

## **Recommendation for Removal of Appendix C from EPDP Scope**

The Registries Stakeholder Group (“RySG”) proposes removal of Appendix C of the Temporary Specification from the scope of the EPDP’s consideration. In our view, ICANN drafted Appendix C as an emergency substitute for the GDPR-mandated data protection agreements between ICANN and Contracted Parties. Accordingly, ICANN and the Contracted Parties should address the subject matter of Appendix C via separate contractual negotiations.

From the point of view of the Contracted Parties, one of the most important elements of pre-GDPR preparation was the execution of appropriate data protection agreements between ICANN and the Contracted Parties (e.g. Data Processing Agreement, Joint Controller Agreement, Industry Code of Conduct). GDPR explicitly requires written agreements to set out the relationship, obligations, and instructions for data processing between parties.

Unfortunately, ICANN’s approach to implementing GDPR compliance did not include a contractual amendment process to develop and execute these agreements. Instead, the principles normally found in these agreements are included in Appendix C. Despite their presence in the Temporary Specification, these issues remain contractual in nature and ICANN should handle them in a bilateral manner with Contracted Parties. Moreover, as a contractual matter, these issues are neither appropriate for inclusion in a GNSO policy, nor are they within the scope of the EPDP. As discussed on a number of occasions thus far in the EPDP, contractual agreements are outside of the Picket Fence and are not appropriate for consensus policy.

However, the RySG does recognize that determinations by the EPDP regarding key elements of the Temporary Specification (e.g. identifying data elements, roles and responsibilities of parties, purposes for processing) will inform the development of appropriate agreements between ICANN and Contracted Parties. These decisions may also help determine whether a Joint Controller Agreement, Code of Conduct, or Data Processing Agreement is the most appropriate format given the roles and responsibilities identified by the EPDP.

Further, the RySG does not believe that the removal of Appendix C from the scope of the EPDP in any way diminishes discussions regarding third-party access to registration data. The reference to “Disclosure of non-public RDDS/WHOIS to third parties” in the Appendix C table is not an independent source of a right of access for third parties. The

table is only a reflection of the roles, rights, and obligations of ICANN and Contracted Parties found elsewhere in the Temporary Specification and other applicable consensus policies. Those other sources (e.g. Appendix A, Section 4) are the more appropriate places to discuss and determine the scope of third party access and are not impacted by the removal of Appendix C from discussion.

For the reasons stated above, the RySG proposes that the EPDP adopt, as a formal recommendation to the GNSO, that ICANN and Contracted Parties address Appendix C outside of the EPDP process. This approach allows ICANN and Contracted Parties to benefit from the decisions and policy developed by the EPDP while still ensuring that the appropriate contractual partners develop the agreements.

## Annex 1: Temporary Specification, Appendix C

A review of Appendix C reveals that the terms mirror the data protection principles and requirements found in Article 28 of the GDPR, which are required, per Article 28(3) to be included in a “contract or other legal act under Union or Member State law.” The comments below illustrate these similarities:

**Appendix C Preamble:** This Appendix sets out the framework for the Processing and sharing of Registration Data containing Personal Data between the parties as Data Controllers or Data Processors, as identified in the matrix below, and defines the principles and procedures that the parties SHALL adhere to and the responsibilities the parties owe to each other.

**RySG Response:** This language is a hybrid approach combining elements of both Article 28 (1), noting that the data processor must only process data as per the written instructions of the Data Controller, and Article 26 requiring a written agreement between Joint Controllers. This hybrid approach is unlikely to pass muster with the Data Protection Authorities, as it does not meet the clear thresholds as outlined in the respective articles of the GDPR. These requirements are better addressed via contractual arrangements between ICANN and Contracted Parties.

**Appendix C Preamble:** The parties collectively acknowledge and agree that Processing of Registration Data is to be performed at different stages, or at times even simultaneously, within the Internet's complex environment, by the parties. Thus, this Appendix is required to ensure that where Personal Data may be accessed, such access will at all times comply with the requirements of the GDPR. Unless defined in this Appendix, terms with initial capital letters have the meaning given under the GDPR.

**RySG Response:** The intention of this closing section of the preamble is an acceptance that the DNS does not adhere to standard data processing flows and that a concerted effort is required to map the unique processes within the DNS industry (e.g. as might be identified as part of Article 40 Code of Conduct). The RySG position is that the EPDP should recommend adoption of a Code of Conduct to the GNSO as a future policy development. However, creation of such a Code of Conduct exceeds the scope of the EPDP.

<b>gTLD Processing Activity</b>	<b>Registrar Role/ Legal Justification</b>	<b>Registry Operator Role / Legal Justification</b>	<b>ICANN Role / Legal Justification</b>
Collection of registration data from Registered Name Holder	Controller (Consent and Performance of a Contract)	Controller (Legitimate Interest and Performance of a Contract)	Controller (Legitimate Interest)
Transfer of registration data from Registrar to Registry Operator or Registry Operator Back-end Service Provider	Processor (Performance of a Contract)	Controller (Legitimate Interests)	Controller (Legitimate Interests)
Transfer of registration data from Registry Operator to Data Escrow Agent	No role	Processor (Performance of a Contract)	Controller (Legitimate Interest)
Transfer of registration data from Registrar to Data Escrow Agent	Processor (Performance of Contract)	No role	Controller (Legitimate Interest)
Transfer of registration data to ICANN Contractual Compliance	Processor	Processor	Controller (Legitimate Interest)

Transfer of registration data to Emergency Back-end Registry Operator (EBERO)	No role	Processor (Performance of a Contract)	Controller (Legitimate Interest)
Public RDDS/WHOIS	Controller (Legitimate Interest)	Controller (Legitimate Interest)	Controller (Legitimate Interest)
Disclosure of non-public RDDS/WHOIS to third parties	Controller (Performance of a Contract [can also vary depending upon the requesting party])	Controller (Performance of a Contract [can also vary depending upon the requesting party])	Controller (Performance of a Contract)
Data retention	No role	Processor (Performance of a Contract)	Controller (Performance of a Contract)

**RySG Response: The RySG does not believe this chart is comprehensive and the EPDP should not include this section in a future consensus policy. As stated above, we believe that the data flow analysis conducted under the purposes for processing evaluation will replace this chart.**

**Principles for Processing**

Each Controller will observe the following principles to govern its Processing of Personal Data contained in Registration Data, except as required by applicable laws or regulations. Personal Data SHALL:

**RySG Response:** The data protection principles outlined in Article 5 of GDPR form the fundamental basis for any compliant data processing model. RySG believes that a consensus policy should build on these principles rather than explicitly (and redundantly) include them in the policy. Moreover, any actions to develop and implement the appropriate agreements between ICANN and Contracted Parties should also build on these same principles.

1.1. only be Processed lawfully, fairly, and in a transparent manner in relation to the Registered Name Holders and other data subjects ("lawfulness, fairness, and transparency");

**RySG Response:** Section 1.1 repeats a high level GDPR principle for data processing contained in Article 5(1)(a). The inclusion is therefore in anticipated compliance with the requirements of Article 28(1), whereby a processor is required to “meet the requirements of [the GDPR].”

1.2. be obtained only for specified, explicit, and legitimate purposes (as outlined in Section 4 of this Temporary Specification), and SHALL NOT be further Processed in any manner incompatible with those purposes ("purpose limitation");

**RySG Response:** Section 1.2 repeats a high level GDPR principle for data processing contained in Article 5(1)(b). The inclusion is therefore in anticipated compliance with the requirements of Article 28(1), whereby a processor is required to “meet the requirements of [the GDPR].”

1.3. be adequate, relevant, and not excessive in relation to the purposes for which they are Processed ("data minimization");

**RySG Response:** Section 1.3 repeats a high level GDPR principle for data processing contained in Article 5(1)(c). The inclusion is therefore in anticipated compliance with the requirements of Article 28(1), whereby a processor is required to “meet the requirements of [the GDPR].”

1.4. be accurate and, if necessary, kept current, as appropriate to the purposes for which they are Processed ("accuracy");

**RySG Response: Section 1.4 repeats a high level GDPR principle for data processing contained in Article 5(1)(d). The inclusion is therefore in anticipated compliance with the requirements of Article 28(1), whereby a processor is required to “meet the requirements of [the GDPR].”**

1.5. not be kept in a form that permits identification of the Registered Name Holder and other data subjects for longer than necessary for the permitted purposes ("storage limitation"); and

**RySG Response: Section 1.5 repeats a high level GDPR principle for data processing contained in Article 5(1)(e). The inclusion is therefore in anticipated compliance with the requirements of Article 28(1), whereby a processor is required to “meet the requirements of [the GDPR].”**

1.6. be Processed in a manner that ensures appropriate security of the Personal Data, including protection against unauthorized or unlawful Processing and against accidental loss, destruction or damage, using appropriate technical or organizational measures ("integrity and confidentiality").

**RySG Response: Section 1.6 repeats a high level GDPR principle for data processing contained in Article 5(1)(f). The inclusion is therefore in anticipated compliance with the requirements of Article 28(1), whereby a processor is required to “meet the requirements of [the GDPR].”**

Each Registrar and Registry Operator SHALL be responsible for, and be able to demonstrate compliance with principles (1.1) to (1.6) ("accountability").

**RySG Response: This section repeats a high level GDPR principle for data processing contained in Article 5(2). The inclusion is therefore in anticipated compliance with the requirements of Article 28(1), whereby a processor is required to “meet the requirements of [the GDPR].”**

The Registrar or Registry Operator SHALL inform ICANN immediately if such Registrar or Registry Operator (i) cannot abide by the Processing principles outlined in Section 1

of this Appendix, or (ii) receives a complaint by a Registered Name Holder or other data subject that the Registrar or Registry Operator has failed to abide by such principles.

**RySG Response: This language is another “instruction” to a processor regarding required notifications of events that may significantly impact the parties. This language is more correctly included in an agreement between ICANN and Contracted Parties and is inappropriate for the inclusion in the scope of the EPDP.**

### **Lawfulness of Processing**

For Personal Data Processed in connection with the Registration Data Directory Services, such Processing will take place on the basis of a legitimate interests of the Controller or of the third party or parties to whom the Personal Data are disclosed, except where such interests are overridden by the interests or fundamental rights and freedoms of the data subject which require protection of Personal Data, in particular where the data subject is a child. For other Personal Data collected for other purposes, such Personal Data SHALL NOT be Processed unless a legal basis specified under Article 6(1) GDPR applies.

**RySG Response: This section repeats a high level GDPR principle for data processing contained in Article 6(1)(f) and Article 8. The inclusion is therefore in anticipated compliance with the requirements of Article 28(1), whereby a processor is required to “meet the requirements of [the GDPR].”**

### **Specific Controller Processing requirements**

In addition to the general principles and requirements for lawful Processing, each Controller SHALL comply with the following specific requirements:

**3.1. Implementing appropriate measures.** Implementing appropriate technical and organizational measures to ensure and to be able to demonstrate the Processing is performed in compliance with the GDPR, such as appropriate data protection policies, approved code of conducts or approved certification mechanisms. Such measures SHALL be reviewed regularly and updated when necessary by the Controller. The parties acknowledge and agree that they are responsible for maintaining appropriate organizational and security measures to protect such Personal Data shared between the parties in accordance with applicable laws. Appropriate organizational and security

measures are further enumerated in Section 3.8 of this Appendix, and generally MUST include:

3.1.1. Measures to ensure that only authorized individuals for the purposes of this Appendix can access the Personal Data;

3.1.2. The pseudonymisation and encryption of the Personal Data, where necessary or appropriate;

3.1.3. The ability to ensure continued confidentiality, integrity, availability and resilience of its processing systems and services;

3.1.4. The ability to restore the availability and access to Personal Data in a timely manner;

3.1.5. A process for regularly testing, assessing, and evaluating the effectiveness of technical and organizational measures for ensuring the security of the processing of Personal Data; and

3.1.6. Measures to identify vulnerabilities with regard to the processing of Personal Data in its systems;

**RySG Response: Section 3.1 repeats a high level GDPR principle for data processing contained in Article 5(1)(f) and provides the specific detail of Article 32. The inclusion is therefore in anticipated compliance with the requirements of Article 28(3)(c), whereby a processor is required, per the required written agreement, to “take all measures required pursuant to Article 32”.**

**3.2. Engaging only selected Processors.** Engaging only selected Processors and implementing a contract with each Processor that sets out the subject-matter and duration of the Processing, the nature and purpose of the Processing, the type of Personal Data and categories of data subjects and the obligations and rights of the Controller. The engagement of Processor must comply with Article 28 of the GDPR;

**RySG Response: As specifically noted in Section 3.2, this repeats a high level GDPR principle for data processing contained in Article 28. The inclusion is**

**therefore in anticipated compliance with the requirements of Article 28(2), whereby a processor is required, per the required written agreement, “not engage another processor without prior specific or general written authorisation of the controller” etc.**

**3.3. Designating a Data Protection Officer.** Designating a "Data Protection Officer" where required by Article 37 of the GDPR or Member State national data protection law;

**RySG Response: Section 3.3 repeats a high level GDPR principle for data processing contained in Article 37. The inclusion is therefore in anticipated compliance with the requirements of Article 28(1), whereby a processor is required to “meet the requirements of [the GDPR].”**

**3.4. Maintaining a record of Processing.** Maintaining a record of the Processing activities under the Controller's responsibility in accordance with Article 30 of the GDPR;

**RySG Response: Section 3.4 repeats a high level GDPR principle for data processing contained in Article 30. The inclusion is therefore in anticipated compliance with the requirements of Article 28(1), whereby a processor is required to “meet the requirements of [the GDPR].”**

**3.5. Providing transparent information.** Taking appropriate measures to provide any information referred to in Articles 13 and 14 of the GDPR and any communication under Articles 15 to 22 and 34 of the GDPR relating to Processing to the data subject in a concise, transparent, intelligible and easily accessible form, using clear and plain language, which SHALL specifically include the following obligations:

3.5.1. The parties SHALL ensure that their privacy notices are clear and provide sufficient information to Data Subjects in order for them to understand what of their Personal Data the Parties are sharing, the circumstances in which it will be shared, the purposes for the data sharing and either the identity with whom the data is shared or a description of the type of organization that will receive the Personal Data;

3.5.2. The parties undertake to inform Data Subjects of the purposes for which it will process their Personal Data and provide all of the information that it must provide in accordance with applicable laws, to ensure that the Data Subjects understand how their Personal Data will be processed by the Controller.

**RySG Response: Section 3.5 repeats a high level GDPR principle for data processing contained in Articles 13 and 14. The inclusion is therefore in anticipated compliance with the requirements of Article 28(1), whereby a processor is required to “meet the requirements of [the GDPR].”**

**3.6. Facilitating of the exercise of data subject rights.** Facilitating the exercise of data subject rights under Articles 15 to 22 of the GDPR. In the cases referred to in Article 11(2) of the GDPR, the Controller SHALL NOT refuse to act on the request of the data subject for exercising his or her rights under Articles 15 to 22 of the GDPR, unless the Controller demonstrates that it is not in a position to identify the data subject;

**RySG Response: Section 3.6 repeats a high level GDPR principle for data processing contained in Articles 15-22. The inclusion is therefore in anticipated compliance with the requirements of Article 28(3)(e), whereby a processor is required, per the required written agreement to assist in the fulfillment of the controller’s obligations to “ respond to requests for exercising the data subject’s rights laid down in Chapter III.”**

**3.7. Implementing measures for data protection by design and by default.** Implementing appropriate technical and organizational measures, both at the time of the determination of the means for Processing and at the time of the Processing itself, which are designed to implement data protection principles, in an effective manner and to integrate the necessary safeguards into the Processing in order to meet the requirements of the GDPR and to protect the rights of data subjects. Implementing appropriate technical and organizational measures for ensuring that, by default, only Personal Data which are necessary for each specific purpose of the Processing are Processed.

**RySG Response: Section 3.7 repeats a high level GDPR principle for data processing contained in Article 28(1) to ensure that a processor meets the requirements of GDPR, specifically those as set in Article 25 .**

**3.8. Implementing appropriate security measures.** Implementing appropriate technical and organizational measures to ensure a level of security appropriate to the

risk of data Processing, taking into account the state of the art, the costs of implementation and the nature, scope, context and purposes of Processing as well as the risks of varying likelihood and severity for the rights and freedoms of natural persons. Appropriate technical and organizational measures to protect the Personal Data shared against unauthorized or unlawful Processing and against accidental loss, destruction, damage, alteration or disclosure, MAY include, but not limited to:

3.8.1. Ensuring IT equipment, including portable equipment is kept in lockable areas when unattended;

3.8.2. Not leaving portable equipment containing the Personal Data unattended;

3.8.3. Ensuring use of appropriate secure passwords for logging into systems or databases containing Personal Data shared between the parties;

3.8.4. Ensuring that all IT equipment is protected by antivirus software, firewalls, passwords and suitable encryption devices;

3.8.5. Using industry standard 256-bit AES encryption or suitable equivalent where necessary or appropriate;

3.8.6. Limiting access to relevant databases and systems to those of its officers, staff, agents, vendors and sub-contractors who need to have access to the Personal Data, and ensuring that passwords are changed and updated regularly to prevent inappropriate access when individuals are no longer engaged by the party;

3.8.7. Conducting regular threat assessment or penetration testing on systems; and

3.8.8. Ensuring all authorized individuals handling Personal Data have been made aware of their responsibilities with regards to handling of Personal Data.

**RySG Response: Section 3.8 (including 3.8.1 - 3.8.8) repeats a high level GDPR principle for data processing contained in Article 32. The inclusion is therefore in**

**anticipated compliance with the requirements of Article 28(3)(c), whereby a processor is required to “take[] all measures required pursuant to Article 32.”**

**3.9. Developing procedures for breach notification.** Developing procedures for breach notification to ensure compliance with the obligations pursuant to Articles 33-34 of the GDPR. Any notifications provided in connection with Articles 33-34 of the GDPR SHALL also be provided to ICANN. Where a party is not the Data Controller, it must communicate any data security breach immediately after discovery thereof and will provide immediate feedback about any impact this incident may/will have on the Controller and any Personal Data shared with the Controller. Such notification will be provided as promptly as possible.

**RySG Response: Section 3.9 repeats a high level GDPR principle for data processing contained in Articles 32-36. The inclusion is therefore in anticipated compliance with the requirements of Article 28(3)(f), whereby a processor is required, per the required written agreement, to “assist[] the controller in ensuring compliance with the obligations pursuant to Articles 32 to 36.”**

**3.10. Observing conditions for international data transfers.** Observing conditions for international data transfers so that any transfer of Personal Data which are undergoing Processing or are intended for Processing after transfer to a third country or to an international organization SHALL take place only if the conditions laid down in Chapter V of the GDPR are complied with, including for onward transfers of Personal Data from the third country or an international organization to another third country or to another international organization. A party may only transfer Registration Data including Personal Data relating to EU individuals to outside of the EU (or if such Personal Data is already outside of the EU, to any third party also outside the EU), in compliance with the terms this Section 3.10, and the requirements of applicable laws.

**RySG Response: Section 3.10 repeats a high level GDPR principle for data processing contained in Articles 44 and 45 (i.e. Chapter V). The inclusion is therefore in anticipated compliance with the requirements of Article 28(3)(a), whereby a processor is required to “processes the personal data only on documented instructions from the controller, including with regard to transfers of personal data to a third country or an international organisation”.**

3.11. **Cooperating with Supervisory Authorities.** Cooperating with Supervisory Authorities, on request, in the performance of their tasks.

**RySG Response: Section 3.11 repeats a high level GDPR principle for data processing contained in Article 31. The inclusion is therefore in anticipated compliance with the requirements of Article 28(1), whereby a processor is required to “meet the requirements of [the GDPR].”**