

Standing Committee on Improvements Implementation (SCI)

Review Request

Per the SCI Charter, for items that are submitted for review 'on request', the SCI expects to receive detailed input from the group affected by the process/operational change concerned. Either the GNSO Council or a group chartered by the GNSO Council can make such requests. The requester should provide the following information, if applicable, in order to have comprehensive information available to the SCI on the issue.

Note: Per the SCI Charter one member of the group that submitted the request should - if not already represented on the SCI - be nominated as an observer to the SCI until the review of the issue in question has been completed. Please submit the request to the GNSO Secretariat at: gns0.secretariat@gns0.icann.org.

1. ***Date of Request:***
2. ***Name of Requester:*** Jonathan Robinson (GNSO Chair) and Avri Doria (GNSO Council liaison to the SCI)
3. ***Which group do you represent?*** (e.g. Council, Working Group, Constituency, Stakeholder Group, etc.): GNSO Council
4. ***To which rules or processes do you refer?*** GNSO Operating Procedures, specifically Section 3.3.2 (Submission of Motions) and Section 4.3.3 (Resubmission of a Motion)
5. ***Describe how the rule or process is currently used. In particular, please indicate whether current rule or process is part of the GNSO Council Operating Procedures and, if so, include the section and subsection. If the rule or process is used informally please indicate whether you think that the Council expects this current practice to be translated into procedure:*** The latest version of the Procedures incorporates approved new procedures recommended by the SCI relating to the Council's ability to: (i) waive the general rule of a 10-day deadline for the submission of motions for voting (Section 3.3.2), and (ii) permit a motion that has been voted on but not adopted to be resubmitted for reconsideration (Section 4.3.3).
6. ***Describe the issue(s) or problem(s) with the current rule or process:*** The Council's original request that the SCI consider these two situations did not explicitly ask that the SCI consider also

the possible interplay between them (e.g. if a resubmitted motion is sent to the Council after the 10-day deadline applicable to the next scheduled Council meeting). Although the SCI discussed this issue, it did not reach consensus on whether and how to address the potential problem.

7. ***Describe the specific changes you propose to address the identified issues or problems:*** The GNSO Council requests the SCI to consider whether or not there is a need to include a further rule (possibly as an additional paragraph under the new Section 3.3.2) that specifically addresses the possible interplay between the new rules applicable to waiver of the 10-day motion deadline and resubmission of a motion.

8. ***Provide any additional suggestion for making the rules/processes easier to administer:*** None