | Reconsideration Petitioning | | |
| Reconsideration Community Forum Period each | Decisional Participant and the | | |
| Decisional Participant shall inform the EC | Community Reconsideration | | |
| Administration whether such Decisional Participant (i) | Supporting Decisional Participant(s). | | |
| supports such Community Reconsideration Petition, (ii) | | | |
| objects to such Community Reconsideration Petition or | (d) informing the EC Administration in | | |
| (iii) has determined to abstain from the matter | writing as to whether such Decisional | | |
| | Participant (i) supports such | | |
| | Community Reconsideration Petition, | | |
| | (ii) objects to such Community | | |
| | Reconsideration Petition or (iii) has | | |
| | determined to abstain from the | | |
| | matter (which shall not count as | | |
| | supporting or objecting to the | | |
| | Community Reconsideration Petition), | | |
| | and each Decisional Participant shall | | |
| | forward such notice to the Secretary | | |
| | for ICANN to promptly post on the | | |
| | Website. | | |
| | | | |