

## RECOMMENDATION 22

The EPDP Team recommends that as part of the implementation of these policy recommendations, updates are made to the following existing policies / procedures, and any others that may have been omitted, to ensure consistency with these policy recommendations as a number of these refer to administrative and/or technical contact which will no longer be required data elements:

- Registry Registration Data Directory Services Consistent Labeling and Display Policy
- Thick WHOIS Transition Policy for .COM, .NET, .JOBS
- Rules for Uniform Domain Name Dispute Resolution Policy
- WHOIS Data Reminder Policy
- Transfer Policy
- Uniform Rapid Suspension System (URS) Rules

***Disclaimer:** This overview has been developed to facilitate the EPDP Team’s consideration of the concerns expressed and possible updates to the recommendations. However, this does not replace the EPDP Team’s obligation to review all input received in full and to indicate if any concerns in this overview have inadvertently been mischaracterized.*

### Noted Concerns

Concern	Corresponding PCRT Comment #	Further Discussion Required?
The specific contours may evolve by the time the final EPDP recommendations are adopted, i.e., the specific note about admin/technical contacts going away may not be the case, and this text should be modified as it presupposes a final conclusion.	2, 3 (IPC, Coalition for Online Accountability)	Yes/No
The PPSAI policy should be added to this list, even though it is still in IRT phase – technically it has still been adopted as a relevant ICANN Consensus Policy. The work of the PPIRT should be resumed immediately.	2, 3, 5 IPC, Coalition for Online Accountability, Microsoft)	Yes/No

<p>The Additional WHOIS Information Policy, governing insertion of EPP status codes, and the Expired Registration Recovery policy should be added to this list.</p>	<p>4 (BC)</p>	<p>Yes/No</p>
<p>There could be other changes which might affect the above-referenced policies and procedures.</p>	<p>6 (John Poole)</p>	<p>Yes/No</p>
<p>“and any others that may have been omitted” should be removed as specificity is important so that there is no confusion around what policies are recommended for review and modification or what the proposed changes are.</p>	<p>8 (Tucows)</p>	<p>Yes/No</p>
<p>While we agree with the importance of maintaining consistency across the wide variety of policies the EPDP touches on, we cannot express support for or advise changes to this recommendation at this time. In particular, we cannot express support or advise changes until further deliberations have been made to ensure the comprehensiveness and accuracy of such a list, which should be included as part of the implementation phase of this policy development process.</p>	<p>9 (RySG)</p>	<p>Yes/No</p>