For the results of the survey, please see:

<https://www.surveymonkey.com/results/SM-BJRDWBB97/>

Based on the survey results, EPDP Leadership proposes the following order for upcoming calls:

|  |  |
| --- | --- |
| **EPDP Team Meeting** | **Topics** |
| 1 August 2019 | **Group 2 - First reading continued**:  Investigation of criminal activity where domain names are used. Typical specific example: phishing attack (SSAC 3)  **Group 4** - **First reading**:  Online buyers identifying and validating the source or services/ Internet users validating the legitimacy of an email or a website to protect themselves (ALAC 1) *(Note that SSAC2 scored marginally higher, but due to unavailability of SSAC reps for this meeting, the leadership team decided to commence with this use case)* |
| 2 August 2019 | Member to submit edit/view/proposals for SSAC 3 and ALAC 1 in writing |
| 6 August 2019 | Use case authors, with staff support as needed, to distribute updated SSAC 3 and ALAC 1 use cases, incorporating input received |
| 8 August 2019 | **Group 2 – Second/final reading**:  Investigation of criminal activity where domain names are used. Typical specific example: phishing attack (SSAC 3)  **Group 4 - First reading continued**:  Online buyers identifying and validating the source or services/ Internet users validating the legitimacy of an email or a website to protect themselves (ALAC 1) |
| 9 August 2019 | Member to submit edit/view/proposals for ALAC 1 in writing |
| 13 August 2019 | Use case author, with staff support as needed, to distribute updated ALAC 1 use case, incorporating input received. Final version of SSAC 3 use case to be distributed by use case author, with staff support as needed, and posted on wiki. |
| 15 August 2019 | **Group 5 – First reading:**  Providers requesting access required to facilitate due process in the UDRP and URS (IP 5) *(Note, this use case was tied with BC6 but as this use case was also discussed during phase 1, the leadership team recommends starting with this one)*  **Group 2 – Second/final reading**:  Online buyers identifying and validating the source or services/ Internet users validating the legitimacy of an email or a website to protect themselves (ALAC 1) |
| 16 August 2019 | Member to submit edit/view/proposals for IP 5 in writing |
| 13 August 2019 | Use case author, with staff support as needed, to distribute updated IP 5 use case, incorporating input received. Final version of ALAC 1 use case to be distributed by use case author, with staff support as needed, and posted on wiki. |
| 15 August 2019 | **Group 3 - First reading**:  When a network is undergoing an attack involving a domain name, and the operator(s) of that network need to contact the domain owner to remediate the security issue (DDOS, Botnet, etc.) (SSAC1)  **Group 5 – Second/final reading**:  Providers requesting access required to facilitate due process in the UDRP and URS (IP 5) |
| 16 August 2019 | Member to submit edit/view/proposals for SSAC 1 in writing |
| 16 August 2019 | EPDP Team to identify which use cases, or aspects of use cases, in each category are significantly different from use case already reviewed and need to be considered further. |
| 20 August 2019 | Use case author, with staff support as needed, to distribute updated SSAC 1 use case, incorporating input received. Final version of IP 5 use case to be distributed by use case author, with staff support as needed, and posted on wiki. |
| 22 August 2019 | **Group 3 – Second/final reading**:  When a network is undergoing an attack involving a domain name, and the operator(s) of that network need to contact the domain owner to remediate the security issue (DDOS, Botnet, etc.) (SSAC1)  **Group 1 – first reading, second use case**  (to be determined based on input received by 16 August) |
| 23 August 2019 |  |
| 29 August 2019 | **Group 1 – second/final reading, second use case**  **Group 2 – first reading, second use case**  (to be determined based on input received) |
| End August / Early September | **Leadership team to share draft policy principles / recommendations derived from use case review for review and discussion during F2F meeting, incl. proposed schedule to continue review of use cases, if deemed necessary.** |

**Use Case Categorization**

|  |  |
| --- | --- |
| Group 1:Criminal Law enforcement/national or public security | LEA 1, LEA 2, IP 2, IP 3 |
| Group 2: Non-LE investigations and civil claims | BC1/2, BC 3, BC 5, SSAC 3, ALAC 2, IP 1, IP 4 |
| Group 3: Need for redacted data for a third party to contact registrant | BC 7, SSAC 1 |
| Group 4: Consumer protection, abuse prevention, digital service provider (DSP) and network security | SSAC 2, BC 9, ALAC 1 |
| Group 5: Registered Name Holder consent or contract | BC 4, BC 6, BC 8, IP 5 |

**LEGEND**

|  |  |
| --- | --- |
| LEA 1 | Investigation of criminal activity against a victim in the jurisdiction of the investigating EU LEA requesting data from a non-local data controller. |
| LEA 2 | Investigation of criminal activity against a victim in the jurisdiction of the investigating EU LEA requesting data from a local data controller. |
| SSAC 1 | When a network is undergoing an attack involving a domain name, and the operator(s) of that network need to contact the domain owner to remediate the security issue (DDOS, Botnet, etc.) |
| SSAC 2 | Determine “Reputation” of domain name and/or elements associated with domain name registrations. |
| SSAC 3 | Investigation of criminal activity where domain names are used.  Typical specific example: phishing attack. |
| IP 1 | Trademark owners requesting data in the establishment, exercise or defense of legal claims for trademark infringement |
| IP 2 | Investigation of criminal activity against a victim in the jurisdiction of the investigating LEA requesting data from either a local a non-local data controller. (criminal trademark) |
| IP 3 | Investigation of criminal activity in the jurisdiction of the investigating LEA requesting data from either a local a non-local data controller. (criminal copyright) |
| IP 4 | Copyright owners requesting data in the establishment, exercise or defense of legal claims for copyright infringement |
| IP 5 | Providers requesting access required to facilitate due process in the UDRP and URS |
| BC1/2 | Initial investigation of criminal activity against a victim and/or secondary victim where domain names are used in the commission of the crime |
| BC 3 | Identify owner of abusive domains and other related domains involved in civil legal claims related to phishing, malware, botnets, and other fraudulent activities |
| BC 4 | Maintaining the domain name registration by the Registered Name Holder |
| BC 5 | The establishment, exercise or defense of a legal claim involving a registrant of a domain name |
| BC 6 | M&A name portfolio due diligence or purchase of domain name from bankrupt entity or other seller |
| BC 7 | Contacting the Registrant to resolve a Technical or Operational Issue with a Domain Name |
| BC 8 | Help a certification authority determine and validate the identity of the entity associated with a domain name that will be bound to an SSL/TLS certificate |
| BC 9 | Search Engines, Messaging Services & Social Media Platforms seeking to confirm the authenticity of businesses advertising or Posting News on its Platform |
| ALAC 1 | Online buyers identifying and validating the source of goods or services/ Internet users validating the legitimacy of an email or a website to protect themselves |
| ALAC 2 | Consumer protection organizations |