

Standing Committee on Improvements Implementation (SCI)

Review Request

Per the SCI Charter, for items that are submitted for review 'on request', the SCI requests detailed input from the group affected by the process/operational change concerned. Either the GNSO Council or a group chartered by the GNSO Council can make such requests. The requester should provide the following information, if applicable, in order to have comprehensive information available to the SCI on the issue.

Note: Per the SCI Charter one member of the group that submitted the request should - if not already represented on the SCI - be nominated as an observer to the SCI until the review of the issue in question has been completed. Please submit the request to the GNSO Secretariat at: gns.secretariat@gns.icann.org.

1. ***Date of Request:***
2. ***Name of Requester:*** Jonathan Robinson (GNSO Chair) and Avri Doria (GNSO Council liaison to the SCI)
3. ***Which group do you represent?*** (e.g. Council, Working Group, Constituency, Stakeholder Group, etc.): GNSO Council
4. ***To which rules or processes do you refer?*** GNSO Operating Procedures, specifically Section 4.3 (Motions & Voting)
5. ***Describe how the rule or process is currently used. In particular, please indicate whether current rule or process is part of the GNSO Council Operating Procedures and, if so, include the section and subsection. If the rule or process is used informally please indicate whether you think that the Council expects this current practice to be translated into procedure:***

Although there is currently a rule regarding the deadline for timely submission of motions for voting by the GNSO Council (see Section 3.3 of the Operating Procedures), there is none regarding: (i) whether, how and by whom a properly submitted motion is to be seconded, and (ii) treatment of proposed amendments to such motions as either “friendly” or “unfriendly”. These have been supported by Council practice to date as opposed to operating procedural rules. The current Council practice is as follows:

Step 1: A motion is submitted to the GNSO Council by a current GNSO Council member via the Council mailing list. The proposer may expressly request that the motion be seconded by another GNSO Council member.

Step 2: A different GNSO Council member seconds the submitted motion.

Step 3: If submitted in time for the next Council meeting, the motion is placed on the Council's meeting agenda and published on the motions page of the Council wiki space¹.

Step 4: The motion is discussed at the Council meeting. The motion proceeds to a vote² only if it has been seconded by a GNSO Council member (other than the proposer) prior to the vote being called.

Additional Steps When a Proposed Amendment is Submitted:

- A GNSO Council member may submit a proposed amendment to the submitted motion at any time prior to the vote being called
- The proposed amendment is incorporated into the motion to be voted on only if both the proposer and seconder of the original motion accept the proposal as a friendly amendment
- If the amendment is not accepted as friendly by either the proposer or seconder, the Council first votes on whether to accept the proposed amendment (threshold: simple majority)
- If the Council vote is affirmative, the motion as amended is then voted on by the Council in accordance with the GNSO Operating Procedures
- If the Council vote falls below the simple majority threshold, the proposed amendment is not incorporated into the original motion, and the Council proceeds to vote on the original motion

Mary Wong 3/9/2015 12:41 PM
Formatted: Font:(Default) Times New Roman

Mary Wong 3/9/2015 12:40 PM
Formatted: Normal, No bullets or numbering

Mary Wong 3/9/2015 12:41 PM

Deleted:

A customary practice has evolved by which the GNSO Council deals with the two above-mentioned situations. First, a motion has to be seconded by another GNSO Council member prior to its being voted on. Secondly, for proposed amendments to motions, the Council's practice is to require both the proposer and seconder to accept the proposal in order for it to be considered a "friendly" amendment to be voted on by the Council in the amended form. Those proposed amendments that either the proposer or seconder, or neither, accepts are considered "unfriendly" and require a Council to first conduct a preliminary vote on whether or not to accept the proposed amendment, which will proceed to a substantive vote only if the Council so accepts.

Mary Wong 3/9/2015 12:42 PM

Deleted: these

Mary Wong 3/9/2015 12:42 PM

Deleted: expressly written down

6. **Describe the issue(s) or problem(s) with the current rule or process:** At present, none of the above-described practices are included in the Operating Procedures, and new Councilors and staff rely on the knowledge and memories of more experienced participants to learn and apply these practices, leading to a risk of inconsistency and knowledge gaps.

7. **Describe the specific changes you propose to address the identified issues or problems:**

¹ Note that because the Council's practice permits a motion to be seconded up to the point just before a vote is called, the motion as proposed can be published and placed on the meeting agenda without it first being seconded.

² See the following section for a further description of the actual motion that is voted on when an amendment to the original text is proposed.

The GNSO Council requests that the SCI codifies the existing customary practices of the GNSO Council (as described above). If the SCI believes that the current practices are inappropriate, the SCI should convey its reasons for such belief to the Council and develop new processes to govern the seconding of motions and amendments to motions.. The GNSO Council suggests that in carrying out this task the SCI consult past GNSO Chairs and Councilors as well as commonly accepted guides and practices (such as Robert’s Rules of Order) and other ICANN bodies (such as the Board and other SO/ACs).

- Mary Wong 3/9/2015 12:42 PM
Deleted: to consider whether or not there is a need to either
- Mary Wong 3/9/2015 12:42 PM
Deleted: y
- Mary Wong 3/9/2015 12:43 PM
Deleted: or

8. *Provide any additional suggestion for making the rules/processes easier to administer:*

None