|  |  |
| --- | --- |
| **Priority 2 Worksheets** | **Next Steps** |
| **Display of information of affiliated vs. accredited privacy / proxy providers** | 1. Proposed briefing by a member of the PPSAI IRT to assess how the EPDP Team’s work fits in with the IRT’s work – does the EPDP Team think this would be helpful? Additionally, another member of the small team requested a briefing regarding a data privacy impact assessment on the PPSAI program – does the EPDP Team agree to this briefing, and if so – who should provide this briefing?
2. If yes, following briefing from PPSAI IRT, EPDP Team to formulate question(s) for PPSAI IRT to obtain required information to determine next steps (via google doc)
3. Concurrently with Step 2, Legal Committee to review proposed draft legal questions and determine if they should be forwarded to Bird & Bird.
 |
| **Legal vs. natural persons** | 1. Small Team proposed briefing from Bird & Bird re: legal vs. natural memo.
2. Legal Committee to review draft questions submitted to determine if they should be forwarded to Bird & Bird.
3. EPDP Team to confirm with ICANN Org expected next steps and timing to conduct and publish the study results.
4. EPDP Team or Sub-team to work with ICANN org on this study, including providing questions and/or relevant background to assist in informing the study by 1 July 2019.
 |
| **City field redaction**  | 1. Small Team proposed briefing from Bird & Bird re: city field memo.
2. Legal Committee to review draft questions submitted to determine if they should be forwarded to Bird & Bird.
3. Following receipt of legal advice, EPDP Team to further deliberate on this issue with other workstream 2 items, unless it is determined that it can easily be addressed through mailing list discussions.
 |
| **Data retention** | 1. EPDP Team to confirm with ICANN org when the review of all of ICANN org's processes and procedures to identify and document the instances in which personal data is requested beyond the life of domain name registration is expected to be completed.
2. Once ICANN’s review is complete, EPDP Team to review the outcome and confirm whether the data retention requirements recommended in phase 1 are to be confirmed or whether updates are needed.
 |
| **Potential OCTO Purpose** | 1. Further to Recommendation 2, EPDP Team to draft question to ICANN org, to inform next steps. (Note: one member of small team requested briefing by ICANN OCTO on the use of personal registration data prior to the adoption of the Temporary Specification, but this proposal did not receive broad agreement.)
2. If ICANN org notes it does process personal registration data in a research capacity, Legal Committee to review draft legal questions to Bird & Bird regarding qualified research position under GDPR.
3. Pending receipt of further legal advice (if any), EPDP Leadership to propose outline of next steps for resolution of this issue.
 |
| **Feasibility of unique contacts to have a uniform anonymized email address** | 1. Legal Committee to review draft questions submitted to determine if they should be forwarded to Bird & Bird.
2. Following receipt of legal advice, EPDP Leadership to propose outline of next steps for resolution of this issue.
 |
| **Accuracy and WHOIS Accuracy Reporting System** | 1. Small Team proposed briefing from Bird & Bird re: accuracy memo.
2. Legal Committee to review draft questions submitted to determine if they should be forwarded to Bird & Bird.
 |