

## RECOMMENDATION 17

The EPDP Team requests that when the EPDP Team commences its deliberations on a standardized access framework, a representative of the RPMs PDP WG shall provide an update on the current status of deliberations so that the EPDP Team may determine if/how the WG's recommendations may affect consideration of the URS and UDRP in the context of the standardized access framework deliberations.

***Disclaimer:** This overview has been developed to facilitate the EPDP Team's consideration of the concerns expressed and possible updates to the recommendations. However, this does not replace the EPDP Team's obligation to review all input received in full and to indicate if any concerns in this overview have inadvertently been mischaracterized.*

### Noted Concerns

Concern	Corresponding PCRT Comment #	Further Discussion Required?
In lieu of or in addition to a representative of the RPM WG, a UDRP provider should be included as a representative in any update to the EPDP team to properly assess the potential impact of the EPDP work on UDRP case administration.	4, 6 (WIPO, Forum)	Yes/No
While the BC supports the concept of a representative of the RMPs PDP WG providing such an update, we reiterate here that the gating questions have been substantially answered and deliberations should commence on standardized access. We also recommend that a representative of a UDRP and URS dispute resolution provider be available to the team in order to give perspective on the use of data in conducting resolutions.	5 (BC)	Yes/No
This is an action item but not a recommendation for policy	7 (GoDaddy)	Yes/No