**Registry Registration Data Directory Services
Consistent Labeling and Display Policy**

The key words "MUST", "MUST NOT", "REQUIRED", "SHALL", "SHALL NOT", "SHOULD", "SHOULD NOT", "RECOMMENDED", and "MAY" in this document are to be interpreted as described in RFC 2119, which is available at <http://www.ietf.org/rfc/rfc2119.txt>.

Registry Operators, with the exception of .com, .jobs and .net[[1]](#footnote-1), SHALL implement these requirements in conjunction with Section 1 of Specification 4 of the "[Base Registry Agreement approved on 9 January 2014](http://newgtlds.icann.org/sites/default/files/agreements/agreement-approved-09jan14-en.htm)" ("Base Registry Agreement") in order to comply with WHOIS (available via port 43) and web-based directory services requirements. The Registry Operators of.com, .jobs and .net MAY implement sections of this policy in conjunction with Section 1 of Specification 4 of the Base Registry Agreement that are relevant to a thin Registry.

1. In responses to domain name object queries the below fields MUST be included either (1) immediately after the "Registrar IANA ID" field or (2) immediately before the last field ("URL of the ICANN Whois Inaccuracy Complaint Form: https://www.icann.org/wicf/") in the following order:
* Registrar Abuse Contact Email
* Registrar Abuse Contact Phone
1. In responses to domain name object queries the following fields are considered optional and should be treated as described in clarification 1 of the ["Advisory: Clarifications to the Registry Agreement, and the 2013 Registrar Accreditation Agreement (RAA) regarding applicable Registration Data Directory Service (Whois) Specifications"](https://www.icann.org/resources/pages/registry-agreement-raa-rdds-2015-04-27-en) ("WHOIS Advisory"):
* Registrar Registration Expiration Date
* Reseller
1. If shown, the "Reseller" field MUST appear immediately before the "Domain Status" field.
2. If shown, the value of the "Reseller" field MUST be the name of the organization, in case the Reseller for the name is a legal entity, or a natural person name otherwise.

1. If shown, the "Registrar Registration Expiration Date" field MUST appear immediately after the "Registry Expiry Date" field.
2. The value section (i.e., right-hand side of the colon) of the following fields MUST follow the below format specifications:
3. "Registrar Abuse Contact Email" (as defined in the EPP RFCs for email fields)
4. "Registrar Abuse Contact Phone" (as defined in the EPP RFCs for phone fields)
5. "Reseller" is defined as token (see Extensible Markup Language 1.1)
6. "Registrar Registration Expiration Date" (as defined in the EPP RFCs for date fields)
7. Registry Operator MUST update the name of keys in the output of the domain name, name server, and registrar objects as follows. The following table shows keys that are renamed from its original version in Specification 4 of the Base Registry Agreement. All other specifications in the WHOIS Advisory (e.g. format specifications of the value section) remain unchanged.

|  |  |  |
| --- | --- | --- |
| Original key in Specification 4 of the Base Registry Agreement | New key name | Object query where the key appears |
| Domain ID | Registry Domain ID | domain name |
| WHOIS Server | Registrar WHOIS Server | domain name, registrar, nameserver |
| Referral URL | Registrar URL | domain name, registrar, nameserver |
| Sponsoring Registrar | Registrar | domain name |
| Sponsoring Registrar IANA ID | Registrar IANA ID | domain name |
| Registrar Name | Registrar | registrar |
| Registrant ID | Registry Registrant ID | domain name |
| Admin ID | Registry Admin ID | domain name |
| Tech ID | Registry Tech ID | domain name |

1. If the "Billing Contact" is shown, Registry Operator MUST update the name of keys in the output of the domain name as defined as follows. The following table shows keys that are renamed from its original version in the WHOIS Advisory. All other specifications in the WHOIS Advisory (e.g. format specifications of the value section) continue to apply.

|  |  |  |
| --- | --- | --- |
| Original key in the WHOIS Advisory | New key name | Object query where the key appears |
| Billing ID | Registry Billing ID | domain name |

1. In responses to domain name object queries the following field[[2]](#footnote-2) MUST be included before the footer ">>> Last update of Whois database: <date and time> <<<".
* URL of the ICANN Whois Inaccuracy Complaint Form: https://www.icann.org/wicf/
1. A Registry Operator that is permitted to provide redacted RDDS output in its registry agreement MAY treat the following fields as optional regardless of the existence of data in the Registry's Shared Registration System (SRS) as described in clarification 1 of the WHOIS Advisory. The redacted RDDS output MUST be consistent with the relevant RDDS provisions in the Registry Operator's registry agreement
* Registry Registrant/Admin/Tech ID
* Registrant/Admin/Tech Name
* Registrant/Admin/Tech Organization
* Registrant/Admin/Tech Street
* Registrant/Admin/Tech City
* Registrant/Admin/Tech State/Province
* Registrant/Admin/Tech Postal Code
* Registrant/Admin/Tech Country
* Registrant/Admin/Tech Phone
* Registrant/Admin/Tech Phone Ext
* Registrant/Admin/Tech Fax
* Registrant/Admin/Tech Fax Ext
* Registrant/Admin/Tech Email
1. The field "Registry Admin/Tech/Billing/Registrant ID:" refers to the Repository Object Identifier (ROID) for the Contact object as specified in RFC 5733 (called Admin/Tech/Registrant ID in Specification 4 of the Base Registry Agreement).
2. The implementation of an RDAP service in accordance with the "RDAP Operational Profile for gTLD Registries and Registrars" is required for all gTLD registries in order to achieve consistent labeling and display.
3. Registry Operator MAY output additional RDDS fields, as defined in the WHOIS Advisory, without further approval by ICANN. The key and the value of each additional field MUST NOT: include browser executable code (e.g., Javascript); provide confidential information of any sort; or cause a negative impact to the security, stability, or resiliency of the Internet’s DNS or other systems. Prior to deployment, Registry Operator SHALL provide and update the list of all the additional fields supported to ICANN.

**Effective Date**: 1 February 2017

**Not To Be Deployed Before**: 1 November 2016

**Implementation Notes**

1. On 27 April 2015, ICANN published an [Advisory](https://www.icann.org/resources/pages/registry-agreement-raa-rdds-2015-04-27-en) in response to questions from both registries and registrars regarding the applicable Registration Data Directory Services (commonly known as WHOIS) specifications. Registry Operators should continue to consult this Advisory to be in compliance with their registry agreement.
2. The policy includes a "Not To Be Deployed Before date" that indicates the earliest a Registry Operator is permitted to deploy in production the changes to its RDDS services described in this policy. This date is included to give ICANN time to update its compliance tools with the new requirements in the policy prior to the "Effective Date".
3. The following section describes example outputs for the query objects:

**Domain Name Data:**

* **Query format:** whois EXAMPLE.TLD
* **Response format:**

Domain Name: EXAMPLE.TLD

Registry Domain ID: D1234567-TLD

Registrar WHOIS Server: whois.example-registrar.tld

Registrar URL: http://www.example-registrar.tld

Updated Date: 2009-05-29T20:13:00Z

Creation Date: 2000-10-08T00:45:00Z

Registry Expiry Date: 2010-10-08T00:44:59Z

Registrar Registration Expiration Date: 2010-10-08T00:44:59Z

Registrar: EXAMPLE REGISTRAR LLC

Registrar IANA ID: 5555555

Registrar Abuse Contact Email: email@registrar.tld

Registrar Abuse Contact Phone: +1.1235551234

Reseller: EXAMPLE RESELLER1

Domain Status: clientDeleteProhibited

Domain Status: clientRenewProhibited

Domain Status: clientTransferProhibited

Registry Registrant ID: 5372808-ERL

Registrant Name: EXAMPLE REGISTRANT

Registrant Organization: EXAMPLE ORGANIZATION

Registrant Street: 123 EXAMPLE STREET

Registrant City: ANYTOWN

Registrant State/Province: AP

Registrant Postal Code: A1A1A16

Registrant Country: AA

Registrant Phone: +1.5555551212

Registrant Phone Ext: 12347

Registrant Fax: +1.5555551213

Registrant Fax Ext: 4321

Registrant Email: EMAIL@EXAMPLE.TLD

Registry Admin ID: 5372809-ERL

Admin Name: EXAMPLE REGISTRANT ADMINISTRATIVE

Admin Organization: EXAMPLE REGISTRANT ORGANIZATION

Admin Street: 123 EXAMPLE STREET

Admin City: ANYTOWN

Admin State/Province: AP

Admin Postal Code: A1A1A1

Admin Country: AA

Admin Phone: +1.5555551212

Admin Phone Ext: 1234

Admin Fax: +1.5555551213

Admin Fax Ext: 1234

Admin Email: EMAIL@EXAMPLE.TLD

Registry Tech ID: 5372811-ERL

Tech Name: EXAMPLE REGISTRANT TECHNICAL

Tech Organization: EXAMPLE REGISTRANT LLC

Tech Street: 123 EXAMPLE STREET

Tech City: ANYTOWN

Tech State/Province: AP

Tech Postal Code: A1A1A1

Tech Country: AA

Tech Phone: +1.1235551234

Tech Phone Ext: 1234

Tech Fax: +1.5555551213

Tech Fax Ext: 93

Tech Email: EMAIL@EXAMPLE.TLD

Name Server: NS01.EXAMPLE-REGISTRAR.TLD

Name Server: NS02.EXAMPLE-REGISTRAR.TLD

DNSSEC: signedDelegation

URL of the ICANN Whois Inaccuracy Complaint Form: https://www.icann.org/wicf/

>>> Last update of WHOIS database: 2009-05-29T20:15:00Z <<<

**Registrar Data:**

* **Query format:  whois “registrar Example Registrar, Inc.”**
* **Response format:**

Registrar: Example Registrar, Inc.
Street: 1234 Admiralty Way
City: Marina del Rey
State/Province: CA
Postal Code: 90292
Country: US
Phone Number: +1.3105551212
Fax Number: +1.3105551213
Email: registrar@example.tld
Registrar WHOIS Server: whois.example-registrar.tld
Registrar URL: http://www.example-registrar.tld
Admin Contact: Joe Registrar
Phone Number: +1.3105551213
Fax Number: +1.3105551213
Email: joeregistrar@example-registrar.tld
Admin Contact: Jane Registrar
Phone Number: +1.3105551214
Fax Number: +1.3105551213
Email: janeregistrar@example-registrar.tld
Technical Contact: John Geek
Phone Number: +1.3105551215
Fax Number: +1.3105551216
Email: johngeek@example-registrar.tld
>>> Last update of WHOIS database: 2009-05-29T20:15:00Z <<<

**Nameserver Data:**

* **Query format: whois “nameserver (nameserver name)”, or whois “nameserver (IP Address)”**
* **Response format:**

Server Name: NS1.EXAMPLE.TLD
IP Address: 192.0.2.123
IP Address: 2001:0DB8::1
Registrar: Example Registrar, Inc.
Registrar WHOIS Server: whois.example-registrar.tld
Registrar URL: http://www.example-registrar.tld
>>> Last update of WHOIS database: 2009-05-29T20:15:00Z <<<

**Background**:

This Consensus Policy is a product of policy implementation directed by [ICANN Board resolutions 2014.02.07.08 - 2014.02.07.09](http://www.icann.org/en/groups/board/documents/resolutions-07feb14-en.htm#2.c), which adopted the GNSO Council Policy Recommendations for a new Consensus Policy on Thick Whois.

Recommendation #1 of the Thick Whois Policy Development Process (PDP) Working Group (WG), adopted by the GNSO Council on 31 October 2013, states: "*The provision of thick Whois services, with a consistent labelling and display as per the model outlined in specification 3 of the 2013 RAA, should become a requirement for all gTLD registries, both existing and future.*"

The [Final Report](http://gnso.icann.org/en/issues/whois/thick-final-21oct13-en.pdf) of the Thick Whois Policy PDP WG further included in its Section 7.2 'Implementation Considerations' guidance related to the timeline and requirements for implementing the transition from thin to thick Whois. It specifically notes that “*The WG does emphasize that implementation of one part of the recommendation (for example, transition of existing thin gTLD registries to thick model) should not unnecessarily delay the implementation of another part of the recommendation (for example, the consistent labeling and display of such data)*".

As a consequence, ICANN staff and the Implementation Review Team (IRT) agreed that consistent labeling and display could be decoupled from the implementation of the transition from thin to thick.

ICANN submitted a [draft proposal for implementation of the consistent labeling and display requirement for Public Comment on 3 December 2015](https://www.icann.org/public-comments/rdds-output-2015-12-03-en). After considering the community's feedback in collaboration with the Thick Whois IRT, ICANN revised its proposal as reflected in this Consensus Policy.

It should be noted that ICANN’s objective in implementing the GNSO Policy recommendations has been to minimize the impact to contracted parties and to the overall Registration Data Directory Services (RDDS) systems by seeking to synchronize, where appropriate, this implementation with other related initiatives such as the adoption of the Registration Data Access Protocol (RDAP).

1. The Registry Operators of .com, .jobs and .net are expected to be required to implement the requirements set forth in this Policy as part of their transition from thin to thick registries pursuant to the implementation of the [Thick Whois GNSO PDP Recommendations adopted by the ICANN Board on 7 February 2014](http://www.icann.org/en/groups/board/documents/resolutions-07feb14-en.htm#2.c). [↑](#footnote-ref-1)
2. Please note that this field updates the terminology and URL currently in use in Specification 3 of the 2013 RAA (as approved by the ICANN Board on 17 September 2013) [↑](#footnote-ref-2)