## Initial Consensus Level Designations Analysis - IGO PDP (by George Kirikos, not an official view of the PDP Working Group)

Last Updated: June 11, 2018 Created: June 11, 2018

							Recommendation #5						
		Recommendation						Option					
Number	Name	Affiliation	#1**	#2	#3	#4	#1	#2	#3	#4	#5	#6	
1	David Maher	RySG	Support	Support	Support	Reluctant Support	Support	Do Not Support	Do Not Support	Do Not Support	Do Not Support	Do Not Support	
2	Jim Bikoff	IPC	Support	No support	No support	No support			No support (?)	Support Only If Option One does receive enough support		No support (?)	
3	Osvaldo Novoa	ISPCP	[no response]  Support if the	[no response]	[no response]	[no response]	[no, implied?]	[no, implied?]	Support	[no, implied?]	[no, implied?]	[no, implied?]	
4	George Kirikos	Individual	text is fixed, because taken literallly, this kills all but Option #4 in Recommendati on #5	Support if the text is fixed properly, otherwise oppose	Support	Opposed	Option #1 would be ok	In favour as compromise	No	In favour	In favour	In favour	
5	Mike Rodenbaugh	IPC RySG	[no response]	[no response]	[no response]	[no response]	with	opposed	opposed	In favour	opposed	opposed	
6	Philip Corwin	(misstated on Wiki)	Support	Support	Support	Support	strongly oppose	strongly oppose	strongly support	oppose	do not support	oppose	
			Support with clarification that no "substantive" changes are			Support if text is changed to means test, and not limit to			cannot support			support (but uncertain about	
7	Zak Muscovitch	BC	required	Support	Support	IGOs	support	support	in present form	support	support	funding)	
8	Nat Cohen	вс	Support with clarification that no "substantive" changes are required	Support	Support	Support if text is changed to means test, and not limit to IGOs	support	support	cannot support in present form	support	support	support (but uncertain about funding)	
9	Alex Lerman	Individual	[no response]	[no response]	[no response]	[no response]	Yes	Yes	No	Yes (favoured the most)	Yes	No	
10	Jay Chapman	вс	Support with clarification that no "substantive" changes are required	Support	Support	Support if text is changed to means test, and not limit to IGOs	support	support	cannot support in present form	support	support	support (but uncertain about funding)	
11	Petter Rindforth	IPC	Support	Support	Support	Support [no response,	opposed	opposed	Support	opposed	opposed	opposed	
12	Paul Keating	NCUC	[no response]	[no response]	[no response]	but has expressed opposition on phone calls, e. g. May 10, 2018 transcript, page 8]	Yes	No	No	Yes	No	Yes	
13	Reg Levy	RrSG	[no response]	[no response]	[no response]	Vehemently Opposed	Yes (preferred choice)	No	No	Grudgingly Yes If Option #1 is untenable, but with recommendatio n that RPM PDP should proceed with Option #1	No	Needs Refinement But Might Work	
14	Crystal Ondo	RySG	Support	[no response]	[no response]	[no response]	Support	[no, implied?]	tempting, but implied no?	[no, implied?]	[no, implied?]	[no, implied?]	
15	Paul Tattersfield	Individual	Can Live With	Support if the text is fixed properly, otherwise oppose	Can Live With	Can Live With	Support		Do Not Support		Do Not Support		
GEORGE KIRIKOS ANALYSIS			FULL CONSENSUS ACHIEVABLE IF WE CLARIFY THE TEXT	CONSENSUS ACHIEVABLE IF WE CLARIFY THE TEXT	CONSENSUS	CONSENSUS ACHIEVABLE IF WE CLARIFY THE TEXT BUT MIGHT BE STRONG SUPPORT WITH SIGNIFICANT OPPOSITION	APPEARS TO HAVE CONSENSUS	NO CONSENSUS / DIVERGENCE	MINORITY VIEW (WITH CONSENSUS AGAINST)	APPEARS TO HAVE CONSENSUS, BUT LESS THAN OPTION #1 OR STRONG SUPPORT BUT SIGNIFICANT OPPOSITION	NO CONSENSUS / DIVERGENCE	NO CONSENSUS / DIVERGENCE	

References		
Number	Name	
1	David Maher	https://mm.icann.org/pipermail/gnso-igo-ingo-crp/2018-May/001214.html
		https://mm.icann.org/pipermail/gnso-igo-ingo-crp/2018-June/001217.html
2	Jim Bikoff	complicated by <a href="https://mm.icann.org/pipermail/gnso-igo-ingo-crp/2018-June/001256.html">https://mm.icann.org/pipermail/gnso-igo-ingo-crp/2018-June/001256.html</a>
3	Osvaldo Novoa	https://mm.icann.org/pipermail/gnso-igo-ingo-crp/2018-June/001218.html
		https://mm.icann.org/pipermail/gnso-igo-ingo-crp/2018-June/001219.html
4	George Kirikos	** but read for Rec #1 <a href="https://mm.icann.org/pipermail/gnso-igo-ingo-crp/2018-June/001263.html">https://mm.icann.org/pipermail/gnso-igo-ingo-crp/2018-June/001263.html</a>
		https://mm.icann.org/pipermail/gnso-igo-ingo-crp/2018-June/001221.html
5	Mike Rodenbaugh	refers to old position previously stated <a href="https://mm.icann.org/pipermail/gnso-igo-ingo-crp/2018-May/001167.html">https://mm.icann.org/pipermail/gnso-igo-ingo-crp/2018-May/001167.html</a>
6	Philip Corwin	https://mm.icann.org/pipermail/gnso-igo-ingo-crp/2018-June/001223.html
7	Zak Muscovitch	https://mm.icann.org/pipermail/gnso-igo-ingo-crp/2018-June/001225.html
		https://mm.icann.org/pipermail/gnso-igo-ingo-crp/2018-June/001228.html (same as Zak's positions)
8	Nat Cohen	and https://mm.icann.org/pipermail/gnso-igo-ingo-crp/2018-June/001255.html
9	Alex Lerman	https://mm.icann.org/pipermail/gnso-igo-ingo-crp/2018-June/001230.html
10	Jay Chapman	https://mm.icann.org/pipermail/gnso-igo-ingo-crp/2018-June/001231.html (same as Zak's positions)
11	Petter Rindforth	https://mm.icann.org/pipermail/gnso-igo-ingo-crp/2018-June/001232.html
12	Paul Keating	https://mm.icann.org/pipermail/gnso-igo-ingo-crp/2018-June/001233.html
13	Reg Levy	https://mm.icann.org/pipermail/gnso-igo-ingo-crp/2018-June/001234.html
14	Crystal Ondo	https://mm.icann.org/pipermail/gnso-igo-ingo-crp/2018-June/001236.html
15	Paul Tattersfield	https://mm.icann.org/pipermail/gnso-igo-ingo-crp/2018-June/001237.html