
IGO/INGO Access to CRP – Status Updates on Work Plan (22 September 2014)



Action	Start Date	End Date	Status Update/Notes
Analysis - Phase 1	9 Sept 2014	18 Nov 2014	
Sub Group A – Current State of UDRP & URS	9 Sept 2014	7 Oct 2014	Kristine, Petter, Jim, Jay
Identify differences between the UDRP and the URS	9 Sept 2014	7 Oct 2014	<ul> <li>Refer to both policies and rules</li> <li>Obtain input from NAF</li> </ul>
Collaborate with UDRP & URS dispute resolution service providers as well as experienced panelists for input	9 Sept 2014	7 Oct 2014	<ul> <li>Identify         possible         panelists</li> <li>Develop         specific         questions         for input</li> </ul>
Obtain representative sample of IGOs and INGOs who have filed UDRP and URS claims	9 Sept 2014	7 Oct 2014	<ul> <li>Agree on sample list of IGOs &amp; INGOs</li> <li>Check WIPO &amp;</li> </ul>

Action	Start Date	End Date	Status Update/Notes
			NAF databases for each org
Sub Group B - Existing IGO-INGO Protections	9 Sept 2014	18 Nov 2014	Phil, Paul, George, Imran
Define scope of legal protection for IGOs under Article 6ter; compare with Geneva Conventions and Nairobi Treaty	9 Sept 2014	18 Nov 2014	<ul> <li>Refer to treaty text &amp; WIPO-2 documents</li> </ul>
Obtain representative sample of IGOs and INGOs with registered trademarks	9 Sept 2014	21 Oct 2014	<ul> <li>Use Sub- Group A list?</li> <li>TM search required?</li> </ul>
Identify number of IGOs that have sought protection under Article 6ter	9 Sept 2014	18 Nov 2014	<ul> <li>Use GAC list of IGOs</li> <li>Search WIPO database</li> </ul>
Obtain number and list of INGOs on ECOSOC list	9 Sept 2014	9 Sept 2014	• Done
Obtain representative sample of IGOs – scope of mission and geographic presence	9 Sept 2014	21 Oct 2014	<ul> <li>Use GAC list</li> <li>Refer to each IGO's website</li> </ul>
Sub Group C - Treatment of the Protected Groups (Part I)	9 Sept 2014	21 Oct 2014	Mike
Review prior work (2003 President's Joint Working Group on the 2001 WIPO report) as starting point for developing substantive recommendations (i.e. evaluate prior work in light of subsequent developments e.g. introduction of New gTLD Program and new RPMs)	9 Sept 2014	21 Oct 2014	Refer to     WG     Backgroun     d     Documents
ICANN 52: 8-12 February 2015	10	0.5.1	
Analysis - Phase 2	19 Nov 2014	9 Feb 2015	
Treatment of the Protected Groups (Part II)	19 Nov 2014	9 Feb 2015	
Obtain representative sample of IGOs – scope of mission	19	4 Dec	

Action	Start Date	End Date	Status Update/Notes
and geographic presence (research task)	Nov	2014	opuate/Notes
	2014		
Review relevant research to date to identify possible	19	9 Feb	
differences between IGOs and INGOs for purposes of this	Nov	2015	
PDP - Sub-Team #1	2014		
Develop potential considerations (e.g. qualifying	19	9 Feb	
requirements, authentication criteria and appeal	Nov	2015	
processes) for IGOs and INGOs that would be relevant to	2014		
their use of dispute resolution proceedings (existing or new) - Sub-Team #2A (IGO) & #2B (INGO)			
Analysis - Phase 3	10	4 May	
Analysis Thase 5	Feb	2015	
	2015	2010	
Exploring UDRP and/or URS Amendments	10	4 May	
	Feb	2015	
	2015		
Analyze whether similar justifications and possible	10 Feb	4 May	
amendments should apply to both the UDRP and URS, or	2015	2015	
if each procedure should be treated independently			
and/or differently	40 0 1	434	
Consider relevance of other existing rights protection	10 Feb	4 May	
mechanisms (RPMs) in the AGB Consider the cost issue for IGOs and INGOs to use the	2015	2015	
UDRP and/or URS	10 Feb 2015	4 May 2015	
Consider interplay of work/possible recommendations	10 Feb	4 May	
with the forthcoming GNSO review of all RPMs	2015	2015	
Analysis - Phase 4	5 May	1 Jun	
,	2015	2015	
Consequences of Introduction of New gTLD Program	5 May	1 Jun	
	2015	2015	
Analyze whether there is a need to distinguish between	5 May	1 Jun	
"legacy" and "new" gTLDs	2015	2015	
Review whether URS should be Consensus Policy binding	5 May	1 Jun	
on ICANN Contracted Parties	2015	2015	
ICANN 53: 21-25 June 2015			