

"Option 2"

"Option 3 and 6"

IGO files UDRP

Registrant is notified that UDRP is filed

Complainant and respondent can mutually agree to limit scope of appeals to ownership of the domain name

UDRP is concluded in favor of the IGO

Registrant can elect to go directly to arbitration

Note: at any point during the proceedings, either party (i.e., registrant or complainant) can seek external remedies (e.g., judicial action, arbitration). The processes captured in this document do not in any way circumvent those options.

Registrant files suit in court of MJ

For instance:  
- IGO does not assert immunity  
- Court of MJ rejects immunity defense  
- Both parties may have agreed to limit appeal to ownership of the domain name

IGO successfully asserts immunity in court of MJ

Registrant files arbitration action?

Could be limited to resolution of just the domain name in question

Existing UDRP decision stands

Resolve via binding arbitration

Resolve in court of MJ

End

End